

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program

City of Shelton

May 2020



Prepared by

ENVIRONMENTAL
 **PARTNERS**

This document is based on a template originally created by another firm and modified for statewide use with the CTDEEP General Permit for the Discharge of Stormwater from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems, effective July 1, 2017.

Table of Contents

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program

City of Shelton

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	MS4 Program.....	1
1.2	Geographic Scope of IDDE Program	1
1.3	Illicit Discharges	4
1.4	Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges.....	4
1.5	Receiving Waters and Impairments	5
1.6	IDDE Program Goals, Framework, and Timeline	6
1.7	IDDE Program Accomplishments – 2004 Permit	8
2	Authority and Responsibilities.....	8
2.1	Legal Authority	8
2.2	Statement of Responsibilities.....	9
3	Citizen Reporting of Illicit Discharges.....	9
4	Mapping.....	9
4.1	Outfall and Interconnection Inventory and Mapping	10
4.2	Detailed System Mapping.....	11
5	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Inventory	12
6	Catchment Assessment and Priority Ranking	14
6.1	Catchment Delineations	14
6.2	Assessment and Priority Ranking of Catchments	14
7	Outfall and Interconnection Screening and Sampling.....	17
7.1	Dry and Wet Weather Rainfall Criteria.....	17
7.2	Dry Weather Screening/Sampling	18
7.2.1	General Procedure	18
7.2.2	Field Equipment.....	19
7.2.3	Sample Collection and Analysis.....	20
7.3	Interpreting Outfall Sampling Results.....	22
8	Catchment Investigations.....	24
8.1	System Vulnerability Factors	24
8.2	Dry Weather Investigation (Manhole Inspections).....	27

8.3	Wet Weather Investigation (Outfall Sampling).....	28
8.4	Source Isolation and Confirmation	29
8.4.1	Sandbagging	30
8.4.2	Smoke Testing	30
8.4.3	Dye Testing.....	30
8.4.4	CCTV/Video Inspection	31
8.4.5	Optical Brightener Monitoring	31
8.4.6	IDDE Canines	31
8.5	Illicit Discharge Removal	32
8.5.1	Confirmatory Outfall Screening	32
8.6	Follow-up Screening	32
8.7	Illicit Discharge Prevention Procedures.....	32
9	Training.....	33
10	Progress Reporting.....	33

Tables

Table 1-1.	Impaired Waters.....	5
Table 1-2.	IDDE Program Implementation Timeline.....	7
Table 5-1.	SSO Inventory	13
Table 7-1.	Field Equipment – Dry Weather Outfall Screening and Sampling.....	19
Table 7-2.	Outfall Screening Sampling Parameters and Analysis Methods	20
Table 7-3.	Required Analytical Methods, Detection Limits, Hold Times, and Preservatives.....	21
Table 7-4.	Benchmark Field Measurements for Select Parameters.....	22
Table 8-1.	Outfall Catchment System Vulnerability Factor (SVF) Inventory.....	26

Figures

Figure 1-1.	Map of Urbanized Areas in the City of Shelton (“Priority Areas”).....	2
Figure 1-2.	Map of Other Areas Subject to the MS4 Permit Program Requirements (Priority Areas).....	3
Figure 1-3.	IDDE Investigation Procedure Framework.....	7

Appendices

Appendix A	Legal Authority (IDDE Ordinance)
Appendix B	Storm System Mapping
Appendix C	Outfall Ranking Matrix and Catchment Delineation Map
Appendix D	Field Forms, Sample Bottle Labels, and Chain of Custody Forms
Appendix E	Water Quality Analysis Instructions, User's Manuals and Standard Operating Procedures
Appendix F	IDDE Employee Training Record
Appendix G	Source Isolation and Confirmation Methods: Instructions, Manuals, and SOPs

1 Introduction

1.1 MS4 Program

The City of Shelton has developed an Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) program to address the requirements of the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CTDEEP) *General Permit for the Discharge of Stormwater from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems*, effective July 1, 2017, hereafter referred to as the “2017 MS4 Permit” or “MS4 Permit.”

The MS4 Permit requires that each permittee, or regulated community, address six Minimum Control Measures. These measures include the following:

1. Public Education and Outreach
2. Public Involvement/Participation
3. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
4. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
5. Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development or Redevelopment
6. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping.

Under Minimum Control Measure 3, the permittee is required to implement an IDDE program to provide the legal authority to prohibit and eliminate illicit discharges to the MS4, find the source of any illicit discharges, eliminate those illicit discharges, and ensure ongoing screening and tracking to prevent and/or eliminate future illicit discharges. The IDDE program must also be recorded in a written (hardcopy or electronic) document and meet the IDDE program requirements specified in the MS4 Permit. This document has been prepared to address this requirement.

1.2 Geographic Scope of IDDE Program

The MS4 Permit requires municipalities to implement the IDDE program within the Urbanized Area (based on 2010 U.S. Census) and those catchment areas of the MS4 with either Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA) of greater than 11% or which discharge directly to impaired waters (i.e., “priority areas”).

