Call to Order / Pledge of Allegiance

Aldermanic President John Anglace called the meeting of the Board of Aldermen to order at 7 p.m. All those present rose and pledged allegiance to the flag.

Roll Call

Alderman John F. Anglace, Jr., President - present
Alderman John “Jack” Finn - present
Alderman Stanley Kudej – present
Alderman Joseph Lanzi - excused
Alderman Kenneth Olin - present
Alderman Christopher Panek - present
Alderman John P. Papa – present
Alderman Randy York - present

Administration:

Corporation Counsel Tom Welch

There was a quorum of 7 present, 1 excused.

Adopt A Garden Recognition Program

Alderman Anglace introduced and thanked Marilynn Gannon, the Adopt-A-Garden Coordinator. He expressed his appreciation and that of the entire City to all those that participated for their commitment to beautify the City of Shelton.

Ms. Gannon and several of the Aldermen presented this year’s Adopt-A-Garden Recognition Awards to the finalists.

Public Session

Alderman Anglace explained, last month during the public portion, a suggestion was made that before we start the public portion, we announce what the add-ons might be for tonight’s meeting. I thought
that was a good suggestion, because it will give the public an idea of the items coming before us that were not pre-advertised prior to the meeting, so that the public will be aware of what items will be added to agenda and if they wish, they can make comment on the subjects.

Alderman Anglase stated, that being said, we intend to add the following items to the agenda:

- Approval of the Hiring of Project Management Team for Technology Consultant Services for the Upper Elementary School Project
- Fiscal Year 2006 Homeland Security Grant Funding

Alderman Anglase asked if any member of the public wished to address the board.

Terry Jones, Jones Family Farm
Israel Hill Road aka Walnut Tree Hill Road

Good evening. First, I didn’t get in at the very beginning, but if it wasn’t said at the beginning, about the gardens and the flowers and Marilynn Gannon who really had this vision, I don’t know how many years ago, but it has really made a difference. I salute you Marilynn; it’s a wonderful thing.

[applause throughout]

I would have told, had I known the Scouts had been here, I would have said it’s just so important that, we all want to change the world, but what we do, we do everything we can every day where we are. That happens to be right here in Shelton, and that’s what counts.

The other thing, before I start, it may sound trite but it is so true, I just want to say a word in honor of the veterans, being on the eve of Veteran’s Day. These men and women of our community that sacrifice so much, that we wouldn’t even be here as a community as we know it if it weren’t for their sacrifices. So I offer that as a thought.

I have my son Jamie, generation 6, from the farm here. His son fell asleep before we could bring him down – he’s Jackson Philip, he’s going to be two tomorrow. And my father was falling asleep, he’s 88, and he had a long day on his sawmill. In a way I regret that all four of us couldn’t be here but, Jackson and Philip are here in spirit.
On behalf of our whole family, we want to thank the people of Shelton for their faith and confidence in our stewardship of our farmland, as they voted favorably on the referendum this week, and I respectfully dedicate this historic decision in two parts – first to two incredibly special ladies, who departed this life this year, and I know they’re here in spirit tonight, my mother Elizabeth and my conservation mentor, Harriet Wilber. My Mom loved the farm and as did Harriet, and Harriet of course was embodied conservation in Shelton for so many decades, and they would be absolutely thrilled. Second, to future generations of farmers, including my grandson Jackson Philip who will be two years old tomorrow. You should know that the funds from this project will establish a type of an endowment to provide farmland stewardship and education so the land will be there for all of tomorrow’s citizens. The children of Jackson’s generation and generations to follow will have the beauty and environmental benefits of our fields and forests to enjoy. So on all of their behalf, we thank the voters of Shelton. We also thank all of the City officials including Mayor Lauretti and particularly the Board of Aldermen, Conservation Commission and Chairman Tom Harbinson, who unanimously have supported Shelton’s farmland and Open Space preservation for all these years. We appreciate also the role of the news media in educating the public.

Lastly, a special thanks to the men and the women of the United States Department of Agriculture’s Natural Resource and Conservation Service, who believed enough in the merits of Shelton’s program to be willing to invest nearly $1 million of federal funding in this farmland project. We hope that other towns follow the lead of Shelton and work in every possible way to not only encourage the preservation of their dwindling farm and forest resources, but to also support the farmers who work the land.

I’ll add a very special thanks to the Board of Aldermen for your steadfast support of open space and farmland protection here in Shelton. Your timely and unanimous support earlier this year and throughout the years has been an incredible help in the City winning these grants to help cost share various conservation, open space and farmland projects. Your prompt and unanimous action earlier this spring played a huge role in achieving the federal grant.

I’ll close with a couple points. First, the United States Department of Agriculture’s Farmland Protection Program, what it does not do is fund outright purchase of farmland. They have learned over many years of experience that helping with conservation easements or purchase of development rights uses only a fraction of the amount of dollars to
protect a given amount of farmland, and they’ve also learned that farmers take better care of the land than the government.

Second, Connecticut is losing too much precious farmland too fast, all across the state. As a nation, we learned what happened when we outsourced our energy needs to places like the Middle East and elsewhere around the world and we’ve seen the economic consequences of that outsourcing. When I graduated from college and during the decade of the 70s the United States was the breadbasket of the world and we exported an enormous amount of food. Last year we reached a tipping point where we now are importing more food than we export. I submit in the long run we’ve seen the painful consequence of outsourcing our energy resources and I submit that doing like wise with our food resources is not a good strategic move for our country.

We need to look ahead, our nation, our state, and as a community. We need to be sustainable. We need to do what we can, where we are, every day as we live.

I will continue to work with the volunteer organization known as the Working Lands Alliance with towns throughout Connecticut to help them preserve their precious farmland. This Board of Aldermen, you’ve led by example, and that leadership is going to make my efforts a lot more effective. I can’t tell you how much I appreciate that.

The Town of Orange is grieving for the loss of about 50 beautiful prime farmland acres along Route 34. The southern side of Field View Farm was the oldest farm in one family in the United States. The town leaders have asked Tom Harbinson and I to come and help them get their conservation land protection program better organized. They had a chance – they could have saved that farm – they could have stepped up to the plate like you men and women did, and like the City of Shelton did, and they didn’t - and they will regret that forever.

Every saved agricultural acre is a legacy of which you can be proud. I am so thankful for your help. Thank you.

Joe Welsh, President, Shelton Land Conservation Trust

I came here to express my thanks to the Board of Aldermen for putting this land purchase to referendum and also my thanks to the community for voting in favor of it. As a land trust, it is often short on money to buy property, but our goal is to see property preserved for conservation and open space and farms to remain active. This is a great program that the
City has and we just hope that more farms follow suit where they can make use of this program that the City has to offer.

