Right now we are sitting at a 12 percent vacancy rates on offices, office space. I got that from a website from a real estate agent in Shelton. So Fairfield/Shelton may be slightly different. Let's fill up our spaces. What is going to happen when the new retail comes in? When the new retail comes in, what goes down? How many more restaurants do we need? We have Outback; we have fast food; we have Longhorns; Ruby Tuesdays. I can go on forever. Are we going to knock down some of those business? Or maybe we're going to take down a Captain's Pizza and survive with a Papa John's. Are we going to take some fine little restaurants down on Center Street and destroy them with something else? Are we going to turn this into Stamford East?

Okay. Right now our growth rate in Shelton in three times the growth rate of Stamford/Greenwich Area. We have fifty percent of the square footage of retail of Fairfield County East. When I moved here, I moved to Shelton. I did not move to Stamford. Stamford is turning into New York and now Shelton is trying to turn into Stamford.

People have visions, okay. I know Mayor Lauretti has a vision of what this Town wants to be. I know a lot of people have a vision. I know they have a vision. Their vision is different. They don't live here; one does. I will not deny that one does.
If this tower was going on Jones's Tree Farm at the top, somebody would be surveying something up in the White Hills.

Right now we do not need this. I've heard people say that they would pay a little bit more in taxes. What is the land worth if it is light industrial? What could it be sold for? What do we need to do to pay for it to keep the Paugussett Trail as a possibility and to keep the lifestyle that may people --

I have only been here for eighteen years. But when I hear and I look at the people in the audience, you don't see a lot of teenagers and you don't see a lot of twenty to twenty-five. You have people who have been here all of their lives watching it get destroyed. This is not just about Mill Street, Buddington Road and Shelton Ridge. This is about what Shelton wants to be. My vision of Shelton is different than other people's. A lot of people's vision is different. We found a group that has one vision and we are going to do what it takes, however it takes, to see that vision through.

Thank you very much.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Dianne Tetro.

MR. TETRO: Dianne Socci-Tetro.

MS. SOCCI-TETRO: Just for the record. And he
took my best lines.

So I will just say I live at 281 Buddington. I have all of these pain in the butt signs in front of my house. Everybody has just been wonderful here tonight. I agree with everybody who has gotten up here.

What I don’t understand here is why are these apartments? Why are they not condos? Why are they not adhering to our - - I can’t think of the word - - our floor limits, our limits of the floors. I don’t understand any of this. I don’t understand why they acquiesced and made that a dead end, which lets put it this way; how long is it going to stay a dead end?

Buddington cannot handle this kind -- we got S turns; we’ve got a hairpin turn; we’ve got culverts that are broken; we’ve got potholes; we’ve got neighbors with forty-five-degree angle driveways. We cannot handle this.

Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Michael Dokia and then we have Sue Mozdzer and Gloria Batista. I can’t read that.

MR. DOKIA: Good evening. My name is Mike Dokia and I live at 125 Mill Street, which is between Kings Highway and Judson Road. I am here to oppose this project.

And I am also well concerned about my well. My
well is 120 feet deep. It has served me for 40 years and survived all of the droughts that we had in the area. And looking at this project with all of the ledge, it is probably going to take them about 10,000 pounds of ledge to level all of that off, the water lines, the sewer lines, the sanitary sewers and drains. That is going to do something to my well and everybody else’s well and nobody is going to compensate me for these problems. You guys, these people and these developers are going to make my life miserable just so they can make a million dollars. I am opposed to this whole project.

Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Sue Mozdzer and then it looks like Gloria Batista.

MS. MOZDZER: Yes. Hi, my name is Sue Mozdzer and I live at 23 Maggie Lane.

And you know I agree with everything that everybody has said up until this point. There are a couple of additional items I wanted to bring up.

I know everybody was -- Attorney Thomas was quick to mention that this is currently, I believe he said, the largest proposed project in the State of Connecticut. I don’t know if that is in our best interest when you take into the fact that Shelton has a volunteer fire department at this moment. I think
this massive apartment building would be a big
detriment and stress on that current situation. And
I can tell you that the revenues that they put up on
this screen would certainly not outweigh the cost of
us having to switch to a regularly hired non-
volunteer fire department.