Figure 1-1 depicts the urbanized area within the City of Shelton. The entire City is considered urbanized and therefore considered priority area. Figure 1-2 shows basins with greater than 11% impervious cover and impaired waters within the City of Shelton. In this map, it is assumed that the DCIA is equal to the percent impervious cover. This is a conservative estimate and the actual percent DCIA is likely less than the percent impervious cover. The City may revise its estimate of DCIA in the future as resources allow.




Figure 1-1: Map of Urbanized Areas in the City of Shelton ("Priority Areas")




Figure 1-2: Map of Other Areas Subject to the MS4 Permit Program Requirements (Priority Areas)

1.3 Illicit Discharges

An “illicit discharge” is any unpermitted discharge to waters of the state that does not consist entirely of stormwater or uncontaminated ground water except: (1) certain allowable non-stormwater discharges when such non-stormwater discharges are not significant contributors of pollution to a discharge from an identified MS4, or (2) discharges authorized under a separate NPDES permit that authorize a discharge to the MS4.

Illicit discharges may take a variety of forms. Illicit discharges may enter the drainage system through direct or indirect connections. Direct connections may be relatively obvious, such as cross-connections of sanitary sewer services to the storm drain system. Indirect illicit discharges may be more difficult to detect or address, such as failing septic systems that discharge untreated domestic wastewater to a ditch within the MS4, or a sump pump that discharges contaminated water on an intermittent basis.

Some illicit discharges are intentional, such as dumping used oil (or other pollutant) into catch basins, a resident or contractor illegally tapping a new sewer lateral into a storm drain pipe to avoid the costs of a sewer connection fee and service, and illegal dumping of yard wastes into surface waters. Some illicit discharges are related to the unsuitability of original infrastructure to the modern regulatory environment. Examples of illicit discharges in this category include connected floor drains in old buildings, as well as sanitary sewer overflows that enter the drainage system. Sump pumps legally connected to the storm drain system may be used inappropriately, such as for the disposal of floor washwater or old household products, and in many cases this is due to a lack of understanding on the part of the homeowner.

Elimination of some discharges may involve substantial cost and effort, such as disconnecting and reconnecting sanitary sewer laterals or replacing leaking sanitary and/or storm sewer lines. Others, such as improving adherence to proper pet waste management practices through public education and by providing pet waste baggies and receptacles, can be accomplished through relatively low-cost efforts.

Regardless of the intention, when not addressed, illicit discharges can be a significant source of pollutants to surface waters, including metals, toxics, oil, grease, solvents, nutrients, and pathogens.

1.4 Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges

The following categories of non-stormwater discharges are allowed under the MS4 Permit provided: (1) the permittee controls such non-stormwater discharges to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP), as required by the MS4 Permit; (2) such non-stormwater discharges do not contribute to a violation of water quality standards; and (3) such non-stormwater discharges are documented in the Stormwater Management Plan and are not significant contributors of pollutants to any identified MS4:

- Uncontaminated groundwater discharges including, but not limited to, pumped ground water, foundation drains, water from crawl space pumps and footing drains
- Irrigation water including, but not limited to, landscape irrigation and lawn watering runoff
- Residual street wash water associated with sweeping
- Discharges or flows from firefighting activities (except training)
- Naturally occurring discharges such as rising ground waters, uncontaminated ground water infiltration (as defined at 40 CFR 35.2005(20)), springs, diverted stream flows and flows from riparian habitats and wetlands.

If these discharges are identified as significant contributors to the MS4, they must be considered an “illicit discharge” and addressed by the IDDE program (i.e., control these sources so they are no longer significant contributors of pollutants, and/or eliminate them entirely).

1.5 Receiving Waters and Impairments

Table 1-1 lists the impaired waters within the boundaries of the City of Shelton based on the latest version of the State of Connecticut Integrated Water Quality Report produced by CTDEEP every two years. Impaired waters are water bodies that do not meet water quality standards for one or more designated use(s) such as recreation or aquatic habitat.

Impaired waters within the boundaries of the City include waters in categories 4a and 5. Category 5 Waters include those where available data and/or information indicate that one or more designated uses are not being supported and a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is needed. Category 4a waters include those impaired for one or more designated uses, have an established TMDL and a pollutant has been identified as the cause of impairment.

Table 1-1. Impaired Waters

Waterbody Name	Segment ID	Category	Impairment and Stormwater Pollutant of Concern	Approved TMDL
Housatonic River	CT6000-00_01 and CT6000-00_02	5	Not Supporting Recreation – E.coli (bacteria)	N/A
Housatonic River (Upper)	CT-C1_021-SB	5	Not Supporting Habitat for Marine Fish, Other – Nitrogen and Phosphorous	N/A
Housatonic Lake	CT6000-00-5+L4_01	4a/5	Not Supporting Recreation – Nitrogen and Phosphorus: Bacteria	CT Statewide Bacteria TMDL
Curtiss Brook	CT6000-73_01	4a	Not Supporting Recreation – E.coli (bacteria)	CT Statewide Bacteria TMDL

Waterbody Name	Segment ID	Category	Impairment and Stormwater Pollutant of Concern	Approved TMDL
Far Mill River	CT6025-00_02	4a	Not Supporting Recreation – E.coli (bacteria)	CT Statewide Bacteria TMDL
Far Mill River	CT6025-00_04	5	Not Supporting Recreation – E.coli (bacteria)	N/A

Source: State of Connecticut 2018 Integrated Water Quality Report (CTDEEP).

