Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Mark A. Lauretti called the meeting to order at 7 p.m. All those present rose and pledged allegiance to the flag.

Roll Call

Aldermanic President John F. Anglace, Jr. - present
Alderman John “Jack” Finn - present
Alderman Stanley Kudej – present
Alderman Joseph Lanzi - present
Alderman Lynne Farrell - absent
Alderman Kenneth Olin - present
Alderman John P. Papa – present
Alderman Nancy Minotti – present

Administration:

Mayor Mark A. Lauretti
Corporation Counsel Thomas Welch

There was a quorum of 7 present, 1 absent.

Public Session

Alderman Anglace asked if any member of the public wished to address the Board.

Irving Steiner, 23 Partridge Lane

Good evening members of the Board of Aldermen. I wish to discuss the latest move by the City of Shelton to choose the course of eminent domain on the Wiacek property against the wishes of the owners. It is very disturbing, particularly because of the insufficient and weak reasons given by this administration for this action. The Board of Aldermen, in calling for a special meeting of the Board of Aldermen, also did not schedule or allow public debate on this matter.
Eminent domain is a very necessary tool used by municipalities to obtain critical pieces of land for the general public good. It can also be used in a poor manner and prove to be an unusually harsh tactic as it appears to be the case for the Wiaceks and their property.

One of the reasons given was that the Wiacek property creates a drainage problem for the Shelton High School. This, I understand, is not true, because the Wiacek property creating the drainage problem is roughly another 4.5 acre strip of land that was deeded to the City as open space when the Wiacek application to the Planning and Zoning Board was approved. The City now owns the land that is creating the drainage problem. The only other reason given by the City is that they want it for open space. Open space for what purpose? For another athletic field? To bring continuity to two disconnected walking trails?

A better explanation on the need for this open space which has a high voltage transmission line passing through it, is certainly in order before eminent domain is considered. After all, this family has lived here nearly 100 years. What have they done wrong to receive such inconsideration?

With regard to land purchases for the purpose of open space, the people of Shelton have stronger desires to purchase other properties such as the Toll property on Long Hill Avenue and Cranberry on Armstrong Road. Both of these properties had been previously mentioned by the administration as possible purchases for open space, but this has not occurred. Cranberry as open space would be more desirable than an Avalon development which is now planned for that area. Before filing their application with the P and Z, the Wiaceks communicated their intentions to develop the property to City officials. In fact, the City, according to Mr. Splan, was aware of the Wiacek’s intentions since 2002. Why did the City wait so long to make a decision on this property? It would have been less expensive to purchase the property prior to it being approved as a 24-lot subdivision. But even then, the City would have to provide better reasons before considering eminent domain.

It is hoped that in the near future, the administration will be able to better explain and define the reasons for exercising eminent domain on the Wiacek property. Thank you.

Walter Sofian, 7 Andrew Drive

I am very concerned about use of eminent domain as a tool when it is apparent that the City of Shelton doesn’t really know what they want to do with the Wiacek property.
If it was a situation where they want to build another campus – namely a school - to alleviate the problems of White Hills, that would make sense in terms of eminent domain. But to talk about drainage, to talk about another ball field, the campuses of the Intermediate School and the High School have more than enough fields – there are two baseball fields above, adjacent to the Wiacek property, there is the football field of course, there are soccer fields below, another baseball field down below, adjacent to the recreation path and a softball field – possibly even two - adjacent as part of the Intermediate School. Fields would be a very poor reason to exercise eminent domain. Frankly, as a homeowner, I’m worried when you guys are going to come after me and say, ‘gee Mr. Sofian, I like your property, why don’t I just take it by eminent domain.’

The Wiacek thing has been in discussion for a very long time. It would seem to me that if the City is serious about acquiring this property, then they should consider paying the fair market value of the property and not acquire it by eminent domain, for which they have really shown no plan.

Thank you very much.

---

Eugene Hope, 74 North Street

Good evening. My name is Gene Hope. I live at 74 North Street. I have a letter here this evening, it’s very brief. It’s on the subject of Charter Revision generally, and the executive sessions in particular.

