Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance

Aldermanic President John Anglace called the meeting of the Board of Aldermen to order at 7 p.m. All those present rose and pledged allegiance to the flag. A Moment of Silence was then observed in remembrance of the victims of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.

Roll Call

Alderman John F. Anglace, Jr., President – present
Alderman Lynne Farrell - present
Alderman John “Jack” Finn – present
Alderman Stanley Kudej – present
Alderman Kenneth Olin - present
Alderman John P. Papa – present
Alderman Jason Perillo - present
Alderman Anthony Simonetti - present

Administration:

Mayor Mark A. Lauretti
Corporation Counsel Thomas Welch

There was a quorum present.

Agenda Items

PUBLIC SESSION

Tom Harbinson, 15 Soundcrest Drive
Chairman, Conservation Commission

I’m here with Bill Dyer, Vice-Chair, just to let everybody know that, surprisingly and unbeknownst to us, the Connecticut Forest and Parks Association awarded an Award of Merit to the Shelton Conservation Commission and its Trails Committee back on September 6th. They had their annual meeting at the Kellogg Environmental Center in Derby and Theresa and Terry Gallagher who started the trails on our open space back about 11 or 12 years ago now, and also Joe Welsh from our Conservation Commission were there to receive the award. I’ll just read what it has to say here.

Award of Merit to the Shelton Conservation Commission and its Trails Committee for their vision and perseverance in developing a town-wide trails system for passive recreation. The Shelton Lakes Greenway, 450-plus acres of contiguous open space, and 10 miles of hiking trails is a direct result of their foresight, planning and collaboration with the Shelton Land Trust, the City of Shelton, Aquarion and DEP and the numerous hours of volunteer work over more than a decade. As part of this project, they have created an open space corridor to link CFPA’s blue-blazed Paugussett Trail to the Shelton Lakes Greenway and continue their invaluable effort to restore and extend the Paugussett Trail from Indian Well to the Stratford town line.

This is from the Executive Director and the President of the Connecticut Forest and Parks Association. The CFPA is the State’s oldest conservation group – agent, and they have saved great places in our state like the Sleeping Giant State Park and other great places around the state. They maintain the blue-blazed Blue Dot Trails as they’re called. Sometimes you’ll see a roadway sign that’s got a blue oval with usually an Indian name. Those are the trails that they maintain. In our town we have the Paugussett Trail that goes from Lake Zoar, Stevenson Dam area through Monroe and through Shelton and we’re attempting to connect that to Roosevelt Forest as it was in the past.
I really commend all of the volunteers and the Trails Committee, because they really do a tremendous amount of hours to create and maintain the trails that all of the public enjoys. We also thank you, the Board of Aldermen, for helping us buy some of the properties that help make the trails possible. Thank you all.

Alderman Anglace thanked Mr. Harbinson. The Board members rose and applauded Mr. Dyer and his committee for their accomplishments.

**William Dyer, 20 Beverly Lane**

I only want to point out that recently Randy Szkola did some work for us on a volunteer basis. We strongly encourage other people who have equipment to help us out. We can only do so much, but somebody with a backhoe or some equipment that can spread millings, that sort of thing. Again, great kudos to Randy, who we presented our problem to the Inland-Wetlands Commission, and not only did he help approve it, but he said, “I’ll go out and help do the work.” So, if there are other people in the City, both Mayor Mark and others, Bob Lally on the other side of the aisle, have said, “Gee, maybe we can get more people volunteering.” Well, we need some volunteers with equipment and not just us people with hands-on. If other people who have equipment, whatever they could come out and help us do some work, that would be greatly appreciated. We are coming along pretty well here in Shelton. Volunteers can contact myself, Tom Harbinson, or Theresa Gallagher who is extremely effective here as the town Conservation Agent. Thank you.

Alderman Anglace thanked Mr. Dyer.