The Statewide Bacteria TMDL which includes the Housatonic River Watershed and the Far Mill River requires municipalities subject to an MS4 permit to access and modify their Stormwater Management Plans to address the impairment. Particular focus should be placed on public education, illicit discharge detection and elimination, stormwater structures cleaning, and the repair, upgrade or retrofit of storm sewer structures.

Storm Water Plan modifications are required to include BMPs that address the bacteria impairment and could include implementation or improvement of existing nuisance wildlife programs, septic system monitoring programs, any additional measures added to the IDDE program and increased streets sweeping.

1.6 IDDE Program Goals, Framework, and Timeline

The objective of the IDDE program is to systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to the MS4 and implement procedures to prevent such discharges. The program consists of the following major components as outlined in the MS4 Permit:

- Legal authority to prohibit illicit discharges and enforce this prohibition
- Program for citizen reporting of illicit discharges
- Storm system mapping
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) elimination
- Assessment and priority ranking of catchments
- Outfall and interconnection screening and sampling
- Catchment investigations
- Identification/confirmation of illicit sources
- Illicit discharge removal
- Follow-up screening
- Employee training.

The IDDE investigation protocol framework is shown in **Figure 1-2**. The required timeline for implementing the IDDE program is shown in **Table 1-2**, which apply for the City of Shelton as an existing 2004 MS4 Permittee.




Figure 1-3. IDDE Investigation Procedure Framework

Table 1-2. IDDE Program Implementation Timeline

IDDE Program Requirement	Deadlines – shown for Shelton as an existing permittee					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 10
SSO Inventory (5-year look back)	Oct 30, 2017					
Program for Citizen Reporting	Effective Date					
Establish IDDE Legal Authority	July 1, 2018					
Written IDDE Program	July 1, 2018					
Outfall/Interconnection Inventory		July 1, 2019				
Map All Stormwater Outfalls		July 1, 2019				
Initial Assessment and Priority Ranking of Catchments (update annually)		July 1, 2019				
Complete Detailed Storm System Mapping			July 1, 2020			
Begin Dry Weather Outfall Screening (high and low priority outfalls)	July 1, 2018					
Complete Dry Weather Outfall Screening (high and low priority outfalls)					July 1, 2022	
Catchment Investigations – Problem Outfalls (80% and 100% of problem catchments)			July 1, 2020		July 1, 2022	
Catchment Investigations* – all Problem, High and Low Priority Outfalls						July 1, 2027

*For existing 2004 MS4 permittees, catchment investigations must begin with three months of finalization of investigation procedure and no later than 15 months from effective date of permit. New MS4 permittees must begin these investigations no later than 2 years and 3 months from effective date of permit.

1.7 IDDE Program Accomplishments – 2004 Permit

The 2004 MS4 Permit required MS4 communities to develop a plan to detect illicit discharges using a combination of storm system mapping, adopting a regulatory mechanism to prohibit illicit discharges and enforce this prohibition, and identifying tools and methods to investigate suspected illicit discharges. MS4s were also required to define how confirmed discharges would be eliminated and how the removal would be documented.

The City of Shelton has completed or implemented the following IDDE program elements consistent with the 2004 MS4 Permit requirements:

- Outfall mapping
 - The City of Shelton has mapped 585 MS4 outfalls and nine state-owned outfalls.
- Additional storm system mapping,
 - Additional stormwater system mapping includes locations of catch basins and 20 stormwater detention ponds owned and maintained by the City, which is to be completed in 2020.
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) inventory
 - The City of Shelton maintains an SSO inventory. Record keeping commenced on July 2017. The inventory includes 12 events from 2012 through 2018.
- Adoption of an illicit discharge ordinance or similar legal authority
 - In September 2010, the City adopted Ordinance N. 855, Illegal Discharge and Illicit connections to the Public Storm Drainage System. The City will review this ordinance for compliance with the new general permit regulations.

2 Authority and Responsibilities

2.1 Legal Authority

The City of Shelton has adopted Ordinance #854: Stormwater Management/Operation and Maintenance Requirements and Ordinance #855: Illegal Discharge and Illicit Connections to the Public Storm Drainage System (September 9, 2010). A copy of Ordinances #854 and #855 is provided in **Appendix A**. Ordinances #854 and #855 provide the City of Shelton with adequate legal authority to:

- Prohibit illicit discharges
- Investigate suspected illicit discharges
- Eliminate illicit discharges, including discharges from properties not owned by or controlled by the MS4 that discharge into the MS4 system
- Implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions.