I have made an exhaustive search of the City Charter and cannot find any reference to “Executive Sessions” of City governmental agencies; nor for that matter any mention of City ordinances nor of State Statutes regulating the conduct of executive sessions.

As Chairman of CARE, the ad-hoc Charter Amendment Review Group, I maintain that this function should be clearly defined and added to the Charter currently under review. Such action will benefit all City residents as well as the Board and Commission members in the conduct of City business.

We will appreciate your assistance in providing guidelines in defining an executive session. It is anticipated that your input would consider the following elements.

Justification and applicable law governing the executive sessions.

Items which can be legally discussed.
Method of calling for an executive session.

Any requirement for advance notice of session.

Quorum and majority vote requirements.

Retention of material discussed and wording of proposed motion to be voted upon.

Record of supporting documents used as basis for voting on a motion.

Legality of voting on motions discussed while still in executive session.

And finally, any other requirement of which you in your capacity as Corporation Counsel are aware. Your courtesy in responding in a timely manner to this information request is acknowledged in advance, as it is an essential consideration in the Charter Review process.

This letter is addressed to the Corporation Counsel, Mr. Welch. A copy has been given to the clerk for transmission to him. She also has a copy for your records. I thank you for your courtesy.

Judson Crawford, 8 Jordan Avenue

First, speaking as a member of the Board of A & T, I wish to thank the President of the Board of Aldermen for drawing the meeting together of the Board of Education, Board of A & T and the Board of Aldermen. I have one question that is going to you, Mr. President, and also secondly to the Finance Committee of the Board of Aldermen.

Back in 1997, the Board of Education Technology Fund was established in the amount of $250,000. You came in and stated, Mr. President, that the Wide Area World Network has been completed, has been installed and we came under budget. I have two, three, four questions.

One. What was the cost to implement the Wide Area World Network?

Two. From the Board of Ed Technology account that was established by the Board of Aldermen, how much was expended from that line item and how much remains?
Three. The planning for next year's budget. How much will it cost for the Board of Education. How much will it cost for the City to operate the Wide Area World Network?

My final question is. The field was paid for by the, from the Board of Aldermen’s recommendation to take the $425,000 out of that technology account. When will that start to be reimbursed to the City and will that account remain with the City or is that going to the Board of Education?

My questions, and I’d like the answers, I know this will come before the Finance Committee. Thank you.

[NOTE: See item 8 – Financial Business Old]

Mayor Lauretti asked if any other member of the public wished to address the Board. Being none, he declared the Public Session closed.

**Add - ons**

Alderman Anglace MOVED to add the following items to the agenda:

5.1.6 Police Department Radio System Improvements
6.2 Legal Report – Pellegrino Law Firm
10.2.b Request Water Main Extension – Nichols Ave 73-97
10.3 EMS Commission Appointments
10.6 Lease of State Land – File No. 126-54-76b

SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

**Agenda Items**

**Minutes for Approval**

Alderman Anglace MOVED to waive the reading of and accept the following meeting minutes:

1. Special meeting of July 27, 2004
2. Special meeting of July 29, 2004
3. Regular meeting of August 12, 2004
4. Special meeting of September 1, 2004

SECONDED by Alderman Kudej. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
5.1 FINANCE COMMITTEE

5.1.1 Minutes of July 22, 2004

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee to waive the reading and approve the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting of July 22, 2004; SECONDED by Alderman Minotti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.2 August Statutory Refunds