Thanks again, and it was great to see the Jones Family Farm voted in favor for the preservation of the development rights. Thank you.

Tom Harbinson, 15 Soundcrest
Chairman, Conservation Commission

I am also here to give my thanks to the Board of Aldermen as well as the Planning and Zoning Commission and the rest of the commissioners on the Conservation Commission with me.

The Board of Aldermen dealt with the issue of purchase of development rights – I think it was three times – and the Planning and Zoning had to give an 8-24 referral regarding the planning appropriateness of this and the Conservation Commission of course dealt with it at a number of meetings. Every single meeting dealing with this issue was unanimous. I’d like to thank all of those boards and commissions, in addition to putting together the grant to the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service the Mayor’s staff and especially Theresa Gallagher, our Natural Resource Agent, and Charlene DeFilippo in the Community Development Office. The grant was put together under a very tight deadline, and if anyone hasn’t seen it, it’s just a fantastic illustration of an appropriate project for the USDA to support, and the USDA saw the merits of that.

I’m not sure if it’s been said before, but the grant program to which we were a recipient had 27 applications in the State of Connecticut. Six of those were awarded a grant – six out of 27 – and one of those six was us. Out of all the money that the federal government allocated to the State of Connecticut for this grant program in this go-around, we got one-third. I think the grant program illustrates that the USDA, in looking at the analysis of this, felt this was a real appropriate project. As Terry illustrated earlier, the grant can only be used for conservation easements, it can’t be used for purchase of property in fee.

There have been some comments in the paper recently. I need to thank the newspaper and media too to try and get the word out. We did hear from the public that there wasn’t a lot of information on this referendum question. So we did have a press conference and all four papers that cover our area did attend and we were able to give them a little more in-depth knowledge about what this meant to our community and to try and get the word out to the public. In that the public saw the worthiness of this. The referendum vote was affirmative by over 75 percent so just for
a little personal research I went to the Secretary of State’s website, which has all of our referendum questions from past years, and I wanted to see what was the affirmative on previous ones. 78 percent back in 2004; 72 percent affirmative in 2003; and back in 1997 89.5 percent affirmative. I think you can rest assured that this community is supportive of the direction you’re heading and affirming that with their vote to expend this amount of money for the purchase of development rights.

As Joe Welsh mentioned earlier, this was an application to our Farm and Forest Protection Program in the City, and we have an applicant to that program active right now and there are other farms in our community that I’m sure will be looking at that program. We can’t do every farm, we understand that, each will be analyzed on its own merits. We hope that you as our leadership and fiscal authority will continue to follow the mandate that the public has set through this referendum vote.

I thank you very much for all your support in the past and I look forward to working with you on projects in the future. Thank you.

Richard Widomski, 49 Christine Drive

Some months ago, I spoke to the Board in regard to the planner, and I really don’t want to beleaguer it because you all have a package already that you’ve all received. All I want to do is add some additional information to it. I’m of course in favor of the planner.

Let’s start with the Water Pollution Control Authority and the hot spots – I’ll start with that one – with the waiver of bids. What happened was, I think everybody knows the story on how the bidding came in, the bidding process, and I attended a meeting last night. It appeared that the bids went out, they tried to waive them, they were going to go ahead and pick additional people to construct the job, additional contractors, those that were not even on the bidder’s list. It sounded to me as though it was an auction bid, whereby you send the bids out and then you grab the lowest one, then grab a group of people in the room and say, okay, let’s bid on this and see who’s going to come in the lowest. In the past I have always thought that was highly unethical if not approaching illegality. I don’t’ know. That’s just my thought on it. I don’t like the idea of that type of an auction bid taking place.

Now, the reason I bring that out is because another reason is you might be able to circumvent the Charter. I’m not saying that’s what was done, but I’m saying it could be done out of ignorance or hopefully not
planned. What you do is you grab your three bids, you send it out to bid to meet the qualification requirements of the Charter, knowing in the back of your mind, or maybe not, that gee, maybe we’re not going to take these bids, we want something lower. So you meet the criteria of the Charter, and then you go ahead and waive the bids and you send them out again, knowing full well what the bids were. That is a way of circumventing the Charter. That Charter is close to me, because I was chairman of CARE for awhile, and I’ve been trying to follow what’s been happening with the Charter, and this is one of the points that I was concerned about and I guess many of the Board members on why you want to keep the bidding process. Is it going to cost more money? At times it will. Will it cost less? Hopefully it will. I don’t want to see Shelton fall into a trap out of ignorance like Bridgeport, Waterbury, or the State of Connecticut. That would be a shame if just the taint of something like that hit Shelton over a silly thing like an ignorance of the bidding process.

At the same meeting, the reason I bring that up is because if you had a planner, the planner would be able to take some part in this process perhaps, I don’t know, it depends on if the planner, if you ever appoint a planner or hire a planner, there are so many things that this planner could do. I mean, he can even change from job to job. This could be one of his responsibilities.

Secondly at the same meeting I found out that Canal Street – Canal Street they’re talking about paving, I don’t know, 1,000 feet, 800 feet, but no matter what it is, they’re talking about paving the road. Now we find that on Canal Street they need to replace a sanitary sewer pipe, which means that they’re going to pave the road and perhaps a year from now they’re going to dig up the road and replace the pipe. That doesn’t make an awful lot of sense. Is it out of ignorance, maybe.

I think it’s out of poor planning. I think it’s because nobody at the SEDC or the WPCA is sitting down and talking. All you need to do is send paper back and forth and say, here’s our plans, here’s what we’re doing. But I don’t see anyone, that your funneling this information to, where they can funnel it out to at least allow everyone the opportunity to see what’s going on and catch things like this. One side we’re talking about saving money on the bidding process, and on the other side we’re spending perhaps additional money on repaving the road. The worst part of repaving a road like that, usually when you come in and you pave a road, you pave from curb to curb. Now when you replace a sanitary sewer or whatever that pipe may be, you’re not going to replace that curb to curb. You’re going to have a mark on a brand new road showing
where construction had taken place. It’s just a matter of coordination. Some years ago, the Bridgeport Hydraulic Company was criticized for doing the same thing. I’m going back a lot of years. They were forced in that area to work with the communities so that the City of Shelton doesn’t come in and pave a road and a week later here comes a utility company digging up the road, and saying don’t worry, we’ll patch it. But it’s not the same.

Inland-Wetlands Commission – I have something from the minutes from Mr. Swift talking about Todd Road – and remember the dates – October 19, 2006 – Mr. Swift, this is what Mr. Swift is saying – “there is no rush, this is a procedural thing where we are going to submit this to Planning and Zoning and it has been submitted to the Water Pollution Control Authority. We don’t really want to submit it to Planning and Zoning until we have an indication from this Board (Water Pollution Control Authority). If anything occurs on this site that has an additional impact on a wetlands or water courses, we have an obligation and responsibility to come back to the Board.” Alright. October 19th, he’s saying that they’re not going to submit it to Planning and Zoning.