The City of Shelton will review its current Ordinances #854 and #855 and related policies for consistency with the MS4 Permit. The City will update, if necessary, Ordinance #854 and #855 following the review process. Stormwater ordinances will also be revalued in accordance with requirements of Minimum Control Measure (MCM) 4 and MCM 5.

2.2 Statement of Responsibilities

The Public Works Department is the lead municipal department responsible for implementing the IDDE program pursuant to the provisions of the Ordinance #855. The Director of Public Works may delegate responsibilities to other employees or offices of the City. Other agencies, departments, or personnel with responsibility for aspects of the program may include:

- Highways and Bridges Department
- Sewer Department
- Engineering Department
- Building Department
- Inland Wetlands Agent
- Conservation Commission
- Planning and Zoning Department

3 Citizen Reporting of Illicit Discharges

The MS4 Permit requires municipalities to develop a program for citizen reporting of illicit discharges. Although the City of Shelton does not yet have a formal reporting system, complaints regarding illicit discharges are accepted through the Highways and Bridges Department, the WPCA Administrator and the City Hall receptionist who direct these calls to the Engineering Department for documentation and follow up. The City will add a link to the stormwater website page for citizen reporting of illicit discharges.

The City of Shelton will investigate and eliminate any illicit discharges reported by citizens or organizations, provided such a report incorporates at least a time and location of an observed discharge. The City will conduct an inspection of the reported outfalls, manholes or other sites promptly after receiving such a report. The City will incorporate the reported outfalls into the IDDE program. Citizen reports and the responses to those reports will be included in the Annual Report.

4 Mapping

The City of Shelton originally developed mapping of its stormwater system to meet the mapping requirements of the 2004 MS4 Permit. The completed elements include 585 outfalls, nine state-

owned outfalls, catch basins, and 20 municipal owned stormwater detention ponds. The original mapping was completed in AutoCAD, and more detailed GIS mapping was begun in 2020.

A copy of the existing storm system map is provided in **Appendix B**.

The 2017 MS4 Permit requires a revised and more detailed storm system map than was required by the 2004 MS4 Permit. The Public Works Department is responsible for updating the stormwater system mapping pursuant to the MS4 Permit, currently in process. The City of Shelton will report on the progress towards completion of the storm system map in each annual report. Updates to the stormwater mapping will be included in **Appendix B**.

4.1 Outfall and Interconnection Inventory and Mapping

The City of Shelton will develop an inventory (spreadsheet or database in a format compatible with Microsoft Excel) and mapping at a minimum scale of 1"=2000' and maximum scale of 1"=100' showing all stormwater outfalls¹ located within and owned or operated by the municipality (or institution for institutional MS4s) and all interconnections² with other MS4s. The map should, if possible, be developed in GIS or comparable digital format (i.e., CAD). The inventory and map will include the following information for each outfall and interconnection:

- Unique identifier
- Type, material, size (e.g., 24-inch concrete pipe)
- Spatial location (latitude and longitude with a minimum accuracy of +/-30 feet)
- Name, water body ID and Surface Water Quality Classification of the immediate surface water body or wetland to which the stormwater runoff discharges
- If the outfall does not discharge directly to a named water body, the name and water body ID of the nearest named water body to which the outfall eventually discharges
- Name of the watershed, including subregional drainage basin number, in which the discharge is located
- Date of most recent inspection

¹ **Outfall** means a point source as defined by 40 CFR § 122.2 and in Section 2 of the 2017 MS4 Permit as the point where the MS4 discharges to waters of the state. An outfall does not include open conveyances connecting two separate storm sewers or pipes, tunnels or other conveyances that connect segments of the same stream or other waters of the state and that are used to convey waters of the state. It is strongly recommended that a permittee inspect all accessible portions of the system as part of this process. Culverts longer than a simple road crossing shall be included unless the permittee can confirm that they are free of any connections and simply convey waters of the state.

² **Interconnection** means the point where the permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the discharge is conveyed to waters of the state or to another storm sewer system and eventually to a water of the state.

- Physical condition
- Indicators of potential non-stormwater discharges (including presence or evidence of suspect flow and sensory observations such as odor, color, turbidity, floatables, or oil sheen) as of the most recent inspection.

The majority of the mapping has been completed including 585 outfalls. Each outfall has a unique identifier, type, material, size, and the name of the water body to which it discharges. The inventory will be updated and added to annually to include data collected in connection with dry weather screening and other relevant inspections. An updated inventory and mapping will be provided in each annual report.

4.2 Detailed System Mapping

A detailed storm system map will be developed for, at a minimum, the portions of the municipality within “priority” areas. The detailed mapping is intended to facilitate the identification of key infrastructure, factors influencing proper system operation, and the potential for illicit discharges.

The mapping may be produced by hand or computer-aided methods (e.g., GIS or CAD). The required scale and detail of the map will be appropriate to facilitate a rapid understanding of the system by the municipality and CTDEEP. The mapping will also serve as a planning tool for the implementation and phasing of the IDDE program and demonstration of the extent of complete and planned investigations and corrections. The mapping will be updated as necessary to reflect newly discovered information and required corrections or modifications.