**MINUTES FOR APPROVAL**

1. **MINUTES FOR APPROVAL**

Alderman Papa MOVED to waive the reading of and approve the following meeting minutes:

1. Public Hearing of August 12, 2008
2. Regular Meeting of August 14, 2008
3. Special Meeting of August 18, 2008

SECONDED by Alderman Finn. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

**5.1 FINANCE COMMITTEE**

No items.

**5.2 PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITTEE**

No items.

**5.3 STREET COMMITTEE**

No items.

**REPORT OF THE MAYOR**

None presented.

**REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT**

None presented.

**6.0 LEGAL REPORT**
6.1 CORPORATION COUNSEL BILLING

Alderman Papa MOVED to authorize a total payment of $4,686.43 to Corporation Counsel Winnick, Vine, Welch, & Teodosio, LLC for services rendered per statement dated September 3, 2008 with funds to come from the following Legal Services accounts:

- Legal Fees 001-1900-411.30-03 $4,331.70
- Foreclosure Fees 001-1900-411.50-01 315.10
- Miscellaneous Fees 001-1900-411.80-84 39.63

SECONDED by Alderman Kudej. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

6.2 ASSISTANT CORPORATION COUNSEL BILLING

Alderman Papa MOVED to authorize a total payment of $1,299.11 to Assistant Corporation Ramon Sous for services rendered per statement dated September 1, 2008 with funds to come from the Legal Fees Account 001-1900-411.30-03; SECONDED by Alderman Simonetti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

7 LEGISLATIVE - OLD

7.1 A PROHIBITION OF INTOXICATING BEVERAGES PARK/CITY PROPERTY

AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE #835

Alderman Papa MOVED to adopt the amendment to Ordinance #835 – Prohibition of Intoxicating Beverages Park/City Property as presented at the public hearing on August 26, 2008; and further,

MOVED that this Ordinance be published in summary form with a full copy available for review in the Office of the City/Town Clerk; SECONDED by Alderman Finn.

Alderman Anglace stated, this is a well-meaning ordinance intended to protect the City’s interests. But at the same time it cannot interfere with the orderly flow of business within the firehouses. We can’t put the people in the firehouses in the position where they’re going to have to go crazy figuring out how they’re going to get all their functions that they’ve done for years approved. We’ve got to work with them and set this up so that they can file once a year. There is more work to be done on this. Otherwise, we’ll put an administrative burden on the backs of the firehouses and that wouldn’t be fair.

Alderman Finn stated, we already have a policy in place for the emergency services, including Echo Hose Ambulance. They only have to give the City notice on a yearly basis of the activities they’re going to have at their stations. That is already in place.

Alderman Anglace stated, let’s make sure that the Board conveys to the Administrative Assistant that we don’t want to get very officious with our volunteer firemen.

Alderman Finn stated, I agree with you wholeheartedly.

Alderman Papa stated, this is not going to affect the firehouses. It will affect Parks & Recreation – what we do. We rent out the building at the Community Center and at White Hills. So when people want to use a building for a shower or whatever, they will have to go through the process, because sometimes they request alcohol and now they’re going to have to come and get a waiver if it’s feasible, they’re going to have to have insurance. I know that Sandy Nesteriak mentioned that she was working with the City’s insurance carrier to see if she can make it feasible under the City’s insurance that people who want to have alcoholic beverages at these functions, that there would be a special rate. I don’t know if Corporation Counsel Welch has any information on that.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, she did indicate that it will be a minimal cost, that they’re able to get this one-day liability coverage.
Alderman Papa asked, do we know, is that going to be available and do we know what the cost will be?

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I don't know.

Alderman Anglace stated, she also mentioned that people can check their homeowner’s insurance policies because that may cover it at no cost. Those who want to rent the facility should check with their own homeowner’s insurance.

Alderman Papa stated, we’ve had people that have come to us and they did check with their homeowner’s and they had to pay extra. That’s why when Sandy Nesteriak brought that up about piggybacking it with the City’s carrier, it might be a better rate for the people that want to use these buildings.