The other item I wanted to bring up is I
actually found it quite interesting with the
apartment building; I have a huge beef with the
apartment building. When we had the public
information session, I understand that is an oddity
and that that is not something that developers
usually do for the public. And while this is much -
it was a courtesy even, they also used every single
comment that we made at that meeting to try to
glorify this project to you. Every single item we
brought up they you know tried to put a spin on it.

We were also told at that meeting that we really
need apartments for the young people, the college
graduates, who come and work every day in Shelton. I
happen to work in Shelton and I actually just for fun
and games have been asking people since that meeting
you know recent graduates and interns how many of
them want to live in Shelton. They first of all
burst out laughing and say they all want to live in
Stamford because they would have a reverse commute.
Stamford is where they want to be. Maybe if
The other point I want to make is that I am actually offended because it came up at the public information session as well as multiple times tonight. I feel the developer is trying to bully the Commission that this property is already zoned for this Light Industrial and it can come out looking like an eyesore, it would be very unappealing, and that they can do this without any intervention from you. I don’t think the Commission should be bullied by the developer, who is the one who stands to profit the most from this.

I did actually send a letter to all of the Commissions in advance, as way as the Mayor and our Alderman. And you know I wanted to thank you for your time and consideration. Thanks.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Gloria Batista.

MR. SATERI: I would like to speak for Gloria and Angela, since they are not here.

MS. PARKINS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SATERI: Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: And your name is?

MR. SATERI: My name is Peter Sateri. I live at Maggie Lane.

I have been living in Shelton for four years now. Me and my wife we are both teachers and we work at Westchester County. And we drive every day. We
developers took the time to develop the downtown area and make it more attractive, you could talk about putting an apartment building in like that maybe ten/fifteen years down the road when people might actually want to come here.

The other item I wanted to bring up was is I actually found it -- I was surprised. I am in finance and I am surprised at how little return the apartment building would even actually generate to the Town. It was only $700,000. So on the slide that was presented basically every dollar of revenue generated half of it is eaten away by additional support that would be needed. We don’t need this. The only person who stands to gain from this apartment building is the developer himself. And more power to his people. I mean that is what they are paid for to try to erect this and you know make it sound like a great thing.

I don’t think there is a housing shortage in Shelton. I have never heard anybody at my company complain that they can’t find a place to live.

I think we should leave the property zoned for what it is intended to, which is actually the more profitable component is the commercial. I don’t see why we can’t just -- obviously, you know, the retail has the biggest bang for the buck from a taxpayer perspective.
spend three hours in our cars going to work and back home. And the reason we do that is because we love Shelton. We could have chosen anywhere to live, anywhere in Connecticut or anywhere in New York, but the reason we chose Shelton is to get away from that.

Now there is an area in Yonkers which is part of Westchester and it's called Ridge Hill, okay. I look at this development and it is almost exactly the same as the development that is in Yonkers. It is the same layout built on rocks, high ledge, and all of that. And they also have a 160-unit complex just like the one here but just more gigantic. And that unit failed to sell half of the condominiums and what ended up happening is they turned it into rentals. And those rentals nobody wants to live there. And do you know why nobody wants to live there? Because nobody wants to live in the middle of a shopping area. And when you don't have people occupying those buildings, who is paying the taxes? Nobody; we pay the taxes and our taxes go up.

And also, there are so many lawsuits, and if you don't believe me you can look it up; Ridge Hill lawsuits, Yonkers. You will find all of the information there.

I am a teacher and one of my concerns is that they talk about keeping our taxes low. Coming from Westchester County, people, like the gentleman quoted
before, people will pay high taxes, higher taxes. Yes, you are absolutely right. And I was the one that was quoted. But do you know why people will pay the higher taxes? Because of the school systems and because of the quality of life. I don’t think this development will help that at all. I think it will do the reverse. We are going to need more teachers; you are going to need more policeman; you are going to need more firemen. And as a result our taxes will go up. Who is going to pay those salaries? We will.

You talked about parking, 1.4 parking for each unit. But how many people are going to live in each unit? Is it one bedroom, meaning one person? And how many cars within those units are people going to have? And when you have a lot of cars and you don’t have enough parking spaces, where are they going to park? Are they going to park along the side roads which is residential, which will make the roads even more narrow? Okay, something to think about.