The following mapping elements are required:

- Outfalls and receiving waters (previously required by the 2004 MS4 Permit)
- Pipes, catch basins, and/or manholes
- Open channel conveyances (swales, ditches, etc.)
- Interconnections with other MS4s and other storm sewer systems
- Municipally owned stormwater treatment structures (e.g., detention and retention basins, infiltration systems, bioretention areas, water quality swales, gross particle separators, oil/water separators, or other proprietary systems)
- Catchment delineations for use in priority rankings, or prioritizing BMP retrofits
- Water bodies identified by name and indication of all use impairments as identified on the most recent State of Connecticut Integrated Water Quality Report.

The following mapping elements are required where available:

- Municipal Sanitary Sewer system (if available)
- Municipal combined sewer system (if applicable).

The following mapping elements are recommended:

- Storm sewer material, size (pipe diameter), age
- Sanitary sewer system material, size (pipe diameter), age

- Where a municipal sanitary sewer system exists, properties known or suspected to be served by a septic system, especially in high density urban areas
- Area where the permittee's MS4 has received or could receive flow from septic system discharges
- Seasonal high water table elevations impacting sanitary alignments
- Topography
- Orthophotography
- Alignments, dates and representation of work completed of past illicit discharge investigations
- Locations of suspected confirmed and corrected illicit discharges with dates and flow estimates.

Detailed system mapping will be completed to the maximum extent practical within three years of the effective date of the permit (July 1, 2020). The City will continue to add mapping elements as available resources allow.

5 Sanitary Sewer Overflow Inventory

The 2016 MS4 Permit requires municipalities to prohibit illicit discharges, including sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), to the separate storm sewer system. SSOs are discharges of untreated sanitary wastewater from a municipal sanitary sewer that can contaminate surface waters, are the cause water quality problems and property damage, and threaten public health. SSOs can be caused by blockages, line breaks, sewer defects that allow stormwater and groundwater to overload the system, power failures, improper sewer design, and vandalism.

The City of Shelton completed an inventory of SSOs that have discharged to the MS4 in the five years prior to the effective date of the 2017 MS4 Permit (July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2017) (**Table 5-1**). The inventory includes all SSOs that occurred during wet or dry weather resulting from inadequate conveyance capacities or where interconnectivity of the storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure allows for transfer of flow between systems. This inventory was completed on July 1, 2017 (within 120 days of the effective permit date, October 28, 2017).

Upon detection of an SSO, the City of Shelton will eliminate it as expeditiously as possible and take interim measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants to and from its MS4 until the SSO is eliminated. Upon becoming aware of an SSO to the MS4, the City of Shelton will provide written notice to CTDEEP within five (5) days of becoming aware of the SSO occurrence.

The inventory in **Table 5-1** will be updated by the City of Shelton when new SSOs are detected. The SSO inventory will be included in the annual report, including the status of mitigation and corrective measures to address each identified SSO.

Table 5-1. SSO Inventory

SSO Location ¹	Discharge Point ²	Date ³	Duration ³ (hours)	Estimated Volume ⁴ (gallons)	Description ⁵	Mitigation Completed ⁶	Mitigation Planned ⁷
500 River Road	MS4	9/25/2012	1.75	100	Grease	Flushed line (River Road Pump Station) on 9/25/2012	Mitigation completed
25 Kneen Street Ext.	MS4	2/18/2013	2	500	Object	Removed object; flushed line on 2/18/2013	Mitigation completed
71 Rocky Rest Road	MS4	5/22/2013	3	2,000	Grease	Line flushed on 5/22/2013; inspected via closed circuit television (CCTV) camera on 6/28/2013	Mitigation completed
75 River Road	Housatonic River	3/25/2014	2	1,000	Roots	Line flushed on 5/22/2013; CCTV inspection on 8/29/2019	Mitigation completed
25 Riverdale Avenue	MS4	4/30/2014	1	30,000	SCADA	SCADA System Logic completed in 2014	Mitigation completed
30 Little Fawn Drive	MS4	8/30/2014	4	30	Roots	Line flushed on 8/30/2014; root treatment completed in 2014, 2017, 2018, and 2020	Mitigation completed
14 Regent Drive	MS4	11/22/2014	1.75	2,500	Roots	Line flushed on 11/22/2014; roots removed on 11/22/2014	Mitigation completed
1 Trap Falls Road	MS4	1/29/2015	1.5	200	Wipes	Line flushed on 1/29/2015; inspected via CCTV on 6/24/2015	Mitigation completed
19 Forest Parkway	MS4	4/28/2015	1	50	MH debris	Line flushed on 4/28/2015; debris removed; inspected via CCTV on 10/29/2015	Mitigation completed
19 Yutaka Trail	Farmill River	6/21/2016	1.25	100	Wipes	Line flushed on 6/21/2016	Monitoring
78 William Street	MS4	2/24/2018	1.5	100	Wipes	Cleaned wipes from manhole and jetted line on 2/24/2018	Mitigation completed
11 Cribbins Avenue	MS4	5/30/2018	1	50	Wipes/rags	Jetted line and cleaned manhole of rags and wipes on 5/30/2018	Mitigation completed

