

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG.MEET.-APRIL 10, 2013

The Regular Meeting of the Shelton Water Pollution Control Authority was called to order by Chairman Michael DeAngelis at 7:00 P.M. in Room 305 Shelton City Hall, 54 Hill Street, Shelton, CT. 06484, on Wednesday, April 10, 2013.

The following Commissioners were present and constituted a quorum:

Commissioner Michael DeAngelis  
Commissioner Edwin Hellauer  
Commissioner Regis Dognin  
Commissioner Stephen Morse  
Commissioner Todd Dowty  
Commissioner Joseph Frolish  
Commissioner Donald Ramia

Also in attendance: Thomas Sym, Sewer Administrator  
Pete Pavone, Asst.  
Jeff Shortell, WPCP Superintendent  
Ed Comboni, WPCP  
Garritt Ogden – WPCP  
Matt Jermine – Fuss & O’Neill

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by all.

2. PUBLIC PORTION

There was no one from the public wishing to address the Commission.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF MARCH 13, 2013

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Hellauer seconded by Commissioner Dowty to approve the minutes of the March 13, 2013 meeting. All were in favor. Motion carried unanimously.

4. COMMUNICATIONS

A. NUTRIENT REDUCTION PROGRAM

Nice to see stated Commissioner Morse. Yes agreed Chairman DeAngelis. We will be receiving a check for \$51,458.00, added Commissioner Morse.

B. ASST. TO WPCA REPORT

Pete Pavone stated there were 22 inspections. One failure that was Spooner House and 2 grease trap failures. One of the motors died on the grease trap that resulted in that failure, he added.

Commissioner Morse stated you should have in your package a grease removal history sheet. It is a trend line with the green line going in the right direction.

C. SUPERINTENDENT’S REPORT

Jeff Shortell stated that it rained a lot and that caused the nitrogen to go up.

Chairman DeAngelis questioned the 12mgd peak yet? About 4 times answered both Garritt Ogden and Ed Comboni. How recently, questioned the Chairman? The past month toward the end of the month during those heavy rain storms. If that was at the end of the month then why isn’t it reflected in the actuals, asked Chairman DeAngelis? That was a 24 hour high answered Ed Comboni. We could contribute that to I & I stated Commissioner Morse. The heavy rains and the ph. don’t help stated Garritt Ogden.

## WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG. MEET.-APRIL 10, 2013

Commissioner Morse stated that to help resolve this and move forward to resolve the high nitrogen you have to go after the I & I.

Jeff Shortell stated we are at 95% reduction in BOD and suspended solids. We are in good shape. Chairman DeAngelis asked what makes that number of suspended solids better than permit? What do you do to make it better, he asked? Ed Comboni answered it is the water treatment. Jeff Shortell stated the more you take out, what comes in minus out. It is settling then stated Chairman DeAngelis.

Chemicals- Ed Comboni stated we are using a grease eating bacteria that we used before. We had stopped using it and then we got grease on the top. We bought that grease eating bacteria and we use about 30 gallons a month, stated Jeff Shortell. There was a brown powdery stuff we used, stated Chairman DeAngelis. This is liquid stated Ed Comboni.

Commissioner Morse stated there is another chart with nitrogen. The month of March says OUCH, he stated. We exceeded our limits but for the year we are doing good. If we don't have issues with the I & I and too much more rain.

Ed Comboni stated that with the grease being removed from the pumps we are down 110lbs.

Chairman DeAngelis asked there are no odor complaints? No answered Jeff Shortell.

Preventive maintenance: Jeff Shortell stated that there is weekly maintenance done on all 4 SBR tanks. What does the 2009 stand for their questioned Chairman DeAngelis? Those are the dates the tank was all cleaned out. I thought we were staggering them stated Chairman DeAngelis.

Jeff Shortell stated we installed VFD's in tank 1 and 3 in 2012. New motive pump in tank # 1 in 2012 and tank # 3 in 2011. We have 2 more to do and we have the parts to get that done.

Commissioner Morse asked Jeff Shortell where it says SBR Updates why not make another column with history on it. There should be another column with plan. That is a good idea added Chairman DeAngelis because when you read this you think the last time you cleaned the tank was 2009, I know that is not right and the tank has been cleaned since then, he added.