Alderman Simonetti stated, I also inquired about the insurance for the firemen. I went to the Fire Chief because he also does insurance. He said he has advised some of the firehouses on how to do it, so there is a good avenue there for those people to get information on how to use the insurance properly.

Alderman Perillo stated, just further information on the insurance factor, I have been researching this for quite a while along with Assistant Chief Wilson from downtown. For example, Echo Hose Ambulance has a policy for its insurance, and quite frankly, that standard policy does cover alcohol distribution provided it is not sold. I don’t know exactly what kinds of policies the individual firehouses have. I do know that downtown has its own policy in addition to the coverage it gets from the City. I’m not sure if the other firehouses do have that. Typically through those individual firehouse policies the insurance coverage is available for this, provided it’s not sold. I know that in certain circumstances for fundraisers it is sold, but I also know that there are ways to hold a fundraiser so that the alcohol is not sold. That is something for the firehouse people to keep in mind. I do agree wholeheartedly that we need to work with them to make sure this isn’t a burden.

Alderman Anglace stated yes, I just wanted everybody aware and I wanted it in the record that we don’t want to end up making it so officious. Volunteers who have family events use the firehouse. This is a perk. You don’t want to destroy things like that.

Alderman Papa stated, I think this was mostly not to affect the firehouses, but for other buildings, for residents of Shelton that want to use these buildings and serve alcohol. That’s what this really affects.

Alderman Anglace stated, if it’s not going to affect them, then let’s exclude them. But that’s not the case. It’s going to affect the firehouses because there are functions that go on in the firehouses where somebody may rent the firehouses and it’s not a member of the company, know what I mean? They might rent it and you want to have the coverage. But when it’s a fireman and he’s having a birthday party for his kid there, that is a perk that the City needs to bend over backward to work with him on. I just want to be sure we’re all on the same wavelength here.

Alderman Kudej stated, this is supposed to take care of areas like the boat ramp and other areas where there is alcohol constantly being consumed? It’s not being sold, but they’re constantly down there having picnics and parties.

Alderman Papa stated, this is if they are using our buildings and parks, softball fields, baseball fields, everything. City property. We’re going to enforce that.

Alderman Finn stated, we’ve had more and more requests in front of us for Veterans’ Park.

Alderman Anglace stated, and in the future, there is talk about building a pavilion down there. That will generate a lot of requests. This is a step in the right direction.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.
AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE #835

Pertaining to Prohibition of Intoxicating Beverages Park/City Property

Be it ordained by the Board of Aldermen, City of Shelton:

That the possession and use of intoxicating beverages are prohibited in any park or on City property. However, waivers of this prohibition may be granted for good and sufficient reason.

If a waiver is requested, a waiver application describing the intended activity/use shall be reviewed by the Police Chief and the Fire Marshal who shall provide their recommendations. All activity and use requested in the waiver shall be in adherence with any and all state and local law. Said activity and waiver shall be subject to final approval by the Mayor or his/her designee.

Any person in violation of this ordinance shall be subject to a fine of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars ($250.00).

7.1 B AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE #834 - SNOW REMOVAL ORDINANCE

Alderman Papa MOVED to adopt the amendment to Ordinance #834 – Snow Removal Ordinance as presented at the public hearing on August 26, 2008; and further, MOVED that this ordinance be published in summary form with a full copy available for review in the Office of the City/Town Clerk; SECONDED by Alderman Perillo.

Alderman Finn stated, in the outlying areas of the City, we also have sidewalks, such as on Village Drive, Martinka Drive, Margaret Drive. The reason why the sidewalks were put in when the subdivisions went in was because the school was going to be built across the street from East Village Park. No school was built. Those sidewalks are in disrepair right now. Are we indicating that those sidewalks that the City made the developer put in will also be included in the snow removal ordinance?

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, yes, they were already subject to the ordinance as it currently exists. The way it is written, there is no exceptions to a City sidewalk.