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee, that the report of the Tax Collector relative to the refund of taxes for a total amount of $13,285.44 be approved and that the Finance Director be directed to make payments in accordance with the Certified List received from the Tax Collector. Funds to come from the Statutory Refunds account #001-0000-311.13-00; SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
### AUGUST 2004 STATUTORY REFUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT #</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>REASON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03-03-33</td>
<td>ABAR DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>$2,278.46</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-110</td>
<td>ABOFF, RONALD</td>
<td>$6.39</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-1043</td>
<td>ASCOM HASLER MAILING SYSTEMS</td>
<td>$13.98</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-1458</td>
<td>BAKTIS, MARY</td>
<td>$7.05</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-1568</td>
<td>BALOGH, LASZALO</td>
<td>$47.37</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-3264</td>
<td>BONILLA, IRIS</td>
<td>$10.72</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-3572</td>
<td>BRADY JOHN OR KARIN</td>
<td>$26.03</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-5981</td>
<td>CHUDY, SUSANNE</td>
<td>$65.76</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-7813</td>
<td>DCFS TRUST</td>
<td>$283.47</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-3797</td>
<td>DEVELLIS, MARCO</td>
<td>$579.61</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-9085</td>
<td>DOMINGUEZ, RICHARD OR LUCILE</td>
<td>$45.07</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-10932</td>
<td>FINCH, KEVIN</td>
<td>$70.23</td>
<td>DESTROYED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-11655</td>
<td>FRASHEFSKI, MILDRED</td>
<td>$41.53</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-4760</td>
<td>FRY, DANIEL</td>
<td>$1,373.12</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-12010</td>
<td>GALUARDI, JAMES</td>
<td>$15.30</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-2080</td>
<td>GELDER</td>
<td>$1,326.18</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-5718</td>
<td>HARRICK</td>
<td>$937.16</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-14758</td>
<td>HILDEBRAND, MILDRED</td>
<td>$11.14</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-16110</td>
<td>JASINSKI, JOHN</td>
<td>$161.16</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-169.18</td>
<td>KELLER</td>
<td>$11.23</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-16882</td>
<td>KELLEY</td>
<td>$30.23</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-17709</td>
<td>KOSMA, SUZANNE</td>
<td>$76.83</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-7422</td>
<td>LAHINES, DENNIS</td>
<td>$1,627.65</td>
<td>PAID TWICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-19540</td>
<td>LITTLE, ROBERT</td>
<td>$26.05</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-01-18636</td>
<td>LOSCHIAVO</td>
<td>$479.04</td>
<td>WRONG TAX TOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-21033</td>
<td>MARTINS, ANTONIO</td>
<td>$32.19</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-37801</td>
<td>MASULLI</td>
<td>$9.98</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-22453</td>
<td>MILLER</td>
<td>$20.39</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-03-9172</td>
<td>MOZDZER</td>
<td>$979.02</td>
<td>OVER ASSESSED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-23598</td>
<td>NICHOLS</td>
<td>$19.43</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-24549</td>
<td>OWCARZ</td>
<td>$118.45</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-25611</td>
<td>PAZIK</td>
<td>$190.62</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-25747</td>
<td>PENDAGAST, DAVID</td>
<td>$31.55</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-03-10479</td>
<td>PILLAI</td>
<td>$35.48</td>
<td>AMOUNT PAID WRONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-27765</td>
<td>REID, MAUREEN</td>
<td>$8.23</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-27891</td>
<td>REXFORD</td>
<td>$142.36</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-28548</td>
<td>RUSSELL LINEN</td>
<td>$59.50</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-29382</td>
<td>SAMUEL</td>
<td>$9.59</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-29723</td>
<td>SAVARY</td>
<td>$17.87</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-30837</td>
<td>SKEWES, GAIL</td>
<td>$73.58</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-01-31401</td>
<td>SPATH, MARY</td>
<td>$32.76</td>
<td>MOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-01-31974</td>
<td>SPINELLI, ELIZABETH</td>
<td>$32.57</td>
<td>SOLD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.3 White Hills Firehouse – Floor Drains

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee to approve the purchase of a 1,000-gallon concrete holding tank to store wastewater; and

FURTHER, MOVED to add this to the Capital Improvements Fund with funding in the amount not-to-exceed $1,200 to come from LOCIP.

SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.4 State of Connecticut – East Village Road Easements – File No. 126-160-8

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee to accept the offer by the State of Connecticut of $29,500 for the acquisition of 7,798 square feet of land and all easements and rights as depicted on said maps dated January 31, 2004. Copies of maps are on file with the Clerk; SECONDED by Alderman Minotti.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I have one question for Corporation Counsel, only because I didn’t understand why the State of Connecticut was seeking the easements and paying us. The City of Shelton owns and road and will still own the road after the improvements are made. I understand that the money for
the upgrades are coming from State of Connecticut and the federal government.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I believe if you look at the map they are taking a portion of property that is owned by the City, not the road itself, I believe it’s taking property along the park.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I understand that, but it doesn’t make sense.

Corporation Counsel Welch explained, they consider the City of Shelton as any other land owner – if they take an easement you’re entitled to fair compensation. They’re encroaching on the land.

Mayor Lauretti replied, I understand that, but as an example, when they do widening on a State road, they own the road, they maintain the road; the City has no ownership. We own the road. We are maintaining the road.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, they are encroaching upon a portion of land other than the road.

Mayor Lauretti stated, but it’s our road. We own it anyway. We are not forfeiting ownership. When we have to improve on a road, and we widen a road, we don’t pay ourselves for taking an easement.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, if you take a portion of property and they’re acting, the Department of Transportation is acting as the agent for the project, and paying out the easements,

Mayor Lauretti interjected, it just drives the cost of the project up and the fees for all of the engineers and architects that work on the project.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, something is being taken from the City, and we’re being compensated. That is required by Statute. They’re treating it as a State project.

Mayor Lauretti stated, they’re just the funding source.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
5.1.5 Professional Services

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee, to approve the list of professional services:

- Legal & library books
- Accountants
- Physicians
- Engineers
- Architects
- Surveyors
- Auditors
- Recruitment Testing
- Municipal Software Providers
- Appraisals
- Title Services
- Attorneys
- Drug Testing Services
- Consultants
- Title Insurance Companies
- Training Providers
- Professional Labor Services

SECONDED by Alderman Minotti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.6 Police Department Radio System Improvements

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee, to approve a contract with Marcus Communications and appropriate $23,000 with funding to come from LOCIP, for the Police Department Radio System Improvements as detailed on RFP #24-05 attached; and

FURTHER, MOVED move to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to sign any necessary documents and add the Police Department Radio System Improvements to the Capital Improvements account; SECONDED by Alderman Finn. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.2 PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITTEE

No items presented.

5.3 STREET COMMITTEE

No items presented.

MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE

None presented.

PRESIDENT’S CORRESPONDENCE
Alderman Anglace stated, as a result of the joint meeting held between the Board of Aldermen, Board of Education and Board of Apportionment and Taxation, some information was discussed with respect to the State share of the Education Cost Sharing grants, and what came up was the funds from Connecticut Lottery. It was stated that everyone understood that the Connecticut Lottery proceeds were to go for education exclusively. Arlene Liscinsky, a member of the Board of Education, did some research and wrote me a letter and asked me to share it with the Board regarding percentages. I will share this with you. The clerk will have it copied for you. Arlene wanted me to point out that the Department of Education receives only 15.87 percent of the Connecticut Lottery money. That is all that goes to education. That is another eye opener – it amounts to $37,715,000.

6.0 LEGAL REPORT

6.1 Corporation Legal Counsel

Alderman Anglake MOVED to authorize a total payment of $1,781.59 to Corporation Counsel Winnick, Vine, Welch, & Teodosio, LLC for services rendered per statement dated May 1, 2004 with funds to come from the Legal Fees account 001-1900-411.30-03; SECONDED by Alderman Kudej. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

6.2 Pellegrino Law Firm

Alderman Anglace MOVED to authorize a total payment of $632.50 to Pellegrino Law Firm for services rendered per statement dated June 16, 2004 with funds to come from the Legal Fees account 001-1900-411.30-03; SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi.

Alderman Anglace noted that this is in conjunction with the City of Shelton v. Samarius Wernick, 123 Canal Street.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

7 LEGISLATIVE - OLD

7.1 Ordinances from Public Hearing

7.1.A Permanent War Memorial Commission
Public hearing was held on August 26, 2004

Alderman Anglace MOVED to adopt the following Ordinance:

The codified ordinances identified as Permanent War Memorial Building Commission section 2-51, 2-52 (Ordinance # 318), 2-53, 2-54, 2-55, 2-56 and 2-57 are hereby repealed; SECONDED by Alderman Kudej.