Jeff Shortell stated that Canfield changed the circuit overload protection. He put a relay in there and it stopped. Superior Associates changed the belts on the rooftop units, the cycles were changed on the boilers and the air conditioning units. He changed the air conditioning belt as well. The gear box was tightened.

What is your plan for upcoming, asked Chairman DeAngelis? We are going to get the guys in to do tank 2 and 4. Commissioner Morse stated we have the money and SBR 4 should be done and then the other should get scheduled. Chlorine season is coming stated Jeff Shortell.

We asked you guys with the surplus to figure out what could be done in the next 3 months, stated Chairman DeAngelis. We took care of the odor control stated both Garritt Ogden and Jeff Shortell. We are working on the sodium feed stated Garritt Ogden.

Ed Comboni stated we ordered the waste pumps and we are waiting for 4 valves for those added Jeff Shortell. They are 3 different sizes to have for spares. We are waiting for the carbon filtration to check the units the first part of May, he added.

### 5. OLD BUSINESS

#### A. BUDGET WORKSHOP RECOMMENDATIONS

Chairman DeAngelis asked what are the changes? Commissioner Morse stated under WPCA sewer usage refund we had \$200 it should be \$2000.

Chairman DeAngelis stated I encourage you guys to attend some of these workshops. They are informative and we actually got to hold the line this year. It is a good process to see what we all are thinking.

Commissioner Morse stated Jeff, Ed and Garritt we set aside 4 items from the suppliers and refunded them. The last budget meeting we refunded the suppliers.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG.MEET-APRIL 10, 2013

We you guys were asked because we have a surplus this year, we want to know how much of what you were asking for did you or would you spend this year, asked Commissioner Morse? I didn't add it up answered Jeff Shortell. You were asked to come back today and tells us what you can spend stated Chairman DeAngelis. What can you spend in the next three months because you are asking more this year and you didn't spend it last year in that line item, he added?

Jeff Shortell said I spent \$18,000.00 since the last meeting. Commissioner Morse stated how much of that list are you going to or have you spent before June 30<sup>th</sup>? There are about 8 or 9 items he added.

On the list a pump, we bought a pump for \$18,000.00, stated Jeff Shortell. We ordered a valve for \$6890.00, Jeff Shortell continued. We ordered a plug valve for \$11,995.00. We ordered a butterfly valve \$6020.00. We will clean the carbon filtration system for \$13,608.00. That is what I have done since the last meeting, he added. The total is \$56543.00 stated Peter Pavone. We are still short stated Commissioner Morse.

Jeff Shortell stated we need to order a new pump for the River Road Station. Can that be ordered tomorrow stated Commissioner Morse? That is a spare and you can't get parts for that down there, stated Garritt Ogden. That is \$15,000.00 added Jeff Shortell.

There are a lot of pump manufactures, why is this one a sole vendor, questioned Chairman DeAngelis? We updated the pump stations so how are they sole vendors? You can't get the parts anywhere else stated Garritt Ogden. There is someone right across the river stated Matt Jermine.

We wrote to Canfield and we got the pump fixed under Warranty. We can't get parts stated Jeff Shortell. Maybe we should be buying 2 spares then if we can't get the parts at least you would have the back-ups stated Commissioner Morse.

We can buy one pump for all 5 stations stated Chairman DeAngelis. We don't need another one stated Tom Sym. We don't need two replacements, he added. We should build up the spare parts inventory, stated Commissioner Morse.

We can't get the parts, we are trying to rebuild the spare we have but we can't get the parts stated Garritt Ogden. We have a spare for Laurel Heights that has never been used. You can run on two and I recommend getting the one for River Road, he added. We are rebuilding # 1 stated Garritt Ogden.

I am recommending another special meeting in two weeks because I have no faith in our Superintendent anymore, commented Chairman DeAngelis.

You will reinstate the \$2000.00 stated Tom Sym. You go back the bottom line shows a surplus of \$32,896.00. If you go back you will put \$1800.00 of that back in leaving you with \$31096.00, he added. The 4 vendor's amount is a yearly maintenance without any parts at all. We could consider putting that amount into the equipment maintenance account, we need something in there, he added.