August 8, 2006. Public portion of the Planning and Zoning meeting. Attorney Dominick Thomas representing Mucci Construction addressed the commission. “I want to suggest an informal consideration from you on a piece of property,” guess where, “on Todd Road.” Two months before. Two months before he said that they weren’t submitting it. Okay? This is the veterinary facility. He passed out a location map and it goes on, and at the end it says, “this is a good road with public transportation, residential use doesn’t impact the traffic, stated Attorney Thomas.” “Even what it around there, granted light industry maybe, but the Commission can’t wait to see if the light industry comes. There are site issues with the site, it does slope back, there is quite a grade there, what we are trying to do is come to you for feedback.” Okay? “There are two commissioners that are not here this evening, we will pass this on to them. We will have some informal discussion, make our opinion, stated Chairman Cribbins, since we just did the plan this will be a hard sell, but I will take this information, pass it along and give you some feedback.” This is what was stated by Mr. Cribbins at the Planning and Zoning meeting public portion by Attorney Dominick Thomas.

Two months later, there was no submittal. Granted, there was no formal submission. There was no formal submission at all. However there is a question also on the informal meetings taking place. You cannot have informal meetings. That is against the FOI as far as I know. In fact there is correspondence from one of the Corporation Counsels stating
that, no, you cannot have an informal meeting with commissioners. And here it is.

That’s where a planner will come in. You don’t need to present anything in front of the public portion, you just go to your planner. It keeps everything out in the open and it keeps the commission clean. They don’t need to get involved with it, you need staff to get involved with this. In fact, you can see that the applicants seem to be confused, because they’re going in front of one board stating that they never appeared in front of the other board, and you see by the minutes of the meeting that is not true.

One more. I don’t want to beleaguer this too much more. All I’m going to say is there is a coordination of projects and applications to various boards and commissions – you should have information to all boards. There should be a central location for everyone – not only applicants, but the public. It could be a 40 hour job, opened up Monday to Friday, they can have classes or whatever to educate the public and the applicants. Everyone has the package, and I hope you’ve read it, and if you haven’t I ask you to please read it and give it some real consideration, because I hate to see this – the way the City is going – where one hand doesn’t know what the other is doing. As a matter of fact, tonight there are three different meetings at the same time at 7 o’clock and if everybody had the same item on the agenda, and no one is talking, how are you going to get things done? That’s all. Thank you so much.

Alderman Anglance asked if any other member of the public wished to address the Board. Being none, he declared the Public Session closed.

**Agenda Items**

Alderman Papa MOVED to add the following item to the agenda:

Revision – Finance Committee - Revised motion and additional backup for 5.1.3 – Purchase of Articulated Municipal Tractor

Add-Ons –

9.2 Approval of the Hiring of Project Management Team for Technology Consultant Services for the Upper Elementary School Project
10.3A Fiscal Year 2006 Homeland Security Grant Funding

And move item 8.2 Update – Anthem Demutualization to Executive Session

SECONDED by Alderman Kudej; A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

Minutes for Approval

Alderman Papa MOVED to waive the reading of and accept the minutes of the following meetings:

1. Regular Meeting of October 12, 2006
2. Special Meeting of October 24, 2006

SECONDED by Alderman Finn.

Alderman Anglase stated, it has been pointed out to me that the October 12, 2006 minutes contain in the back, attached to it, the stewardship report. The stewardship report is not part of the minutes. It is for your information, but it is not part of the minutes so it should not be attached. It would be in order to accept the minutes of the regular meeting of October 12th minus the stewardship report, pages 39 to 45.

Alderman Panek stated, I have a correction to the minutes of the special meeting of October 24th on page 11 – it says, A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 3-0 – I believe this was supposed to read 8-0. It’s just a typo. THIS WAS A TYPO 10/24 ITEM 2 APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT #6 IS SUPPOSED TO PASS 8-0.

Alderman Anglace stated, a motion is in order to accept the minutes of the regular meeting of October 12th and special meeting of October 24th with the corrections as stated.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION with corrections as stated PASSED 7-0.
5.1 FINANCE COMMITTEE

5.1.1 Approval of Minutes

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee to waive the reading and approve the minutes of the regular Finance Committee meeting of September 26, 2006; SECONDED by Alderman Panek. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.2 October Statutory Refunds

Alderman Kudej MOVED, per the recommendation of the Finance Committee to approve the report of the Tax Collector relative to the refund of taxes for a total amount of $8,169.27 and that the Finance Director be directed to make payments in accordance with the Certified List received from the Tax Collector with funds to come from the Statutory Refunds Account 001-0000-311.13-00; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.3 Purchase of Articulated Municipal Tractor

Mayor Lauretti arrives at this point, 7:50 p.m.

TAPE ONE SIDE TWO

Alderman Kudej MOVED to adopt the following resolution:

MOVE TO APPROPRIATE $118,028.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN ARTICULATED MUNICIPAL TRACTOR AND AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF $118,028.00 BONDS OF THE CITY TO MEET SAID APPROPRIATION AND PENDING THE ISSUANCE THEREOF THE MAKING OF TEMPORARY BORROWINGS FOR SUCH PURPOSE AND BY REFERENCE INCLUDE THE ENTIRE RESOLUTION AS PROVIDED AND AUTHORIZE MAYOR MARK A. LAURETTI TO SIGN SUCH DOCUMENTS AS MAY BE REQUIRED.

RESOLUTION APPROPRIATING $118,028.01 FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN ARTICULATED MUNICIPAL TRACTOR AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF $118,028.01 BONDS OF THE CITY TO MEET SAID APPROPRIATION AND PENDING THE ISSUANCE THEREOF THE MAKING OF TEMPORARY BORROWINGS FOR SUCH PURPOSE
RESOLVED:

Section 1. The sum of $118,028.01 is appropriated for the purchase of an articulated municipal tractor, and for administrative, printing, legal and financing costs related thereto (the "Project").