Alderman Finn asked, if those residents don’t wish to repair the sidewalks, can they remove the sidewalks? I remember this discussion in the past pertaining to a sidewalk in the subdivisions. They were told that if it falls into disrepair they really didn’t have to repair it. What they could do is actually have the sidewalk removed from in front of their home.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I don’t know if that was some type of approval with Planning and Zoning, but there was nothing in the prior ordinance to except out any subdivisions. I could certainly look into that, but I’m not aware of this Board making a determination, because oftentimes what happens is those sidewalks never come before you until now, so if Planning and Zoning made some type of ruling on that, it’s kind of outside your jurisdiction.

[Mayor Lauretti arrives at this point, 7:25 p.m., and assumed the Chair of the meeting.]

Alderman Finn stated, an example of where the sidewalk was removed is Ten Coat Lane.

Alderman Anglace stated, this ordinance does not authorize that. This ordinance does not address that at all – it’s another subject.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I certainly can research it and report back to the Board if there are any types of exceptions out there as to these issues.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.
BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF ALDERMAN THAT:

It shall be the duty of any owner, occupant or any person having care of any land adjoining any paved sidewalk within the city to keep the same clear from snow, ice or sleet, and to remove the same within (12) hours after it has fallen. In the event of failure to comply with this section, such owner or occupant shall upon conviction pay a penalty to the city of Fifty Dollars ($50) for Single Family Dwelling, One Hundred Fifty Dollars ($150.00) for Multiple Family Dwelling and Commercial Property. If after the time limit in which to remove such snow, ice or sleet, it has not been removed, it shall be the duty of the Superintendent of Highway & Bridges to cause the same to be removed, and the expense thereof shall be reported by the Superintendent of Highway & Bridges to the Administrative Assistant of the city, who shall notify the owner or occupant in writing, and if payment is not forthwith made, the expense of such removal shall be recorded in the name of the city in any appropriate form of civil action. (Comp. Ords. 1975, 3.1 (6))

7.2 ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT AMENDMENT NO. 3 SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS AND HUNTINGTON AREA I/I AND SSES PROGRAM

Alderman Anglace MOVED, per the recommendation of the Water Pollution Control Authority, to approve Engineering Services Agreement Amendment No. 3 Sanitary Sewer Collection System Improvements and Huntington Area I/I and Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) Program between the City of Shelton and Maguire Group Inc. to cover construction phase services for the three split projects that are currently out to bid with funding in the amount of $140,000 to come from the Wastewater Collection Systems Referendum Bond; and further,

MOVED to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to sign all documents and effectuate said agreement; and further,

MOVED to waive the need for any further RFQ or RFP for this amendment; SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I assume the issue of the RFQ or RFP has been resolved. Attorney Sous made the determination on that. That is why I asked for it to be tabled at the last meeting. I will be speaking with him in the morning about this. I would assume at this point that, based on the comments at the last meeting, that he did look at it, and maybe just didn’t communicate that to me. We probably shouldn’t hold it up any more. Are we waiving anything here?

Alderman Anglace stated, yes, the last paragraph waives the need for any further RFQ or RFP for this amendment. We could just strike the last paragraph.

Mayor Lauretti stated, this may be the last one, that’s why. All right. We’ll go ahead and approve it and if it needs some changing I’ll get it back here before I sign it. That’s all.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

8 FINANCIAL BUSINESS OLD

8.1 RECREATION PATH PHASE 1 BID

Alderman Anglace MOVED to allocate an additional sum of $6,470 for the addendum of the contract for Phase 1 of the Recreation Path Construction with funding to be provided by bonding, pursuant to Section 7.16 of the City Charter; and further,

MOVED to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to sign all documents and effectuate said agreement; SECONDED by Alderman Simonetti.
Alderman Anglace stated, this is adding $6,400 for the security bond.

Mayor Lauretti stated, this is like taking $6,400 and throwing it off the Housatonic bridge right into the river. That’s what this is like. Courtesy of the State of Connecticut. It’s just shameful we have to waste taxpayers dollars like this.