Now talking about building a cul-de-sac, I don’t think that is going to make a difference. It might help the people going out, but when it comes to coming in everyone is going to take the backroads. You get off Route 8 and everyone goes down Huntington Street, goes down Buddington, and they are going to find easier ways to avoid Bridgeport Avenue. So you are still going to have (inaudible).
And those traffic studies they were done while children were on vacation, so how is that an accurate reading. Why don’t you do those studies during peak hours when school is in session when people are going to work or on Black Friday during shopping seasons? That is when you can get an accurate number, okay.

And as I teacher I teach physical education and I am all about fitness and living healthy lifestyles. The quality of life will go down. Kids won’t have things to do. You are building all of this retail and you’re worried about taxes going down and bringing in money. You’ve got to think about the children. You need places for the children to have things to do because unfortunately we have a situation where a lot of people are doing drugs because there aren’t anything for them to do, extra-curricular any activities. And I am not sure they are going to hang out at retail stores. It is just out of the equation.

And when we talk about people coming into Shelton because this is desirable, I think it is the opposite. It is going to cause a sprawl effect. When you have high development areas, people want to get out and they don’t want to come into those areas. So this is only going to cause the people to not want to live in Shelton and that is not what we want. We want a community. Talking about the values of the
homes going up; they are only going to go down. I don’t know, but I bought my home to raise my family and have children and I want to give them a great quality of life. I am not looking to rent my house to make money. It is not a rental income. This is where I am going to spend the rest of my life.

So I don’t think building something like that will do anything in terms of attracting people; it will do the opposite. It will make people want to leave.

And last they talked about having a plan for the runoff and things like that. Well the water quality of Mill River will be ruined. You have the runoff of the cars from oil spills; you have a lot of traffic; you have a lot of cars. Now what about hurricanes? What about strong storms? Pollution to the river and trash thrown into the river by passengers driving by?

Now remember you are bringing in more traffic. Your plan is for twenty-five years, but what happens after that? People dumping their toxic waste material into the river at night when no one is around to reduce costs on having it get disposed properly. Because remember, we are having high-end retail stores and restaurants into the area. Whether you have storm drainage or not, the drains will back up, they will clog, and they will overflow due to flashfloods. And when it spills into the river, what will be the
excuse? The best erosion control is leaving the land alone. Be good to the land and it will be good to you. Trees are the best source of erosion control.

And in my conclusion, okay, I am opposed to changing the zone. And many of us are in favor of development, yes, as long as that ugly building is taken out of the equation and it stays LIP. I do not want PPD. Take a look into the crowd and notice how many people are here because they are concerned and don’t want this. Because if they didn’t care, they wouldn’t be here.

Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Caitlin Augusta.

MS. AUGUSTA: Good evening. Caitlin Augusta, 91 Mill Street.

I agree with everything everyone has said and I am asking you to vote no on this change to a PDD. First of all, because of traffic. I don’t think traffic is going to be any better with wider roads. In fact, I am extremely worried about all of the time that we are going to be waiting in terrible lines while they do the construction. I imagine that will be a very long time.

I am honestly concerned about, honestly, my local pet store and them losing the first twenty-five feet of their parking lot to this road widening and
all of the other businesses all of the way up and
down Bridgeport Avenue losing the first twenty-five
feet of their property to road widening. That seems
a little bit harsh.

I did have in my mind the three fatalities on
Bridgeport Avenue. I don’t think more cars are going
to make us any safer or bring those people back.

I would like to talk a little bit about Mill
Street. It is a scenic road. And actually some of
the people in this room voted for it to be a scenic
road, some of the people at this table. Thank you.
I have my commemorative booklet from 1993 when it
became a scenic road. In fact, the person who is
selling this land was a signatory of us being a
scenic road. So there are some rules for us staying
a scenic road and being a scenic road, including
vistas, slopes and prohibited of the addition of
unsightly structures and commercial development
visible from the scenic road. So I think that a 450
foot apartment complex would be visible from our
scenic road. So we might want to look into whether
or not the changes proposed are legal given Mill
Street scenic road status. That is a question mark
for me. Would we have to stop being a scenic road?
I think in which case everyone on Mill Street would
have to vote again to do that.