Section 2. To meet said appropriation $118,028.01 bonds of the City may be issued, maturing in substantially equal annual installments not later than the fifth year after their date. Said bonds may be issued in one or more series as determined by the Treasurer and the Director of Finance and the amount of bonds of each series to be issued shall be fixed by the Treasurer and the Director of Finance, provided that the total amount of bonds to be issued shall not be less than an amount which will provide funds sufficient with other funds available for such purpose to pay the principal of and the interest on all temporary borrowings in anticipation of the receipt of the proceeds of said bonds outstanding at the time of the issuance thereof, and to pay for the administrative, printing, financing and legal costs of issuing the bonds. The bonds shall be in the denomination of $1,000 or a whole multiple thereof, be issued in fully registered form, be executed in the name and on behalf of the City by the facsimile or manual signatures of the Treasurer and the Director of Finance, bear the City seal or a facsimile thereof, be certified by a bank or trust company, which bank or trust company may be designated the registrar and transfer agent, be payable at a bank or trust company, and be approved as to their legality by Robinson & Cole LLP, Attorneys-at-Law, of Hartford. The bonds shall be general obligations of the City and each of the bonds shall recite that every requirement of law relating to its issue has been duly complied with, that such bond is within every debt and other limit prescribed by law, and that the full faith and credit of the City are pledged to the payment of the principal thereof and interest thereon. The aggregate principal amount of the bonds of each series to be issued, the annual installments of principal, redemption provisions, if any, the certifying, registrar and transfer agent, and the paying agent, the date, time of issue and sale and other terms, details and particulars of such bonds, including the approval of the rate or rates of interest, shall be determined by the Treasurer and Director of Finance in accordance with the Connecticut General Statutes, as amended.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be sold by the Treasurer in a competitive offering or by negotiation, in the Treasurer's discretion. If sold in a competitive offering, the bonds shall be sold upon sealed proposals at not less than par and accrued interest on the basis of the lowest net or true interest cost to the City. A notice of sale or a summary thereof describing the bonds and setting forth the terms and conditions of the sale shall be published at least five days in advance of the sale in a recognized publication carrying municipal bond notices and devoted primarily to financial news and the subject of state and municipal bonds. If the bonds are sold by negotiation, provisions of the purchase agreement shall be subject to the approval of the Mayor, Treasurer and Director of Finance.

Section 4. The Treasurer and Director of Finance are authorized to make temporary borrowings in anticipation of the receipt of the proceeds of any series of said bonds. Notes evidencing such borrowings shall be signed by the Treasurer and the Director of Finance, have the seal of the City affixed, be certified and payable at a bank or trust company designated by the Treasurer, be approved as to their legality by Robinson & Cole LLP, Attorneys-at-Law, of Hartford. They shall be issued with maturity dates which comply with the provisions of the Connecticut General Statutes governing the issuance of such notes, as the same may be
amended from time to time. The notes shall be general obligations of the City and each of
the notes shall recite that every requirement of law relating to its issue has been duly
complied with, that such note is within every debt and other limit prescribed by law, and that
the full faith and credit of the City are pledged to the payment of the principal thereof and the
interest thereon. The net interest cost on such notes, including renewals thereof, and the
expense of preparing, issuing and marketing them, to the extent paid from the proceeds of
such renewals or said bonds, shall be included as a cost of the Project. Upon the sale of said
bonds the proceeds thereof, to the extent required, shall be applied forthwith to the payment
of the principal of and the interest on any such notes then outstanding or shall be deposited
with a bank or trust company in trust for such purpose.

Section 5. The City hereby expresses its official intent pursuant to §1.150-2 of the
Federal Income Tax Regulations, Title 26 (the "Regulations"), to reimburse expenditures paid
sixty days prior to and anytime after the date of passage of this Resolution in the maximum
amount of and for the capital projects defined in Section 1 with the proceeds of bonds, notes,
or other obligations ("Bonds") authorized to be issued by the City. The Bonds shall be issued
to reimburse such expenditures not later than 18 months after the later of the date of the
expenditure or the substantial completion of the Project, or such later date the Regulations
may authorize. The City hereby certifies that the intention to reimburse as expressed herein is
based upon its reasonable expectations as of this date. The Director of Finance or his
designee is authorized to pay Project expenses in accordance herewith pending the issuance
of Bonds, and to amend this declaration.

Section 6. The Mayor, the Treasurer and the Director of Finance, or any two of them,
are hereby authorized, on behalf of the City, to enter into agreements or otherwise covenant
for the benefit of bondholders to provide information on an annual or other periodic basis to
nationally recognized municipal securities information repositories or state based information
repositories (the "Repositories") and to provide notices to the Repositories of material events
as enumerated in Securities Exchange Act Rule 15c2-12, as amended, as may be necessary,
appropriate or desirable to effect the sale of the bonds and notes authorized by this
resolution. Any agreements or representations to provide information to Repositories made
prior hereto are hereby confirmed, ratified and approved.

Section 7. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to the provisions of Section 7.16 of the
City Charter and is within the limitations set forth therein for the fiscal year ended June 30,
2007.

Enacted by the Board of Aldermen: ________________________________
Approved by the Mayor: __________________________ Date __________
Attest: ___________________________________

City Clerk
Date __________________________

SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

Alderman Anglance stated, a question for Counsel. Should the rest of
what we have in front of us be incorporated by reference?
Corporation Counsel Welch replied yes, it does say, for such purpose and by reference include the entire resolution is provided and authorized by Mayor Mark A. Lauretti. So it is incorporated.

Alderman Papa stated, I just got a memo from Apportionment and Taxation and they awarded the bid to C.N. Woods for the tractor for $78,854 for the tractor only, and $118,028 with all options – that is snow plow, leaf blower, and so forth.

Mayor Lauretti stated, that’s in the packet. We know that. I had spoken with Ron and Dean and we had agreed that we’re going to eliminate the sweeper and water tank. That’s somewhere around $8,378. Just change the number – let the motion reflect the number has been reduced by that amount.

Alderman Anglace stated, the new number is $109,650.

Alderman Finn MOVED to amend the motion to reflect the change in the dollar amount from $118,028 to $109,650; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED AS AMENDED 7-0.

5.1.4 Replacement of Carpeting – Police Department

Alderman Kudej MOVED to add the purchase of carpeting for the following areas in the Police Department: (Female Locker Room, Supervisor Locker Room and Traffic) as well the Police Department Main Area (two offices and adjoining small hallway, Supervisor’s office and landing, Court Liaison’s office, hallway, Captain’s office, Administrative area, Chief’s Office, briefing room and Sergeant’s office) to the Capital Improvement Plan with funding in the amount of $13,311 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman Anglace. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.5 Purchase of Stair Tread and Rubber Floor for Huntington Fire Department

Alderman Kudej MOVED to add the purchase of stair tread and rubber flooring replacement for the Huntington Fire Department to the Capital Improvement Plan with funding in the amount of
$4,664.57 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman Anglace. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

### 5.1.6 Replacement of Carpeting – Shelton Community Center

Alderman Kudej MOVED to add the purchase of carpeting for basement hallway and Meeting Room #2 at the Shelton Community Center to the Capital Improvement Plan with funding in the amount of $15,000 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

This carpeting wore out a lot quicker than the Police Department carpeting – more traffic.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

### 5.1.7 Installation of Total Door System at City Hall

Alderman Kudej MOVED to add the purchase of the Total Door System at City Hall to the Capital Improvement Plan with funding in the amount of $7,350 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman Anglace. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

### 5.1.8 Installation of Rooftop Air Conditioning Unit – Senior Center

Alderman Kudej MOVED to add the purchase of a rooftop air conditioning unit at the Senior Center to the Capital Improvement Plan with funding in the amount of $15,000 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman York.