Commissioner Morse stated we couldn't get any details, we funded the 4 guys on the request which was \$212,000.00. We thought we would get details today to show how we could spend this year's dollars, added Commissioner Morse. I am trying to spend the dollars for things I know I will get. What I don't spend you guys will get in the revolving sewer fund, he added.

We need to put additional funding into the spare and repair parts stated Commissioner Morse. Jeff Shortell stated both Garritt and I are working to get this done. We spent \$71,543.00 in a week out of the money we have as a surplus, stated Jeff Shortell.

We ought to put a bucket of \$30,000.00 for spare and repair parts as a cushion, stated Commissioner Morse. We need money in that account in case something breaks, added Tom Sym.

He originally asked for \$35000.00. He didn't spend that so why should we add it back in. You are not putting it back to \$350,000, questioned Chairman DeAngelis? I am not stated Tom Sym. I want to cut it at \$212000, stated Tom Sym.

You want to take \$30,000.00 out of the surplus and up it to the \$212,000.00, stated Chairman DeAngelis. Yes, answered Tom Sym. Chairman DeAngelis asked what did you ask for? \$279,150.00 answered Commissioner Morse. So the budget would be \$2,603.700.00, he added.

That was with the user fees not changing which is 13150 users stated Tom Sym. The user fee is \$198 stated Commissioner Morse the same as last year.

Chairman DeAngelis stated a spare parts meeting when? Why don't you give him some time to order some parts and work on the numbers they need to clean up. Call Tom when you are close and Tom Sym will get a hold of us. You call Tom Jeff, stated Chairman DeAngelis.

**END OF SIDE 1A OF 1B, TAPE 1 OF 2 AT 7:45 P.M.**

There are two tasks at hand, this year's expenditures and the projections for next year, questioned Pete Pavone.

We don't need a meeting then, just email the information to Tom, stated Chairman DeAngelis. Can it be to everyone by April 24<sup>th</sup>, questioned Chairman DeAngelis? The outstanding thing is the chlorination issue can we address that after July, questioned Commissioner Morse? We have chlorination in there, answered Garritt Ogden, as a cost. That is important stated Commissioner Morse.

You will send us a list as to why you need those specific items, stated Chairman DeAngelis, in two weeks.

**5B. PUBLIC HEARING 2013/2014 USER CHARGE**

Chairman DeAngelis stated that the budget for 2013/2014 will be set at \$2,603,700 with 1,350 edu's making it \$198.00 for user fees for the 2013/2014 year.

This is not a public hearing stated Tom Sym. This is to set the date, stated Tom Sym. We are approving the budget at \$2,603,700.00, stated Chairman DeAngelis.

**A motion was made by Commissioner Dowty seconded by Commissioner Dognin to approve the 2013/2014 Budget at \$2,603,700.00. All were in favor. Motion passed unanimously.**

Commissioner Morse asked when the public hearing would be scheduled? Tom Sym answered at 6:45P.M., right before the next meeting. May 8 is the next meeting, added Tom Sym.

**5C. HAWK'S RIDGE SUBDIVISION**

**Alan Shepard, Professional Engineer, with Nowakowski, O'Bymachow and Kane.** We did go to Wetlands and they gave us conceptual approval for that gravity line. The system then would be a total gravity line. We now have to go to P & Z and we need a letter from this Board.

Commissioner Morse questioned wetlands gave their blessing? They said it was a small crossing and made sense. It is only 20 feet wide, stated Alan Shepard.

We took a close look at this application, stated Matt Jermine. Going down to the list of recommendations, they are numbered 1-3. There are the capacity limitations for the pipe on Center Street. That should be corrected before they tie in.

The other issue is the flow allocations. The sewer shed has sufficient capacity when allocated, stated Matt Jermine.

Chairman DeAngelis stated we now are at a point where 2 projects are going to put our sewer shed areas over allocations. We took a lot of time deciding on which 12 sewer shed areas would get these flows, he added. We decided on them specifically. We need to do, since this is an application, to this Board, before we accept another we need to have another meeting to decide how to reallocate those. We changed zones to reallocations through industrial and gallons per days to different zones stated Tom Sym.