Alderman Anglace stated, the other ordinances that are referred to is a section of the ordinance book and not by ordinance number. These ordinances were created prior to the time that ordinances were given numbers.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

7.1.B War Memorial Scholarship Committee

Public hearing was held on August 26, 2004

Alderman Anglace MOVED to adopt the following Ordinance:

Be it hereby ordained by the Board of Aldermen of the City of Shelton that there shall be a War Memorial Scholarship Committee comprised of five members. This committee shall review the agency funds available and annually award a scholarship(s) as determined by criteria deemed appropriate by the committee.

The committee shall be comprised of three residents of Shelton who shall have seen active military service. They shall serve staggered terms of three years and may be reappointed. In addition, the City Treasurer and the Superintendent of Schools shall serve for the duration of their election/appointment. The committee shall report their activities annually to the Board of Aldermen.

SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi.
Alderman Anglace noted that this mirrors what is currently in place and perpetuates the scholarship as intended.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

Alderman Anglace MOVED to appoint the following persons to the War Memorial Scholarship Committee:
Alphonse Sabetta (R)
77 Coram Road

Leon Sylvester (D)
Superintendent of Schools

Frank Pagliaro (R)
City Treasurer

Stephen Guy Beardsley (R)
276 Leavenworth Road

John Formanek (U)
61 Country Ridge Drive
SECONDED by Alderman Olin. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

7.1.C Ordinance #779 – Benefit For Surviving Spouses Of Fire Personnel- Amendment

Public hearing was held on August 26, 2004

Alderman Anglase MOVED to adopt the following Ordinance Amend ordinance #779, Benefit for Surviving Spouses of Fire Personnel to eliminate the words “personal property” taxes; SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi.

Alderman Anglase stated, the full ordinance as revised is attached to this motion.

Note: the State allows the City to abate all or a portion of the property taxes due with respect to real property owned and occupied as the principal residence of the surviving spouse of a police officer or firefighter who dies in the performance of such officer’s or fire fighter’s duties

Ordinance # 779   w/ amendment

Benefit for Surviving Spouses of Fire Personnel

Resolved,

Whereas, the City of Shelton Fire Department consists of volunteer firefighters, and

Whereas, such volunteers are not paid employees of the city, and

Whereas, such volunteers are exposed to the serious hazards of this duty, and

Whereas, it is the desire of the city to provide a tax abatement benefit to cover real estate taxes for a surviving spouse,

Now therefore be it resolved that up to $4,000 annually in real estate taxes of property owned by the surviving spouse of a
Shelton fireman killed in the line of duty for the city of Shelton shall be abated during the period that the surviving spouse remains unmarried. Benefit will apply to current surviving spouses who otherwise qualify but the benefit will not be retroactive. This benefit does not apply to Shelton resident whom another municipality employs and who subsequently lose their life in the line of duty.

8.  -  FINANCIAL BUSINESS – OLD

Mayor Lauretti stated, before we go on, I wanted to mention to Jud Crawford who raised four questions about financial issues, Jud, those issues are long past the Finance Committee, so they won’t be there at the Finance Committee. If you want the questions answered, just send a note over to me or to Lou Marusic in Finance, and we’ll get you an answer on it – it’s pretty simple.

Alderman Anglance stated, most of the answers are contained in the information that was passed out at the meeting - I will be glad to review that with you personally, and help you understand it.

8.1 Cutter Mill

Mayor Lauretti stated, there is no action to be taken on the cutter mill at this point. We’re still working on some other machines that the Purchasing Agent located in different parts of the country that appear to peak our interest. We’re looking at them – they’re used pieces of equipment but they appear to be substantial in value and in condition. Maybe next week or the week after I’ll have this issue before you.