I am a little concerned about the blasting on
and near the Iroquois Gas pipeline. I was so concerned that I called Iroquois several times and they are a really great company. They were wonderful to me and answered all of my questions. And I also called the Connecticut Office of Pipeline Safety, which would be in charge of some of the oversight of blasting on or near a pipeline. And I asked about sirens, because I lived in the Midwest, and I asked about evacuation drills and I asked about safety. And the guy at the Office of Pipeline Safety was awesome. He said don't worry. If it is a big one, you'll be dead before you can evacuate. So you know healthy pause.

Lastly, I wanted to talk about cost of the 450 foot apartment building. And you did get to see the layouts for the apartments on the screen. I know you can see them if you want to. But what I noticed is a little something I am going to call, very respectfully, the "den diversion". The "den diversion" means that a lot of these one and two bedroom apartments have a den and that is basically an extra bedroom with a closet --

And the importance of that is school children. So we got the financial plan tonight with an estimate of $750,000 in municipal services, including school --- the cost of educating these wonderful children that are coming to down. But that is a huge underestimate
of the cost of educating the number of children that
will be in this building. So I divided it out really
quick and it is something less than 58 children that
they are estimating. And honestly, they don’t have a
legal responsibility to estimate that number
correctly, but we do because we live here and we have
to pay the taxes for all of those children.

So I counted up the number of bedrooms, the
number of dens, and I came up with a number of
children more like 80 to 200. So let’s figure out
what it is going to cost to educate 80 to 200. So it
costs $13,000 a year in Shelton to educate a child.
And so I am going to round it up to $14,000 because I
have never known the cost of educating a child to go
down. So I brought my calculator because teachers
tell you to check your work. So it is $14,000 times
176 children and that is $2.46 million dollars to
educate 176 children.

Now you are saying wait; why didn’t you just
multiple it by 200? By Connecticut State averages 24
of those children will be special needs. And God
bless their parent, by the way. How much does it
cost to educate a child with special needs; $80,000 a
year. The State helps a bit, but it doesn’t come in
right away. So let’s multiply $80,000 times the
remaining children; that is $1.9 million. Let’s add
them together and that is $4.3 million dollars to
educate the children in this building. We are in a loss of $3 million dollars and we are going to have to all pay that in our taxes. And not just the first year, but every year until those children turn eighteen. I don’t know about you, but I don’t want to add another $4 million dollars to our annual budget in Shelton. And people on fixed incomes certainly don’t want to have an increase of $4 million dollars to our City education budget, which is like a 7 percent increase which doesn’t look so good compared to our zero increase budgets.

I honestly think the best use of this property is for it to stay Light Industrial. And further, I really think the City of Shelton should buy the back half beyond the ridge so that the Paugussett Trail can stay and that there is a buffer on Mill Street so that it stays scenic and people can enjoy further activities and keep them safe. And then the rest of the parcel, the front half, the part on Bridgeport Avenue can be developed as Light Industrial.

And lastly, I just want to thank Planning and Zoning because this is extraordinarily thankless and we appreciate your work on our behalf and all of the documents that you are going to have to read and for making the best decision for all of the people that you were elected to represent. So thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.
Judith Augusta.

MS. J. AUGUSTA: I'm Judith Wood Augusta. I live at 91 Mill Street in Shelton. I have been a resident of Shelton for 41 years. And I support the remarks made by Alderman Anglace and I urge the Planning and Zoning Commission to deny the application by Shelter Ridge Associates to change the zone on this parcel.

My main concerns with this proposal are the destruction of Mill Streets picturesque vistas with its scenic road designation. The termination of the Paugussett Trail and the other trails in our neighborhood after the City spent a considerable amount of money to preserve the woodlands as open space. All of this time and all of the money that was spent by the City and by many City employees and many citizens who volunteered a lot of time over many years to continue these trails and to make sure that the trails went through exactly the right place so that we all could enjoy it. To have all of that be lost for another development like all of the other developments that are sprouting up I think is a waste of City resources and the time of so many people.

I am also concerned about the addition of 3,000 vehicles a day to the already heavy traffic on Bridgeport Avenue.