That is a substantial number in yellow that we are over, stated Chairman DeAngelis. We allocated the average flow at 52,500, stated Matt Jermine. Alan's numbers are at 27 (27,000) that is a big difference stated Chairman DeAngelis.

I took a number relative to today's conditions. Allocations and flows using the 1950's standards when there are 4 children and everyone is at home. You are going to over allocate your flows. Today's numbers are different. It averages out to about 200 gallons a day, stated Alan Shepard.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG. MEET- APRIL 10, 2013

It is the same number, when we did the numbers in Newtown, 3-4 bedrooms getting flow figures of 200 a day per unit, stated Alan Shepard. When you allocate the flows to all these properties you get a higher flow. You get a lower flow stated Chairman DeAngelis. Matt Jermine stated we got these numbers from different books. The reality of today is we don't have 4 children and do we use the published information for 1950 and 1960's, stated Alan Shepard.

We are not asking for the allocation, and we are asking if you would consider this project if P & Z approves this. We would then come back to you and hammer out that number, stated Alan Shepard.

Matt Jermine stated that it is a good discussion for flow allocations is the number that is good for bedrooms. The published numbers show 6 or 7 gallons per flushes as opposed to 1 to 2 gallons per flush, he added.

BOD is high stated Tom Sym. BOD is high because of the commercial property. The commercial is involved in that and that discussions needs to be done, stated Chairman DeAngelis, especially raising the commercial rate.

We have a meeting with P & Z on the April 24 and we need a letter from this Board saying would consider this with some conditions, stated Alan Shepard. According to the developer it would be a year and a half before he flushes any toilets up there, stated Tom Sym.

Chairman DeAngelis then asked each member of the Commission to give their opinions.

Commissioner Dognin stated that this area is not being developed with regard to the Plan of Development and Planning & Zoning. It was LIP which is light industrial, factories and commercial. We are giving up that capacity in an area where it wasn't designed. We are trashing the plan that was developed over the last 5 to 10 years. The Plan of Development and the Planning & Zoning zones that have come out of that. So I am not so keen on that, he added.

But you are not the Zoning Commission stated Tom Sym.

No, but I am saying that we should not be giving up capacity for something that ought to be industrial, commercial, and that's what I am saying, stated Commissioner Dognin. That is a WPCA matter, he added.

Chairman DeAngelis stated Tom do you remember when we put together this original chart, and I am not saying I agree with Regis or not, that is what it was based on. So yes we can be the P & Z in our own right because that is how we came up with these numbers.

If you take 30 acres industrial property which would be half sized down to 15 acres residential property and compare the flow rates, I would say the industrial would be higher, stated Tom Sym. Industrial flow rates are higher, questioned Chairman DeAngelis? 30 acres industrial to 10 acres to residential, added Tom Sym. Why would you compare apples to orange asked Commissioner Dognin?

We are dealing with property 30 acres, rather it is industrial, commercial or residential in regard to how much flow can be produced with that property, stated Tom Sym. We went through that property with a sewer easement in 1975 so there is a sewer on this guy's property and he wants the ability to use it, he added. That has nothing to do with using the capacity of the City, stated Commissioner Dognin. That's right, stated Chairman DeAngelis. Where the pipe is not relevant, stated Commissioner Dognin.

What about the zones, questioned Tom Sym? It is an LIP, answered Commissioner Dognin and we planned on using it as an LIP. Alright stated Tom Sym. It's not a PDD, stated Commissioner Dognin. So on the LIP what would you think the flow rate would be on this 30 acres, questioned Tom Sym? I thought and believed that the industrial was less than the residential, stated Commissioner Dognin. We are not talking processed water, we are talking just flushes, stated Commissioner Dognin.

Chairman DeAngelis asked Commissioner Frolish. Commissioner Frolish stated the capacity doesn't work. There are two different figures.