¹ Location (approximate street crossing/address and receiving water, if any)

² A clear statement of whether the discharge entered a surface water directly or entered the MS4

³ Date(s) and duration of each known SSO occurrence

⁴ Estimated volume(s) of the SSO occurrence

⁵ Description of the occurrence indicating known or suspected cause(s)

⁶ Mitigation and corrective measures completed with dates implemented

⁷ Mitigation and corrective measures planned with implementation schedules

6 Catchment Assessment and Priority Ranking

The MS4 Permit requires an assessment and priority ranking of catchments in terms of their potential to have illicit discharges and SSOs and the related public health significance. The ranking will determine the priority order for screening of outfalls and interconnections, catchment investigations for evidence of illicit discharges, and provides the basis for determining permit milestones.

6.1 Catchment Delineations

A catchment is the area that drains to an individual outfall or interconnection. Catchments will be delineated to define contributing areas for investigation of potential sources of illicit discharges. Catchments are typically delineated based on topographic contours and mapped drainage infrastructure, where available. As indicated in Section 4.2, catchment delineations will be completed as part of the detailed system mapping.

Larger-scale watershed boundaries available from CTDEEP or local watershed organizations such as CTDEEP Local Basin boundaries, were used instead of individual outfall catchment areas to support the initial assessment and priority ranking of catchments in the City of Shelton. Required updates to the catchment assessment and priority ranking will incorporate refined catchment details as they become available.

6.2 Assessment and Priority Ranking of Catchments

The Public Works Department completed an initial illicit discharge potential assessment and priority ranking of catchments based on existing information, including the outfall and interconnection inventory and mapping.

The initial assessment and priority ranking was completed with the IDDE Plan in spring 2020.

An updated assessment and priority ranking will be provided in each annual report thereafter, including a listing of all catchments and the results of the ranking for each catchment. The assessment and priority ranking will be updated annually based on catchment delineations, the results of dry weather screening, and other relevant information.

Catchments associated with outfalls and interconnections will be classified into one of the following categories:

- 1. Excluded Catchments:** Catchments with no potential for illicit discharges. This category is limited to:

- Roadway drainage in undeveloped areas with no dwellings and no sanitary sewers
- Drainage for athletic fields, parks or undeveloped green space and associated parking without services
- Cross-country drainage alignments (that neither cross nor are in proximity to sanitary sewer alignments) through undeveloped land.

2. Problem Catchments: Catchments with known or suspected contributions of illicit discharges based on existing information. This category includes any catchments where previous outfall/interconnection screening indicates likely sewer input. Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

- Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

Screening and sampling is not required for Problem Catchments. Problem Catchments must be scheduled for catchment investigation. Following the initial ranking of catchments, subsequent rankings shall not add any catchments to the Problem Catchment category.

3. High Priority Catchments: Catchments that have not been classified as Problem Catchments and that are:

- Discharging to an area of concern to public health due to proximity of public beaches, recreational areas, drinking water supplies or shellfish beds
- Determined by the permittee as high priority based on outfall/interconnection screening and catchment characteristics assessment.

Any catchment where outfall/interconnection screening indicates likely sewer input as described under Item 1, Problem Catchments, shall be ranked at the top of the High Priority Catchments category and scheduled for catchment investigation.

4. Low Priority Catchments: Catchments determined by the permittee as low priority based on outfall/interconnection screening (see Section 7) and catchment characteristics assessment (see below).

Catchments are ranked into the above priority categories (except for excluded catchments, which may be excluded from the IDDE program) based on the following characteristics of the defined initial catchment areas, where information is available. Additional relevant characteristics, including location-specific characteristics, may be considered but must be documented in the IDDE program.

- **Previous screening results** – previous screening/sampling results indicate likely sewer input (see criteria above for Problem Catchments).