And I am concerned about the jarring appearance
of a nine story tower on the top of Shelter Ridge
which will look right into my kitchen window, so it
will be the first thing that I see every morning when
I start washing dishes.

I also would second the prior remarks about the
increase in school-aged population and the additional
cost to the City.

We do not need this gigantic development on our
forested ridge. This project belongs in Downtown
Shelton, an area still deteriorated and in need of
commercial and retail establishments and an area
where there are no brooks, no forests, and no hills.
Please don't ruin this pristine area when we need
development in our downtown.

Thank you so much for your time.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Ricky.

MR. MAZZADRA: Hi. I'm Ricky Mazzadra, 27 Old
Town Road.

I am a Federal and State Section 8 inspector and
I was wondering if any of these apartments are going
to have an allotment for Section 8 people to live. I
am not saying that Section 8 is bad, but I see it
every day. It brings the values of the houses down.
I work in New Haven. There are foreclosures all over
the place, okay. That's number one.

Number two is I was coming down Buddington Road
where Gracie Paoletti used to live and there is a
development to the left. A guy is cutting his grass
and all you see is Scinto Towers, so what do we need
another tower for? Preserve the land.

My family that lives on Old Town Road sold the
majority of their property to open space to preserve
the land that is not much anymore. It would be nice
to pick up an arrowhead versus a hubcap or a
cigarette butt.

So please don’t change the zone, please.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Eric Fine, and then Elizabeth Griffin is after
that.

MR. FINE: Thank you. My name is Eric Fine and
I’m a resident of 11 Doe Place, Shelton and I have
lived in Shelton for twenty-five years.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank
the Planning and Zoning Commission for allowing us to
speak tonight and for holding this event in a bigger
forum so that we could get everybody in.

I just wanted to speak -- voice my concerns
over the proposed development of a property that is
bordering Bridgeport Avenue and Mill Street and
Buddington Road. Again, I have been a resident for
twenty-five years and I have watched numerous
proposals before the P&Z over that time period where
PDD zoning modifications have been requested and
zoning changes have been put in place for other properties that were zoned LIP or other zoning classifications.

And nearly what my first question is that comes up tonight is what is the necessity to do this on this property? I feel strongly that the zoning regulations and classifications that have been set in place for years are adequate. They allow the development of the property and under the present regulations and I would have little to argue about that. The owners would be within their rights to develop the property under the requirements and restrictions set forth under LIP. I think that what we would find is that the cost per square foot to develop the property under LIP won’t yield a return and you know that is why we have the proposal that is in front of you tonight. It is definitely driven by finance.

I see the proposal that is in front of you tonight is being called one of the biggest development projects in Fairfield County in years. I see it as unneeded for our City. The density is questionable and the impact to the community cannot be calculated. The impact to -- the impact to the already stressed adjoining roads and neighborhoods will be considerable. Living off of Buddington Road I know only too well how bad the traffic is at rush
hour. Trying to make a left hand turn from
Buddington Road onto Huntington Street is nearly
impossible. I now have to go down Mill Street and go
to the traffic light below. I have had to sit
through four cycles of the traffic light to even get
out to Old Stratfield(sic) Road or Old Stratford Road
to get onto Route 8 to commute to work. So you know
I question with all of the additional traffic will
four turn into eight cycles? Adding lanes may improve
it, but we haven’t taken into account all of the
additional traffic. And it certainly sounds like me
tonight that Bridgeport Avenue is going to turn into
six lanes from above Stratford Road all of the way
down to Exit 13.

We have yet to feel the effects of the
additional traffic that will be generated by Big Y
and the new shopping center at Nell’s Rock and
Bridgeport Avenue. We have yet to see the impact on
the city of the traffic caused by the new 400 unit
apartment complex known as Mark Properties located on
Bridgeport Avenue.

Lastly, I would like to point out that all of
the homes, all of the homes, adjoining this
development require well water and a lot of those
homes have to have treatment systems in there to make
the well water useful. Personally, I live off of Doe
Place. I have personally had to invest over $10,000
to get the well water useable in my house because of the well water conditions that reside in Shelton. I am deeply concerned about the amount of blasting that would be required to develop the property that is proposed and how the blasting could affect the well water at my property. And I am 1,000 yards away -- or 1,000 feet away from the proposed. There are other people who are going to be a lot closer and we don’t have any guarantees.