Chairman DeAngelis asked Commissioner Ramia. I am new here stated Commissioner Ramia. I don't think it's the right flow.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG. MEET-APRIL 10, 2013

My concern is why didn't it come to us after P & Z when they say it's ok for the school system, it's ok for the Police Department, it's ok for the Fire Department. You have 80 units, another 50 units and 250 units on Canal Street. We don't take care of the Education Budget as is it and it is going to be multiplied by 400, 500, 600 kids. And I don't care what anyone says, when they say it's not going to be for kids. The only way I would say that is great is if you say there will be 40 kids and anything over that 40 kids you are going to pay for their education, then I will go along with it, stated Commissioner Ramia. We pay, it is not the developers that I am blaming, because I am good friends with a lot of the developers. When is enough enough. I was born 500 feet from where the project will be so I am a little partial, here. I just think the flow and the two examples, from our own Engineer and Mr., Shepard. There is 100% difference. So why are we here if there is 100% difference, added Commissioner Ramia. I am willing to give you my opinion I am not up to it like you guys are. I am not against the development. I think you are asking to vote on things that include so many other things in town, it will cost, if we want to do that then I vote for it but who the hell knows, he added.

Commissioner Hellauer stated I don't think that the decision is up to us right now. It's preliminary and if it goes through Planning & Zoning with approval then we have to get off the pot. So I think that we wait until the Planning & Zoning decision comes down and then maybe we can wheel and deal with the developer for a little help to repair the sewer on Center Street to increase the capacity there. As far as allocation goes, like everyone knows we have been swapping allocations all over the place. So that is my opinion.

Commissioner Dowty stated I know that there is a big disparity between the two flow rates. I do understand that we are down to the 1.5 gallon flush and we are using a lot less water as far as the flow goes from residential units then we ever did before. You can't even buy a toilet in Connecticut more than 1.5. Someone has to look at the flow rates and what they are based on. I do understand the concern. You need something to bring to P & Z that says we are willing to look at this and evaluate this. You are looking for us to give you something to take to them, is that correct, he questioned Alan Shepard? So I think you need to reevaluate what the actual flow rates would be and if we are going to get some help with the sewer on Center Street. Those are all things we are going to need to evaluate. I don't know if we should hold them up from going to Planning & Zoning right now and we are willing to look at it and consider it, added Commissioner Dowty. Does that make sense, he questioned?

Matt Jermine stated from my point of view there is one thing you have to remember I am concerned about the capacity of limitations there on Center Street. So I would rather be conservative estimating the flows going into the pipe then being a little more generous. All things being equal I do agree that the average daily flow would be less from a development. I am using the public health code for flows and there is a factor safety in there. What happens if in 2 years if the houses are full and you have an SSO on Center Street and someone says you under estimated flows, stated Matt Jermine.

Commissioner Morse stated I think we are faced with a concept here and we are being asked can this concept and can the system accept the flows as specified in this concept? Apparently by what we see by the recommendations, we have an issue on Center Street. So somewhere along the way that has to be resolved and I am not sure timing wise on Center Street what is coming down the pipe. Besides the other stuff on Canal Street, it is all starting to add up and it is all homed in to Center Street, added Commissioner Morse. If this project doesn't get going, I am just looking at the timing thing, for a year and a half, will we get nailed by something else going down Center Street prior to you guys flushing the first toilet. I don't know but all we need at this point is an SSO when we are still operating under a consent decree, he added. We don't need another over flow because of a capacity issue. I am in the middle, I understand the concept and the concept with condition that Center Street has to get fixed. Let it rip.

Chairman DeAngelis stated I am not concerned about the flow differences. That number will get worked out. What I am concerned about and I am not concerned about Center Street. It is first in and first approval. I don't care what happens in a year from now, once he gets his approval and he is first we have to accept him, stated Chairman DeAngelis. If someone else comes in with something else that job will get rejected and not this job. So that is where I am with the Center Street issue. It would be great to ask anyone to help with Center, he added.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG MEET-APRIL 10, 2013