- **Past discharge complaints and reports.**
- **Poor dry weather receiving water quality** – the following guidelines are recommended to identify waters as having a high illicit discharge potential:
 - Exceeding water quality standards for bacteria
 - Ammonia levels above 0.5 mg/l
 - Surfactants levels greater than or equal to 0.25 mg/l.
- **Density of generating sites** – Generating sites are those places, including institutional, municipal, commercial, or industrial sites, with a potential to generate pollutants that could contribute to illicit discharges. Examples of these sites include, but are not limited to, car dealers; car washes; gas stations; garden centers; and industrial manufacturing areas.
- **Age of development and infrastructure** – Industrial areas greater than 40 years old and areas where the sanitary sewer system is more than 40 years old will probably have a high illicit discharge potential. Developments 20 years or younger will probably have a low illicit discharge potential. This data was not readily available and may be incorporated at a later date.
- **Sewer conversion** – Contributing catchment areas that were once serviced by septic systems, but have been converted to sewer connections may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- **Historic combined sewer systems** – Contributing catchment areas that were once serviced by a combined sewer system, but have been separated may have a high illicit discharge potential. This data was not available and may be incorporated at a later date.
- **Surrounding density of aging septic systems** – Septic systems thirty years or older in residential land use areas are prone to have failures and may have a high illicit discharge potential. This data was not available and may be incorporated at a later date.
- **Culverted streams** – Any river or stream that is culverted for distances greater than a simple roadway crossing may have a high illicit discharge potential.
- **Water bodies** that receive a discharge from the MS4 and are drinking water supplies, shell fishing areas, beaches or waters used for contact recreation.
- **Impaired water bodies** that receive a discharge from the MS4 or waters with approved TMDLs applicable to the permittee, where illicit discharges have the potential to contain the pollutant identified as the cause of the water quality impairment.

Appendix C contains the initial outfall priority ranking matrix and catchment delineation mapping completed for the City.

7 Outfall and Interconnection Screening and Sampling

The 2017 MS4 Permit requires screening and sampling of outfalls and interconnections from the MS4 in dry and wet weather for evidence of illicit discharges and SSOs, including:

- Baseline outfall and interconnection screening (dry weather)
- Confirmatory screenings (dry and/or wet weather depending on catchment characteristics)
- Follow-up screening (dry and/or wet weather depending on catchment characteristics).

The Public Works Department is responsible for conducting dry and wet weather outfall and interconnection screening and sampling.

7.1 Dry and Wet Weather Rainfall Criteria

For the purposes of outfall screening and sampling, dry and wet weather conditions are defined as follows:

- **Dry Weather** – dry weather screening and sampling shall proceed when no more than 0.1 inches of rainfall has occurred in the previous 24-hour period.
- **Wet Weather** – wet weather screening and sampling shall occur during or after a storm event of sufficient depth or intensity to produce a stormwater discharge at the outfall. There is no specific rainfall amount that will trigger sampling, although minimum storm event intensities that are likely to trigger sanitary sewer interconnections are preferred. Sampling during the initial period of discharge (“first flush”) will be avoided. To the extent feasible, sampling should occur during the spring (March through June) when groundwater levels are relatively high.

Note that wet weather criteria for impaired waters outfall monitoring pursuant to Section 6(i) of the MS4 Permit are different than the above wet weather criteria for outfall screening and sampling.

For purposes of determining dry and wet weather conditions, precipitation data from Weather Underground Shelton City Center Station (**KCTSHELT34**) will be used. If KCTSHELT34 is not available or not reporting current weather data, then the Nells Rock Road Area station (**KCTSHELT6**) will be used as a back-up.

The remainder of this section is focused on dry weather screening and sampling. Wet weather screening and sampling is discussed further in the context of catchment investigations, including confirmatory and follow-up screening in Section 8.

7.2 Dry Weather Screening/Sampling

Dry weather flow is a common indicator of potential illicit connections. The 2017 MS4 Permit requires all outfalls/interconnections (excluding Problem and Excluded Catchments) to be screened (i.e., visually inspected) for the presence of dry weather flow. Dry weather outfall screening and sampling may take place when no more than 0.1 inches of rainfall has occurred in the previous 24-hour period.

7.2.1 General Procedure

The dry weather outfall screening and sampling procedure consists of the following general steps:

1. Identify outfall(s) to be screened/sampled based on outfall inventory and initial catchment priority ranking.
2. Acquire the necessary staff, mapping, and field equipment (see **Table 7-1** for list of potential field equipment).
3. Conduct the outfall inspection during dry weather:
 - a. Mark and photograph the outfall.
 - b. Record the inspection information and outfall characteristics (using paper forms or digital form using a tablet or similar device) (see form in **Appendix D**).
 - c. Look for and record visual/olfactory evidence of pollutants in flowing outfalls including odor, color, turbidity, and floatable matter (suds, bubbles, excrement, toilet paper or sanitary products). Also observe outfalls for deposits and stains, vegetation, and damage to outfall structures.
4. If an outfall is inaccessible or submerged, proceed to the first accessible upstream manhole or structure for the observation and sampling and report the location with the screening results. If an interconnection is inaccessible or submerged, perform screening at the first accessible location within the permittee's system upgradient of the interconnection.
5. If flow is observed, sample and test the flow following the procedures described in the following sections.
6. If no flow is observed, but evidence illicit discharges exists (illicit discharges are often intermittent or transitory), revisit the outfall during dry weather within one week of the initial observation, if practicable, to perform a second dry weather screening and sample any observed flow. Other techniques can be used to detect intermittent or transitory flows including conducting inspections during evenings or weekends and using optical brighteners.
7. Input results from screening and sampling into spreadsheet/database. Include pertinent information in the outfall/interconnection inventory and priority ranking.
8. Include all screening data in the annual report.