For all of these reasons I would ask that you reject the proposal and to help us maintain the quality of life that we as residents of Shelton enjoy and set aside -- and not side with the developer who is only looking to maximum profits on the development of his property. This proposal is wrong for Shelton.

And I brought you a gift. This is my well water untreated. This is my well water untreated. It has cost me over $10,000 to get it so that I could use it. We don’t drink it. And I don’t think that I am alone and this is a big concern for me. So I will leave this with you if you’d like to keep it, along with a picture of the treatment system that I had to invest in to get my well water usable.

Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Elizabeth Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Hi. My name is Elizabeth Griffin
and I live at 15 Cathy Drive and I oppose the zone change to the PDD on all of the things that have been brought up and you know just the environment, the impact on the schools, and just everything. I just think it is wrong for the (inaudible). I think it should be saved. I think it should be preserved. You know we should try to approach it and try to save that piece of land and keep it as open space.

Thank you very much.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

It is 10:00. We did indicate that we would close the - - or conclude the hearing at 10:00. With the consensus of my fellow Board Members, though, I will allow an extra fifteen minutes since the developer took an extra fifteen minutes. So we are in agreement, then we will go ahead and call some additional names.

Adrienne Couture. So Adrienne Couture, Amy Stavola, David Simine, and Wintog Reed.

MS. COUTURE: Thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak, especially since you are now on overtime. My name is Adrienne Couture, Great Oak Road, Shelton, off and on since 1964.

When I first came out of school, one of my off periods was in Stamford in an apartment building similar to that one; not as ugly. But you know it was the same deal where you had people above you and
people below you, people to the left and to the right, somebody across the hall and somebody down the hall. The difference was that that building was twenty-five-years-old. They start out nice and they age fast. They are occupied by kids who have no vested interest in keeping that building nice. They get a good rental dollar starting out.

My experience in Stamford -- and let’s be clear, I was in that building because I couldn’t afford better. I had a hooker across the hallway from me. I had a drug dealer upstairs. And somebody spoke very well to the idea that you’ll need paid firemen and you’ll need to educate a bunch of additional kids. You are going to need more cops, too. Over the long haul that high density setup is kind of a show of the future. And if it doesn’t spread out in Section 8, it doesn’t advantage out -- Section 8.

Quickly I have some other points.

You mitigate traffic congestion by building additional roads. How do you mitigate the exhaust and the noise and the appearance that it gets of a shit-smelling road going through town? How do you mitigate the light pollution? Those people that you have heard from that live right on top of that proposed development, it is never going to get dark for them again.
How do you build a bridge over a Federal wetland and not affect it? You are changing the light. You are changing the sound. You are changing, again, the car exhaust. And you heard from these developers we’re not going to mess with the Federal wetlands; we’ll put a bridge right over it. I believe the bridge does mess with the Federal Wetland.

Another comment that they made that I would like to speak to is how at these types of things the people who object show up and the people who support the project don’t bother. I have been in this town since 1964. I know a lot of people. I don’t know everybody and haven’t asked everybody, but I can’t find one person that supports this project, not even from way up in upper White Hills, not from upper Huntington.

We don’t need it. You look at the numbers and it doesn’t make sense. We don’t need that $700,000.

Thank you for hearing me.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Amy Stavola.

MS. STAVOLA: Good evening. My name is Amy Stavola. I live at 38 Falmouth Drive and I have been the president for twenty years.

I am against this development and I agree with everyone who has spoken before me. Please take their thoughts and words into consideration.
Thank you.

MS. PARKINS: Thank you.

Unfortunately, this room has been reserved until 10:00 and we have just been advised that our time is up. So we have gone through approximately twenty speakers, nineteen speakers. We will reconvene on May 31st in the same location at 7:00. We will go by this sign-up sheet. So if you have already signed up, we will call you. If you come back, we will call you in this same order that you signed up. If you didn’t sign up or people that will come, will be signing up at the end of the sheet and we’ll go through all of these names.

Okay. Thank you, again, all for coming out.

(WHEREUPON A MOTION WAS MADE TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING)

MS. PARKINS: Motion carries.
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