Mayor Lauretti stated, we talked about this at the Finance Committee and I had recommended that maybe we need to look a little bit closer at it and take a different tack, and I did that, and Joe Sewack and I feel that we probably ought to go forward with this unit. The cost of the unit is approximately $11,500 and the balance is just a couple of thousand dollars more to do the installation. Now, we could do it and save a few dollars, I’m not sure it’s worth it in the long run. We are going to try to coordinate this installation along with a rooftop unit at the Police Department, which I think that we will purchase and our people will do the
installation on it. We’ll do it at the same time because we’re going to have to pay for a crane to come and take the one off of the roof at the Senior Center. That same day they’ll come and do it at the Police Department. So that is the approach. There was a couple thousand dollar savings if we were to do it all ourselves, only winter, I’m not sure it’s worth it in the long run. This way it gets done, and we know it’s done, and the only building we’ll have to worry about is the Police Department. The decision is yours.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.1.9 Installation of New Shower Enclosures – Women’s Locker Room – Shelton Community Center

Mayor Lauretti stated, we did have some discussion at the Finance Committee and I can tell you that we’re not ready to move forward on this. We need more backup. I would ask that we table this or take no action.

Alderman Anglance MOVED TO TABLE; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

5.2 PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITTEE

No items

5.3 STREET COMMITTEE

No items

REPORT OF THE MAYOR

None presented.

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

Alderman Anglance stated, I get to introduce you to our new clerk. Filling the shoes of Brigitte Plucienik was not easy. Many good and qualified people were referred by City employees eager to see continuation of the high productivity levels and the good will generated by Brigitte for so many years. On behalf of the Board of Aldermen, I wish to publicly thank all those who applied and responded to our call. Unfortunately
only one person could be chosen, but we did meet many qualified candidates and are arranging to utilize their good skills in other ways.

Tonight I wish to introduce to the Board and the public, our new clerk. She is Theresa A. Adcox, sitting right down here at the table. Theresa is a resident of Shelton with a very professional work history, who has demonstrated computer skills, public relations skills and good interpersonal skills. She also has been a writer, an editor, demonstrating an ability to obtain necessary information, format its content, and to meet deadlines. She is graduate of Southern Connecticut State University where she earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Liberal Studies, majoring in English. Theresa, on behalf of the Board of Aldermen, I welcome you to your new job, and our new association. I hope that you enjoy this job as much as Brigitte did, and find this job to be exciting, informative, rewarding, and challenging. We welcome you.

[Applause]

6.0 LEGAL REPORT

6.1 Corporation Counsel Billing

Alderman Anglase MOVED to authorize a total payment of $1,913.48 to Corporation Counsel Winnick, Vine, Welch, & Teodosio, LLC for services rendered per statement dated November 2, 2006 with funds to come from the following Legal Services Accounts:

- Legal Fees 001-1900-411.30-03 $ 1,866.56
- Miscellaneous Fees 001-1900.411.80-01 46.92

SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

6.2 Assistant Corporation Counsel Billing

Alderman Anglase MOVED to authorize a total payment of $2,629.25 to Assistant Corporation Counsel Ramon Sous for services rendered per statement dated November 1, 2006 with funds to come from the following Legal Services accounts:

- Legal Fees 001-1900-411.30-03 $ 2,520.00
- Miscellaneous Fees 001-1900.411.80-01 109.25
SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

7 LEGISLATIVE - OLD

7.1 Report on Amendment to Ordinance #787 – Noise Ordinance

Alderman Anglance stated, everybody has the final report in their hands.

Alderman Papa stated, we’re going to move it to the December Board of Aldermen meeting. We met at Public Health & Safety, Corporation Counsel Welch made some corrections, we had some input from the public and also from Alderman Anglance, we made those corrections and it was all cleaned up and will be sent to the full Board for the December meeting.

Alderman Anglance stated, I would also like to suggest, that some comments were made in the minutes of the Board of Aldermen meeting last month. I don’t know who looked those over, but I’d ask Counsel to take a look at those.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I know that the Police Department had attended the meeting and had some concern about the overall enforcement of this ordinance. This is really a difficult one. I don’t know where you ended up. You can create all the legal documents you want but if you can’t enforce it, what good does it do?

Alderman Anglance stated, some members of the public were suggesting that we prohibit noise in residential areas. That’s kind of vague, but in general, they are referring to noise coming from these ATVs that ride around the yard just constantly, all day long, and it’s just annoying to the residential neighborhood. Maybe that should be looked at as a separate issue. Maybe not part of the noise ordinance, but maybe a separate issue by itself.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I attempted in rewriting it in terms of trying to make it clear that there are certain noise standards obviously in a commercial zone and a residential zone, and that any noise that exceeds the noise standards that you define, I know it’s difficult because you have to get the noise meter to register it right, tweak it over, but I think we’ve got some basis to at least address issues that citizens may have because of noise. Obviously we can’t limit all noise but it does set a standard for it in a residential neighborhood.
Alderman Papa stated, it was spelled out in the ordinance that special groups like the household area, mobile home parks and different areas, there are certain qualifications on the decibel level. I think it was pointed out quite well that in the warehouse areas and construction areas it will be different decibel limits compared to residential areas. I don’t know if that would tighten it up a little bit, but the Chief of Police and Planning and Zoning Administrator should be responsible for all measuring of the decibel units in certain areas.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I think a good example of what could get confused or lost in the intent of the ordinance are things like recreational activities. All of our recreational facilities border residential areas. Light becomes an intrusion, when you put up lights on fields.

Alderman York stated, that’s under exemptions. But you might want to put in hours or during the hours that its opened.

Alderman Papa stated, there are hours on here, even for construction you can start at 8 o’clock and you have to be done by a certain hour. So there are restrictions on the time.

Mayor Lauretti stated, you know, things we get calls on constantly are construction areas in residential zones and that border residential zones. They’re out there in the middle of the summertime working with machines at 6 o’clock in the morning until 9 or 10 o’clock at night. As you know, it’s light at 9 o’clock at night. That’s not really fair to the people that want to sit in their backyard and enjoy their backyard.