9.0  FINANCIAL BUSINESS – NEW

9.1 Title Insurance – Open Space – Shelton Family Farm

Alderman Anglance MOVED to authorize the expenditure of $2,013.00 for purchase of title insurance relative to Shelton Family Farms, with funds to be paid from the Open Space account; SECONDED by Alderman Finn.

Alderman Anglance stated, this is just a way of protecting the title.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, as the Board is aware, the City of Shelton closed on this matter two weeks ago so the title for development rights rests with the City of Shelton and the United States Department of Agriculture.
A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0
9.2  Axton Cross Demolition

Alderman Anglace MOVED to adopt the following Resolution:

Be it resolved that $33,624.27 is hereby appropriated from the General Fund Surplus as a temporary borrowing for the acquisition and demolition cost; and

FURTHER MOVED to adopt the following Resolution:

Be it resolved that $33,624.27 of City bonds is authorized to be issued in pursuant of the provisions of Section 7.16 of the City Charter and is within the limitation set further therein for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds shall be used to reimburse the temporary borrowing appropriated funds from the General Fund Surplus.

SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi.

Alderman Lanzi asked, does this complete Phase II?

Mayor Lauretti replied no, this is just for the purposes of demolition of the Axton Cross building.

Alderman Lanzi asked, once this gets done, then the road can be completed?

Mayor Lauretti replied, actually, we’re still waiting for the railroad company to complete their work at the crossing. There is another issue that will be before you shortly that deals with some contamination in the road and has been noticed by the DEP, for some excavation that we haven’t decided who is going to pay for at this point. That is another issue that is prohibiting the completion of this road.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, our engineers on the project have indicated that the demolition of the building is necessary to continue with the road improvements.

Alderman Papa asked, what is the timetable on the demolition.

Mayor Lauretti replied, any time now, maybe a month’s timeframe.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
10. - LEGISLATIVE - NEW

10.1 Ordinances to Public Hearing

10.1.a Citywide Technology Committee

Public hearing scheduled for September 23, 2004

10.1.b Amendment Ordinance #775 – Alcohol Possession by Minors

Public hearing scheduled for September 23, 2004

Section 1: findings:

1. The possession and consumption of alcoholic liquor by minors is a matter of a growing local, regional, and national concern.

2. Consumption of alcoholic liquor by minors unsupervised by parental authority creates a health and safety risk not only to our children but also to the general public.

3. The city of Shelton seeks to protect, preserve, and promote the health, safety, welfare and quality of life of its residents by regulating the possession of alcohol by minors.

Section 2: purpose:

To regulate the possession of alcoholic beverages by minors on both public and private property.

Section 3: definitions:

Alcoholic liquor shall have the same meaning as the same term defined in title 30, section 30-1 of the Connecticut general statutes, as amended from time to time.

Host to organize a gathering of two or more persons, or to allow the premises under one’s control to be used with one’s knowledge, for a gathering of two or more persons for personal, social, or business interaction.

Minor any person under the age of twenty-one (21) years old.
Person any individual, firm, partnership, association, syndicate, company, trust, corporation, limited liability company, municipality, agency, or political or administrative subdivision of the state or other legal entity of any kind.

Possess means to have physical possession or otherwise to exercise dominion or control over tangible property (sec. 53a-3 penal code of the general statutes of Connecticut)
Section 4: possession:

No person under the age of twenty-one (21) shall be in possession of containers of alcoholic liquors, whether open or closed, within the city of Shelton, except when accompanied by or in the presence of his or her parent, legal guardian, or spouse, who has attained the age of twenty-one (21) years. This restriction shall apply to both public and private property.

Section 5: hosting events:

No person shall host an event or gathering at which alcohol will be consumed by or dispensed to any minor unless said minor is accompanied by or in the presence of his or her parent, guardian, or spouse who has attained the age of twenty-one (21) years. This restriction shall apply to any event or gathering within the city of Shelton, whether conducted on public or private property.