Alderman Anglace stated, we have no choice, right? If we refuse to do it, they won’t give you the other money.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

9 FINANCIAL BUSINESS NEW

9.1 SEPTEMBER STATUTORY REFUNDS

Alderman Anglace MOVED to recommend, that the report of the Tax Collector relative to the refund of taxes for a total amount of $45,597 be approved and that the Finance Director be directed to make payments in accordance with the certified list received from the Tax Collector with funds to come from the Statutory Refunds Account 001-0000-311.13.00; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

9.2 APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR NON-PARTICIPATING CONSTRUCTION EXPENDITURES FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF PERRY HILL ROAD, STATE PROJECT NO. 126-156

Alderman Anglace MOVED to appropriate the sum of $26,000 for non-participating construction expenditures for the reconstruction of Perry Hill Road, State Project No. 126-156 per invoice number TN200103 with funding to come from the Contingency General Account #001-9900-900.99-00; and further,

MOVED to authorize Assistant Corporation Counsel, Ramon Sous to pursue our engineering firm Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. for errors and omissions to recover the $26,000 payment; SECONDED by Alderman Finn.

Alderman Finn stated, now we can start East Village Road.

Mayor Lauretti stated, this has got nothing to do with East Village Road. East Village Road has been on the table for six years, courtesy of the State of Connecticut. This has got absolutely nothing to do with East Village Road.

Alderman Finn stated, I remembered in the letter that stated this will hold up all the City projects if it wasn’t corrected.

Mayor Lauretti stated, yeah, and if they followed their original schedule, the road would have been done three years ago. That’s federal money anyways – that’s approved by the MPO.

Alderman Perillo stated, for the information of Alderman Finn, when last I checked a few months ago, bids were received, no action has been taken by DOT.

Mayor Lauretti stated, the project has been bid twice. Because they don’t have the funds, they just keep pushing it out and finding reasons to delay it. They do this with everything. We should find out how the City’s full faith and credit was obligated without the approval of the Board of Aldermen. That’s what really is at issue here, I think.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

Mayor Lauretti asked, how long has the intersection of School Street and Beardsley Road been before the State? I think that’s been on the docket longer than East Village Road. I just don’t see how he can say this bill is holding up that road project, especially if you know the history.
10. - LEGISLATIVE - NEW

10.1 ITEMS TO PUBLIC HEARING

No items.

10.2 CONVEYANCE OF OPEN SPACE TO CITY OF SHELTON – GLEN GROVE ESTATES

Alderman Anglace MOVED to deny the acceptance of the Open Space parcel consisting of .16 acre at Glen Grove Estates; and further,

MOVED that the City of Shelton will accept this property as a conservation easement consisting of .16 acres to the rear of 168 Grove Street as designated on the July 20, 2008 map prepared by Fred D’Amico; SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

Alderman Anglace stated, I think it’s our intent, did we already accept the fee in lieu of?

Corporation Counsel Welch replied, yes.

Alderman Anglace stated, now the question becomes, do we accept this as conservation easement v. open space. We’re getting both. We’re getting the fee in lieu of and the property.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, the motion as it’s been read is, as you can see from the maps, to the rear of the three proposed parcels is a conservation easement area which is existing, which will exist. The open space area, the motion reads that you’re not going to accept it as open space but that it will also be retained by the owner subject to a conservation easement where they would not be able to disturb or destroy the natural state of the property. That’s as your motion currently reads.