The issue I have is even if you correct the flows and Tom you are going to disagree with me but I will stick with Regis because I agree 100%. We did this chart for specific reasons and it was done, we worked really hard on this chart 5 or 6 years ago. If we are over in a sewer shed area, we are not just going to willy nilly take flow from one area and put it in another area without thinking about it. You said we did it before, I think once before maybe and now is the time to get this thing resolved. And even if his flows are resolved, he is still going to be over in the sewer shed area. I agree with Regis and I wished I had the numbers, I will look when I get home, but that 9A area where he is in and was mostly industrial more than anything, stated Chairman DeAngelis. It doesn't matter to me if there is a pipe that was put in there in 1975. I don't care what that pipe does. That pipe doesn't know what it does other than except flow from something, he added. Rather it is commercial or residential. So if you are asking my personal opinion, I am going to tell you that I don't agree with the project right now because it is over in allocation, number 1. And number 2, if I recall that 9 A was mostly supposed to be industrial, period. Industrial, stated Chairman DeAngelis. And that is why I would not go along with it, right now, just saying right now, he added.

Alan Shepard stated this is the same property that Scinto was approved for an office building. This Board already approved that for an office building. There was already a designated flow for that office building and that property.

This specific property, questioned Chairman DeAngelis? Yes answered both Tom Sym and Alan Shepard. That is for one project, that is for Scinto's, that is not for your project, stated Chairman DeAngelis. Once you come here, Scinto's project is in the past, he added. Now all of a sudden his approval goes away.

Alan Shepard stated fair enough. We are talking about capacity. I am trying to figure out what are zoning issues and what are capacity issues. The applicant has to go through Zoning and they may not like the housing. If we are talking about capacity, generally speaking I use the numbers for residential that is generally less than an office building would generate.

We are following procedures set up by the City of Shelton. In the old days we went to this Board first and then went to P & Z, stated Alan Shepard. They adjusted we go for conceptual plans to see if you have capacity, he added.

Well they would come here first and we would say yes. Then they would go to P & Z and they would say no we can't support your project, stated Chairman DeAngelis. That's why we changed the procedure, he added.

We asking for conceptual and not even looking at flows, stated Alan Shepard. We need to get P & Z's approval before we get flows. They might not give approval and then we would be back to a different number. We don't want to allocate flows. We are just asking if this is a project barring the technical problems if this is a capacity you could support, he added.

It is capacity we could support if you are an industrial zone project as Regis said stated Chairman DeAngelis. This is an Industrial Zone and that is what we based our numbers on, he added. So I would ask you Alan to comeback saying residential is less than industrial. I thought Light Industrial was less than residential, stated Chairman DeAngelis.

Alan Shepard stated office space numbers are higher. If you have a big steel company you would not have a lot of employees. I remember when we did numbers on the hat factory, those flows were like nothing, stated Chairman DeAngelis. I remember that stated Commissioner Morse. I did research that, he added.

It depends on the employees, you think 22 gallons a day, stated Alan Shepard. An office building will have more flows than a light industrial. You would have to do a statistical analysis. It is a big piece of property and how do you get a number if you don't know what the use will be, he added.

Commissioner Morse stated this is LIP and you have this project is a PDD? Yes, stated Alan Shepard. The zone will make the difference, he added. It will be a PDD, however, we didn't plan for a PDD when we did our flows, stated Commissioner Dognin. I have a question about residential versus LIP flows.

I am not worried about the 52 and 27 that will get worked out, I am not worried about the pipe downtown that will get solved, stated Commissioner Dognin.

What I am worried about is changing our plan that we did earlier based on the flows per kind of zoning, stated Commissioner Dognin. We are giving up capacity for someone else, he added. Chairman DeAngelis stated Regis is right once we give approval to go from an LIP to PDD we need to do it for every other person that comes and we are going to be updating this every 6 months. Forget it, added Chairman DeAngelis.

Commissioner Dognin stated you talk about capacity I was under the impression the capacity at the plant is our limit. That is where the top numbers come from and we figure it out based on the zones.

If the pipe doesn't take the flow then it is at its capacity, stated Commissioner Hellauer. We can add pipes easier than we can build a new plant stated Commissioner Dognin.

So we can move on, stated Chairman DeAngelis, you are looking for a recommendation from us. Yes if it would be there is some technical issues that got resolved and it would be ok to go forward, stated Alan Shepard. If the recommendation comes with the fact it was planned for industrial and you don't want to approve it that could be dangerous. Chairman DeAngelis stated I know what you are trying to say. It is not dangerous, I will fight it, he added. Let's stop and we will take a vote, we have over talked it, he added.