Alderman Panek asked, it says day – in terms of a construction – should it be 9 p.m. as daytime?

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, in speaking, as we were doing yesterday or the other day when we were looking at those hours, it says holidays that are observed by the City of Shelton, and I didn’t change them because it came from the Board, but the Board may want to consider, because it’s very difficult, because tomorrow is a holiday observed by the City, and in effect you’re saying, you can’t do any construction tomorrow because it’s prohibited on holidays and Sundays.
So with the ordinance, you’d be prohibiting people from continuing construction tomorrow because the City observes it as a holiday. That might be something to look at.

Alderman Papa stated, also, when you have emergencies. If there’s an emergency, it doesn’t make a difference what day or time it is, some work has to be done if there’s a leak in the water pipes or a leak in the sewers or something, I mean, that has to be done.

Alderman Panek stated, following up on what the Mayor said in terms of a construction site that’s neighboring a residential, do you want it to say 9 p.m. as daytime? I mean, 8:45 they can be running heavy equipment at a construction site? That just jumped out at me.

Mayor Lauretti stated, see, these are the things that we have to deal with at all hours of the day and night. They’re very difficult to enforce. I’m not suggesting that you don’t have the standards in place, because you certainly have to have it. I think 9 o’clock is a little bit much. But by the same token, in the older neighborhoods and downtown even in the Huntington area where there is ½ acre zoning, people want to cut their grass at 8 or 9 o’clock at night, they’re making just as much noise with some of these big lawnmowers and weed whackers as a backhoe is. It’s really a balancing act and we’ve got to use some common sense and some judgment here. That’s how these neighborhood disputes start and they want us to officiate all the time.

Alderman Papa asked, how many complaints have we had? I don’t know if there are a lot of complaints about certain issues that we addressed. What we have in place now, at least it’s something to work with. If we see that there are other problems that are coming up from 8 to 9 then we can address it when the situation comes up. We have to start someplace.

Mayor Lauretti stated, we can’t keep adjusting to – you know – these ATVs and these dirtbikes, I mean, they’re just obnoxious. They come at all hours.

Alderman Finn stated, the gentleman that was last month in front of us, he asked us to incorporate that into the ordinance. On Beech Tree Hill Road where he lives, the house next door to him has two or three dirtbikes and his house is only 15 feet from the garage and all day long that is all he hears is those dirtbikes going around the house. That is taking away from his peace and quiet. That is what he asked to have incorporated into the ordinance. The Police Department says that they
can’t have anything to do about it unless they go on the road. Planning and Zoning says it’s not under their control.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, what you’re doing by passing the noise ordinance is protecting public health and safety. It’s just a matter of how you incorporate in, trying to come to a level that is enforceable, it’s about catching people so to speak, it’s very easy to disturb someone’s peace and quiet for 30 seconds every night at 2 a.m. and they call the police and it’s done. But you’re already up. I think we’ve got to review it this month and incorporate those type of things to try to give it some flexibility so that people’s hands aren’t tied and there’s at least something to,

Alderman Anglace stated, I want to share with you my thoughts, when I read the comments that were made at our meeting from the public. I sensed a frustration from among members of the public that have to put up and a whole neighborhood is disturbed by one family that is running ATVs 24/7, and dirtbikes is the same kind of thing. So they come to us because they heard we’re doing something with the noise ordinance, and they’re looking for this to be the answer to their problem. I’m not so sure that the noise ordinance is going to be the answer, however we should look for other ways to address the issue of ATVs and dirtbikes because they apparently are a nuisance to the majority of neighbors in the neighborhood. Between the noise, and the dust and everything else that goes on. People are upset. I don’t know what the answer is, but I think if we can’t find the answer in the noise ordinance, then let’s not drop it, let’s go beyond that and see if we can work on it.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, I’ll do some research before the next Public Health & Safety meeting to see ways that we can address that.

Alderman Panek stated, I think it was Captain Madden that was here last month and said they do have an officer that’s on duty, that’s trained and can run the meter. The ATVs, the particular person that was here last month lives up near Jack and my house, and the kid just drives around like five hours a day, just drives around the house and drives around. I’m friends with several of the people on this street, and it just drives all the neighbors crazy, but there’s nothing that they can do about it. Apparently they’re not violating anything by driving one ATV around their house, six hours a day. Maybe the noise ordinance, if it is exceeding the level, the Police can go up there and measure it, it could stop it.

Alderman Anglace stated, I would invite the family who is causing all of this problem up there to one of our Public Health and Safety meetings
and tell them we’re going to talk about “their issue” in their neighborhood and we invite them to come and share in the discussion. Now if they’re any kind of people that are interested in good relations with their neighbors, they’ll show up. Maybe it will do something.

Alderman Papa stated, you mean you can approach your neighbor and tell him the concerns that you have? I mean if you’re going to ask them to come to a meeting, then how come you can’t talk to them,

Alderman Finn stated, Alderman Papa, you’re more than welcome. They neighbors have all talked to this one individual, they’ve gotten nowhere. The Police Department has talked to this individual. They’ve gotten nowhere. They’re going on the conservation easement between Jones Pumpkinseed Hill and they’ve started tearing up with ATVs there and they managed to get them off that property. If you can find a solution,

Alderman Papa stated, no, I’m asking you. You tell me that the Police Department can’t do anything, the neighbors can’t do anything.

Alderman Finn stated, once he gets on the road with the bike they can do something. He’s only 15 years old or 16 years old and he drives the family car down the street, down Beardsley Road, loaded with kids.

Alderman Papa stated, the bottom line in this ordinance there is a decibel. If the Police go there when this gentleman is riding his ATV or whatever he’s riding and it’s over the limit, can he get issued a citation?

Mayor Lauretti stated, I wanted to get this discussion going because I wanted to share with everybody some of the frustrations that my office has to deal with with respect to this, the Police Department, and Planning and Zoning. We can enforce it. We have enforced it. Pinecrest is an example. But it was very timely, and labor intensive, and to some degree it was costly. We had a long, 30-year battle with them. And we solved it. The property got sold.

Alderman York stated, maybe if we look at some instances differently, because if you have a barking dog next to you, you can call Sheryl Taylor and she will come over and she’ll knock on the door and try to talk to the owners, because the owners are responsible for that barking dog and you can’t just let your dog bark and bark and bark. But it’s not a noise ordinance problem, but it’s still a nuisance. So maybe we can try to classify some of these things, not necessarily by noise, but if you’ve got a kid going around and around and around for hours, you might be able to look at it from a different angle rather than just noise. It’s creating a
neighborhood disturbance. I don’t know. Say, each one of these things is unique and now that you have, well I know in the third ward you’ve got older established neighborhoods that have been there for a long time and you’ve got newer things coming in around it and bringing in, I know the people on Mill Street were concerned about restaurant noise, music at a restaurant that was disturbing them on weekends, so I’m sitting here thinking, how do you establish hours on something like that? That’s definitely a holiday – that’s going to be around on holidays and late at night and Saturday nights and Friday nights, so noise ordinances might not necessarily be the only way to deal with this. If you can do it with a barking dog, why can’t we do it with a kid on an ATV.