Section 6: exceptions:

The provisions of sections 4 and 5 of this ordinance shall not apply to the following:

1. A minor who possesses alcoholic liquor on the order of a practicing physician or any person who sells, ships, delivers or gives any alcoholic liquors to a minor on the order of a practicing physician

2. A person over the age of eighteen (18) who is an employee or permit holder under section 30-90a of the Connecticut general statutes and who possesses alcoholic liquor in the course of such person’s employment or business or in the course of a sale, shipment, or delivery of alcoholic liquor made to a person over age eighteen (18) who is an employee or permit holder under section 30-90a of the Connecticut general statutes and where such sale, shipment, or delivery is made in the course of such person’s employment or business.
3. Possession, consumption, or use of alcoholic liquor at legally protected religious observances supervised by or in the presence of his or her parent, legal guardian, or spouse, who has attained the age of twenty-one (21) years.

Section 7: penalties:

Any person violating any provision of this article shall be subject to a fine of $100.00.

10.2 Request for Water Main Extension

10.2.a – John Dominic Drive

Public hearing scheduled for September 23, 2004

10.2.b – Nichols Avenue 73-97

Public hearing scheduled for September 23, 2004

10.3 EMS Commission Appointment

Alderman Anglace MOVED to reappoint Marty Coughlin (D), 10 Cayer Circle, Shelton, with an expiration of July 1, 2006; and

FURTHER, MOVED to appoint John J. Francino-Quinn (R), 24 Sanford Drive, Shelton, with an expiration of July 1, 2006.

SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

10.4 Wide Area Network Building Committee Dissolution
Alderman Anglace MOVED, per the recommendation of the Wide Area Network Building Committee that the Board of Aldermen, in accordance with the final project financial report, accept this project and that the Wide Area Network Building Committee be dissolved pursuant to section 5.3.2 of the City Charter; SECONDED by Alderman Kudej.

Alderman Anglace stated, let me thank the members of the Wide Area Network Building Committee for their outstanding contributions to our community, and note for the record that this project was completed on schedule and under budget.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

10.5 Shelton Intermediate School Building Committee Dissolution

Alderman Anglace MOVED pursuant to section 5.3.2 of the Charter of the City of Shelton that the Shelton Intermediate School Building Committee be dissolved as a result of the completion of the building project; and

FURTHER, MOVED to appoint the following persons as the Shelton Intermediate School Building Committee II, to oversee the arbitration currently pending and any and all corrective action required:
Anthony Savignano (D)
26 Blueberry Lane

William Banfe (D)
25 Riverview Park

Kenneth Lacroix (R)
24 Stonehouse Road

Michele Kawalutzki (R)
36 Roaring Brook Lane

Sean Sullivan (U)
107 Cali Drive
Alderman Anglace stated, it would be inappropriate if, after all of this time, we didn’t thank the Shelton Intermediate School Building Committee for the many hours and the hard work that they put into this project. I kept a log book and I swear, I’ve got one of those five-inch notebooks full of meetings. They did a lot of work and put a lot of time into this. It’s fortunate it turned out the way it did with the minor problem here at the end. They deserve our praise and our thanks.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

10.6 Lease of State Land – File No. 126-54-76b

Alderman Anglace MOVED to approve the revision in lease to amend area property identified as File No. 126-54-76b; and

FURTHER, MOVED to authorize Mayor Mark A Lauretti to execute said amendment;

SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

11.0 Executive Session

At approximately 7:40 p.m., Alderman Anglace MOVED to enter Executive Session to discuss Item 11.1 – Anthem Blue Cross Litigation, and to invite Mayor Mark A. Lauretti and Corporation Counsel Welch to remain in the auditorium; SECONDED by Alderman Lanzi. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

Return to Regular Session

At approximately 7:45 p.m., Alderman Kudej MOVED to return to regular session; SECONDED by Alderman Minotti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

ADJOURNMENT

Alderman Lanzi MOVED to adjourn; SECONDED by Alderman Finn. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
The meeting adjourned at approximately 7:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia M. Bruder, Clerk

__________________________
Board of Aldermen

DATE APPROVED: ____________

BY: _______________________

Mark A. Lauretti
Mayor, City of Shelton