My recommendation is that we turn it down because I don't think we should be allocating flow for residential use when we need to save what we have for other areas that are residential and this is LIP and for the moment it is still LIP, stated Commissioner Dognin, and that is my position. I am assuming LIP is lighter then residential and no one knows the fact on that one so we need to resolve that, he added. And the 52 to 27 that will be resolved, I am not worried about that.

Chairman DeAngelis stated so Regis is making a recommendation to deny. For the moment, added Commissioner Dognin. Anyone wants to second it, asked Chairman DeAngelis. I will second it, he added.

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Dognin seconded by Chairman DeAngelis to deny the conceptual plans on Hawk Ridge Subdivision. 3 Commissioners voted in favor and 4 opposed. The motion did not pass.

Now there has to a motion to accept the proposal, the conceptual plans, stated Chairman DeAngelis.

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Morse seconded by Commissioner Hellauer to accept the conceptual plans on Hawk Ridge Subdivision with conditions ( to be determined).

I don't understand why we are here if Planning & Zoning hasn't switched the zoning it is a moot point, stated Commissioner Dowty. That's why I am opposing it, stated Chairman DeAngelis. Commissioner Dognin stated they could say yes we could say no and we would be under the gun. If P & Z gives the PDD then we have to come back and figure it out, stated Commissioner Morse. We will have no choice stated Commissioner Morse. We have a choice right now stated Commissioner Dognin.

There were I's from the Commissioners in favor and Chairman DeAngelis said I am opposed and two more Commissioners answered I am opposed. So take it to P & Z stated Chairman DeAngelis.

Alan Shepard stated I hear what you are saying and I don't know what the answer is. There is a lot of work and for a specific reason stated Chairman DeAngelis. It is worth fighting those guys over there, he added.

I am on WPCA in Newtown and we did a lot work on the same thing that you did. Why have the engineers, added Alan Shepard? It comes down to the towns master plan and that says no, stated Commissioner Morse, Commissioner Dognin and Chairman DeAngelis, We follow the master plan, he added. We are addressing the flow, stated Commissioner Dognin. You got conceptual design, stated Chairman DeAngelis.

#### 5D. FUSS & O'NEILL STATUS REPORT

Matt Jermine stated that the first item on the agenda is the flow allocations, just what we have been talking. That work still has to be done.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY-REG MEET-APRIL 10, 2013

Matt Jermine stated that the BID advertisement will be in the newspaper tomorrow. I scheduled three dates for meetings and the BID award would be the A&T meeting after the next WPCA meeting.

There are some plans that I handed out tonight, if anyone else needs a set let me know and I will email them to you, stated Matt Jermine.

**END OF SIDE 1B OF 1B, TAPE 1 OF 2 AT 8:30 P.M.**

Matt Jermine stated the DEEP representative is on maternity leave and if he didn't place a call to speak with someone about it he felt that application would have sat on her desk for a while. There is a backlog with regard to releasing any money.

The assignment log sheet shows the invoice for the last month and a lot of that is getting the invoices out, stated Matt Jermine.

Do you have a lot of bidders asked Tom Sym? We have several and that will be in the paper tomorrow, answered Matt Jermine.

6 NEW BUSINESS

1. FUSS & O'NEILL \$5,431.40

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Dognin seconded by Commissioner Morse to pay the Fuss & O'Neill invoice of \$5,431.40. Funds allocated from the Sinking Fund. All were in favor. Motion passed unanimously.

2. FUSS & O'NEILL \$2,544.00

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Frolish seconded by Commissioner Ramia to pay the Fuss & O'Neill invoice of \$2,544.00. Funds were allocated from the Sinking Fund. All were in favor. Motion passed unanimously.

B. SEWER ADMINISTRATION

Tom Sym stated we are still waiting for some corrections to be made from Accounting for money that had come out of the Sinking Fund and it will be put back in.

The Purchasing Agent found a van that Pete will be using. This is from the State stated Commissioner Dognin. They are surplus vehicles, he added.

MOTION: A motion was made by Commissioner Dognin seconded by Commissioner Ramia to adjourn at 8:45 P.M.

Diana Barry, Clerk