Mayor Lauretti stated, you know, the barking dog issue is a good example and I’m glad you brought that up, because that’s one that we deal with all the time, the Police Department is constantly being called out there, and you know, it can happen any hour on any day and Sheryl Taylor, as an example, doesn’t work 24/7/365. So there are gaps.

Alderman York stated, she is wonderful. I know she drives around until she hears it herself. It might not get solved the next day, but what she’ll do is drive by and listen, wherever the complaint came from she’ll drive by and witness it herself and then she’ll confront the homeowner after she hears it. She goes after it aggressively.

Mayor Lauretti stated, the point that I wanted to make is that this really needs some careful discussion because there are a lot of very difficult situations that sometimes get solved with a lot of aches and pains involved, and you get in the middle of neighborhood disputes and you wind up being a mediator, and that’s not what our role is. I just wanted to make that point – let’s take our time with this one.

8 FINANCIAL BUSINESS OLD

8.1 Statutory Refunds Procedure Approval

Mayor Lauretti stated, this is another that I have to deal with when people can’t understand why it takes so long to get their refund, and they want it right now.

Alderman Anglace stated, actually what’s going to happen, we’ve agreed on with the Tax Collector and everybody else is that we are going to continue to refer Statutory Refunds to the Finance Committee, they’ll be drawn up around the 16th of the month by the Tax Collector. Up to this point, the Tax Collector has referred them to the Board of Aldermen
Clerk. The Board of Aldermen Clerk has typed up the summary list that you receive every month, both Finance and Full Board. That process is going to change. The Tax Collector is going to type up the summary list, because she knows the numbers and she will certify the numbers. She’ll send them to the Finance Committee. The Finance Committee will be able to review them, act on them, give Jud Crawford a chance to tell us whether we’re right or wrong, add them up, and then they’ll be referred to the full Board for approval or disapproval. We will do it in a timely fashion and it should not hold any checks up. But the important thing is, no checks, no money will be drawn from the account until it’s approved by the full Board of Aldermen. The Finance Committee has no authority to approve, just recommend.

Alderman Anglace MOVED to adopt the statutory refunds procedure as prepared and recommended by Corporation Counsel; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

9 FINANCIAL BUSINESS NEW

9.1 Barn Demolition - Long Hill Avenue

Alderman Anglace MOVED to authorize the demolition of a hazardous structure (barn) located on City property at 619 Long Hill Avenue and add this to the Capital Improvement Program with funding in the amount of $4,800 to come from LOCIP; SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

Mayor Lauretti stated, this is pretty straightforward.

Alderman York stated, I think you’re too late, it’s already down.

Mayor Lauretti stated, it’s three quarters of the way down anyway.

Alderman Anglace stated, I have a question on this. The barn in question is in a residential area and if we had to build, if the City demolishes this barn, and the City wants to build another building, you can’t build it in the same location that this barn currently exists because it would be in violation of Zoning. We’re too close to the line. It’s a pre-existing condition right now. If we want a building there, what we’d have to do is fix this one. But if we demolish this, then we can’t put another one in the same location.

Mayor Lauretti asked, what is your point? Do you really think we’re going to build a barn on this property? You can’t wait to do something
with the land. Why can’t you just leave it alone? Sometimes land should be left alone.

Alderman Anglance stated, I just want you to go into this knowing that. Because if we’re going to put another building there later on, we’re going to move it out, away from the line.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I don’t understand why people think we always have to do something, like, oh we bought the land, we’ve got to do something with it. Can’t we just take a piece of property and leave it alone?

[banter back and forth amongst the Aldermen about ball fields, horses, and a community garden]

Alderman Papa stated, it’s demolition and removal of the whole barn, all the material.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I just want you to know that we’re approving this tonight, but we may do this in-house so that we don’t have to spend all this money.

Alderman Finn stated, the Fire Department can use it for training.

Mayor Lauretti stated, did you know there is a market for barn board?

Alderman Finn replied, yes I did, just ask Mr. Jones’ father.

A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

9.2 Approval of the Hiring of Project Management Team for Technology Consultant Services for the Upper Elementary School Project

Alderman Anglance MOVED to authorize the contract between the City of Shelton and the architect project management team Northeast, Inc. for the services required in developing program and infrastructure networks for voice, video and data networks for the Upper Elementary School subject to standard modifications to said contract as approved by Corporation Counsel and the scope of service as determined by the Building Committee and further to appropriate the sum of $29,500 from the Upper Elementary School bonding issue; and further,
MOVED to authorize the contract between the City of Shelton and the architect project management team Northeast, Inc. for the contract administration for the installation of technology equipment for the Upper Elementary School subject to standard modifications to said contract as approved by the Corporation Counsel and the scope of service as determined by the Building Committee and further to appropriate the sum of $15,000 from the Upper Elementary School bonding; and further,

MOVED to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to sign both contracts and effectuate any documents as necessary; SECONDED by Alderman Papa.

Alderman Anglace stated, the Upper Elementary Building Committee met with the Technology Building Committee and both are on the same page. They are going to be working very closely together on the technology aspects of this project.

Corporation Counsel Welch stated, Don Sheehy stated that the reason it was unusual to say, subject to further refinement as to the scope of services is because the Building Committee is again meeting with them next week to see if the scope could be greater at no additional cost. That is why it’s written that way.

Mayor Lauretti stated, I want to broach a question with the Board and get some feedback on this. I started with Brigitte and Sandy to try to cut back on the amount of paper that we have to supply for different meetings as far as backup materials. I use this contract as an example. This contract is very wordy, the print is very small, it’s very legal, and we’re trying to, we want to supply you the information; we want to do it electronically. That’s been hours and hours, and losing trees and trees with the number of copies that we make for committee meetings, and then again for full Board meetings, as we’ve been doing for years and years. I’m going to be working with Theresa and Sandy to try and accomplish that. I don’t want anybody to feel like we don’t want to supply the information and have that become an issue, because this is all public information, but you look at, sometimes you get a stack of paper like this and it’s very difficult for you to go through all of that. Even I don’t go through all of that.

Alderman York stated, can I make a suggestion that in our backup that you put a note where the hard copy of the contract or the large document or whatever it is could be available so that we could come and read it? Just so we don’t have to go hunting around for it or have it in
Theresa’s office or have it in your office or someplace where we can get a copy of it.