Alderman Finn stated, and that property will also remain on the tax rolls if it’s a conservation easement.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, they would have to go back to Planning and Zoning and redraw that line so it would be part of some piece of property if that was the case.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

10.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHELTON AND THE DERBY-SHELTON ROTARY CLUB

Alderman Anglace MOVED to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to sign an agreement between the City of Shelton and the Derby-Shelton Rotary Club to acknowledge the partnership between the City of Shelton and the Derby-Shelton Rotary Club during the Shelton Day activities to be held on Sunday, October 5, 2008; SECONDED by Alderman Olin. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

10.4 APPOINTMENTS TO THE NAUGATUCK VALLEY HEALTH DISTRICT

Alderman Anglace MOVED to appoint the following people to the Naugatuck Valley Health District Board of Directors effective immediately with an expiration of September 11, 2011:

Rebecca Cheron - R
454 Coram Avenue

Ann Walsh - R
189 Coram Road

SECONDED by Alderman Simonetti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7 Yes, 0 No, 1 Abstention (Perillo).
10.5  APPOINTMENT OF A PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT BUILDING COMMITTEE FOR LONG HILL SCHOOL ROOF, ELIZABETH SHELTON SCHOOL ROOF AND HIGH SCHOOL CHILLERS

Alderman Anglace MOVED, per the recommendation of the Long Hill School Roof Replacement Building Committee and pursuant to Section 5.3.2 of the Charter of the City of Shelton, to dissolve the current Long Hill School Roof Replacement Building Committee; and further,

MOVED to establish a Public Improvement Building Committee for Long Hill School Roof, Elizabeth Shelton School Roof and High School Chillers pursuant to Section 5.3.1 of the City Charter and appoint the following people, effective immediately.

Anthony Savignano – D  
26 Blueberry Lane

William Banfe – D  
25 Riverview Avenue

Doug Shearer – D  
71 Old Dairy Lane

Don Stanziale, Jr. – U  
42 Lazy Brook Road

Jim Orazietti – D  
81 William Street

Kenneth Lacroix - R  
24 Stonehouse Road

Arthur Gaugran - R  
40 Woodland Park

And further, MOVED to authorize the preparation of schematic drawings and outline specifications, and the filing of an ED049 grant application for the Elizabeth Shelton School Roof Replacement project; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

11  EXECUTIVE SESSION

At approximately 7:45 p.m., Alderman Anglace MOVED to enter into Executive Session to discuss the following items:

11.1 Lawrence Iannucci v. City of Shelton
11.2 Phoenix Housing of Shelton, LLC et al v. City of Shelton
11.3 Gennaro Cacchillo v. City of Shelton
11.4 Perry Hill Road
11.5 Leonore M. Papale
11.6 Caruso vs. City of Shelton Tax Appeal

and invited Mayor Mark A. Lauretti and Corporation Counsel Welch to remain in the Auditorium; SECONDED by Alderman Farrell. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

NOTE: Alderman Perillo left the auditorium during discussion of Item 11.5 Leonore M. Papale, and he abstained from voting on same later in the meeting.

Return to Regular Session

At approximately 8:15 p.m., Alderman Anglace MOVED to return to Regular Session; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

Mayor Lauretti noted that there were no votes taken in Executive Session.

MOTIONS FROM EXECUTIVE SESSION

11.1  LAWRENCE IANNUCCI V. CITY OF SHELTON

No action.

11.2  PHOENIX HOUSING OF SHELTON, LLC ET AL. V. CITY OF SHELTON
No action.

**11.3  GENNAROCACCHILLO V. CITY OF SHELTON**

No action.

**11.4  PERRY HILL ROAD**

No action.

**11.5  LEONORE M. PAPALE**

Alderman Anglace MOVED to disclaim any interest in the estate of Leonore M. Papale; SECONDED by Alderman Simonetti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7 Yes, 0 No, 1 Abstention (Perillo).

**11.6  CARUSO V. CITY OF SHELTON TAX APPEAL**

Alderman Anglace MOVED to approve the stipulation as presented in the tax appeal entitled Caruso v. City of Shelton; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Alderman Kudej MOVED to adjourn; SECONDED by Alderman Simonetti. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 8-0.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia M. Bruder, Clerk

Date Submitted: ______________

Board of Aldermen

DATE APPROVED: ______________ BY: ______________

Mark A. Lauretti
Mayor, City of Shelton