Mayor Lauretti stated, there is always a copy either with the Clerk of the Board, she’s going to need it for the files because it’s a record of the City, so it will be available.

Alderman Panek stated, a lot of times on these items for me, we have a building committee in place that’s reviewing and recommending, it’s going to Corporation Counsel. I would agree that a lot of times you read some of these contracts, and it’s repetitious, and if it’s available at one location or available through e-mail, that would be better anyhow than it ending up in your basement in stacks and stacks of paper.

Alderman York stated, it would help me also, being new, it gives me a little direction that, hey, I had better go read it. If there’s a note in there to remind me in our backup package that a copy of the contract is available here, and go review it, rather than just assuming that we know that there’s a contract there somewhere and we’re supposed to take a look at it.

Mayor Lauretti stated, or even coming out of committee when you get a stack of paper for these committee meetings, and then things change after the committee meeting and it goes to the full Board, we want to try and reduce the amount of paper that we’re sending out. With respects to contracts like this, over the last 10 years we’ve done a lot of big projects that we have fine tuned these documents so the only thing these building committees are really negotiating is very little. Sometimes market conditions do change and that would change the approach we use with the way we’re going to structure the contract, whether we want them to act as our representative, or just to oversee, things like that. Whether we want them to have absolute responsibility, as an example, when we did the Intermediate School, the City took all of the responsibility, we had 49 different contracts with 49 different vendors. The other projects we had one contract and the management group just handled the whole thing. Those are really the highpoints. The rest of this stuff, we’ve got it down to a science.

We have got the City’s interests fully protected.

A voice vote was taken and the motion passed 7-0.
10. - LEGISLATIVE - NEW

10.1.1 Full Board of Aldermen

Alderman Anglance MOVED that the second Thursday of each month be established as the regularly scheduled meeting date of the full Board of Aldermen. Meetings are to be held in the Auditorium at City Hall and will convene at 7 p.m. Reference the schedule as provided; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Shelton</th>
<th>Regular Full Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007 Meeting Schedule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.1.2 Public Hearing on Ordinances

Alderman Anglance MOVED that the fourth Tuesday of each month be established as the regularly scheduled meeting date of the Public Hearing on Ordinances, with the exception of December, when the meeting will be on Wednesday, December 26, 2007 due to City Hall being closed for the Christmas holiday on Tuesday December 25, 2007. Meetings are to be held in the Auditorium at City Hall and will convene at 7:00 p.m. Reference the schedule as provided; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
10.1.3  **Aldermanic Finance Committee**

Alderman Anglace MOVED that the fourth Tuesday of each month be established as the regularly scheduled meeting date of the Finance Committee, with the exception of December, when the meeting will be on Wednesday, December 26, 2007 due to City Hall being closed on Tuesday December 25, 2007. Meetings are to be held in Room 104 at City Hall and will convene at 6:00 p.m. Reference the schedule as provided; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Shelton</th>
<th>Finance Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2007 Meeting Schedule</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.1.4  **Public, Health & Safety Committee**

Alderman Anglace MOVED that the first Wednesday of each month be established as the regularly scheduled meeting date of the Public, Health & Safety Committee. Meetings are to be held in room 104 at City Hall and will convene at 7:00 p.m. Reference the schedule as provided; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Shelton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Health &amp; Safety Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Meeting Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**10.1.5 Street Committee**

TAPE TWO

Alderman Anglase MOVED that the first Tuesday of each month be established as the regularly scheduled meeting date of the Street Committee, with the exception of July 4, 2007, when the meeting will be held on Thursday, July 5, 2007 due to Independence Day celebrations. Meetings are to held in Room 104 at City Hall and will convene at 7:00 p.m. Reference the schedule as provided; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Shelton Street Committee 2007 Meeting Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.2 Extension of Lease for 234 Shelton Avenue, Shelton, CT**

Alderman Anglase MOVED to approve the extension of lease for the property at 234 Shelton Avenue pursuant to the extension agreement provided by Corporation Counsel. Subject to an 8-24 referral; SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

**10.3 Resolution 2006 Homeland Security Grant**

Alderman Anglase MOVED to adopt the following Resolution:
BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, that the City of Shelton Board of Aldermen may enter into with and deliver to the State of Connecticut Department of Emergency Management and Homeland Security any and all documents which it deems to be necessary or appropriate; and further resolved, that Mark A. Lauretti, as Mayor of the City of Shelton, is authorized and directed to execute and deliver any and all documents on behalf of the City of Shelton and to do and perform all acts and things which he deems to be necessary or appropriate to carry out the terms of such documents, including, but not limited to, executing and delivering all agreements and documents contemplated by such documents.

SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

10.3 A  Fiscal Year 2006 Homeland Security Grant Funding

Alderman Anglace MOVED to adopt the following Resolution to authorize Mayor Mark A. Lauretti to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Department of Emergency Management & Homeland Security (DEMHS), the state administering agency for this program.

Be it hereby RESOLVED, that the City of Shelton may enter into with and deliver to the State of Connecticut Department of Emergency Management and Homeland Security any and all documents which it deems to be necessary or appropriate; and FURTHER RESOLVED, that Mark A. Lauretti, as Mayor of the City of Shelton is authorized and directed to execute and deliver any and all documents on behalf of the City of Shelton and to do and perform all acts and things which he/she deems to be necessary or appropriate to carry out the terms of such documents, including, but not limited to, executing and delivering all agreements and documents contemplated by such documents.

SECONDED by Alderman Papa. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
11.0 Executive Session

On October 2, 2006 Alderman Finn submitted a letter to Mayor Mark A. Lauretti, the Board of Aldermen, the Board of Ethics, and the City Clerk, excusing himself from discussion and voting on Item 11.1 City of Shelton Teachers Contract, the reason being that his wife, Susan Finn, is employed by the Board of Education and there will be a financial gain for her with the acceptance of the contract. A copy of the letter is on file in the office of the Town and City Clerk.

At approximately 8:45 p.m. Alderman Olin MOVED to enter into Executive Session to discuss the following items:

11.1 City of Shelton Teachers Contract
11.2 City of Shelton v. Wernick
11.3 Update on Anthem Demutualization

and invite Corporation Counsel Welch to remain in the Auditorium; SECONDED by Alderman Kudej. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.
Return to Regular Session/Adjournment

At approximately 9 p.m. Alderman Panek MOVED to return to Regular Session; SECONDED by Alderman Olin. A voice vote was taken and the MOTION PASSED 7-0.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 9 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia M. Bruder, Clerk

Date Submitted: ______________

Board of Aldermen

DATE APPROVED: ______________ BY: _______________________

Mark A. Lauretti
Mayor, City of Shelton