7.2.2 Field Equipment

Table 7-1 lists field equipment commonly used for dry weather outfall screening and sampling.

Table 7-1. Field Equipment – Dry Weather Outfall Screening and Sampling

Equipment	Use/Notes
Clipboard	For organization of field sheets and writing surface
Field Sheets	Field sheets for both dry weather inspection and Dry weather sampling should be available with extras
Chain of Custody Forms	To ensure proper handling of all samples
Pens/Pencils/Permanent Markers	For proper labeling
Nitrile Gloves	To protect the sampler as well as the sample from contamination
Flashlight/headlamp w/batteries	For looking in outfalls or manholes, helpful in early mornings as well
Cooler with Ice	For transporting samples to the laboratory
Digital Camera	For documenting field conditions at time of inspection
Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)	Reflective vest, Safety glasses and boots at a minimum
GPS Receiver	For taking spatial location data
Water Quality Sonde	If needed, for sampling conductivity, temperature, pH
Water Quality Meter	Hand held meter, if available, for testing for various water quality parameters such as ammonia, surfactants and chlorine
Test Kits	Have extra kits on hand to sample more outfalls than are anticipated to be screened in a single day
Label Tape	For labeling sample containers
Sample Containers	Make sure all sample containers are clean. Keep extra sample containers on hand at all times. Make sure there are proper sample containers for what is being sampled for (i.e., bacteria requires sterile containers).
Pry Bar or Pick	For opening catch basins and manholes when necessary
Sandbags	For damming low flows in order to take samples
Small Mallet or Hammer	Helping to free stuck manhole and catch basin covers
Utility Knife	Multiple uses
Measuring Tape	Measuring distances and depth of flow
Safety Cones	Safety
Hand Sanitizer	Disinfectant/decontaminant
Zip Ties/Duct Tape	For making field repairs
Rubber Boots/Waders	For accessing shallow streams/areas
Sampling Pole/Dipper/Sampling Cage	For accessing hard to reach outfalls and manholes

7.2.3 Sample Collection and Analysis

If flow is present during a dry weather outfall inspection, a sample will be collected and analyzed for the required permit parameters³ listed in **Table 7-2**. The general procedure for collection of outfall samples is as follows:

1. Fill out all sample information on sample bottles and field sheets (see **Appendix D** for Sample Labels and Field Sheets)
2. Put on protective gloves (nitrile/latex/other) before sampling
3. Collect sample with dipper or directly in sample containers. If possible, collect water from the flow directly in the sample bottle. Be careful not to disturb sediments.
4. If using a dipper or other device, triple rinse the device with distilled water and then in water to be sampled (not for bacteria sampling)
5. Use test strips, test kits, and field meters (rinse similar to dipper) for most parameters (see **Table 7-2**)
6. Place laboratory samples on ice for analysis of bacteria and pollutants of concern
7. Fill out chain-of-custody form (**Appendix D**) for laboratory samples
8. Deliver samples to the laboratory
9. Dispose of used test strips and test kit ampules properly
10. Decontaminate all testing personnel and equipment

Field test kits or field instrumentation are permitted for all parameters except indicator bacteria and any pollutants of concern. Field kits need to have appropriate detection limits and ranges. **Table 7-2** lists various field test kits and field instruments that can be used for outfall sampling associated with the 2017 MS4 Permit parameters, other than indicator bacteria and any pollutants of concern. Analytical procedures and user's manuals for field test kits and field instrumentation are provided in **Appendix E**.

Table 7-2. Outfall Screening Sampling Parameters and Analysis Methods

Analyte or Parameter	Instrumentation (Portable Meter)	Field Test Kit
Ammonia	CHEMetrics™ V-2000 Colorimeter Hach™ DR/890 Colorimeter Hach™ Pocket Colorimeter™ II	CHEMetrics™ K-1410 CHEMetrics™ K-1510 (series) Hach™ NI-SA Hach N1-8 Hach™ Ammonia Test Strips
Surfactants (Detergents)	CHEMetrics™ I-2017	CHEMetrics™ K-9400 and K-9404 Hach™ DE-2
Chlorine	CHEMetrics™ V-2000, K-2513 Hach™ Pocket Colorimeter™ II	Hach CN-66F

³ Other potentially useful parameters, although not required by the MS4 Permit, include **fluoride** (indicator of potable water sources in areas where water supplies are fluoridated), **potassium** (high levels may indicate the presence of sanitary wastewater), and **optical brighteners** (indicative of laundry detergents).

Analyte or Parameter	Instrumentation (Portable Meter)	Field Test Kit
Conductivity	CHEMetrics™ I-1200 YSI Pro30 YSI EC300A Oakton 450	NA
Temperature	YSI Pro30 YSI EC300A Oakton 450	NA
Salinity	YSI Pro30 YSI EC300A Oakton 450	NA
Temperature	YSI Pro30 YSI EC300A Oakton 450	NA
Indicator Bacteria: <i>E. coli</i> (freshwater) or Enterococcus (saline water)	EPA certified laboratory procedure (40 CFR § 136)	NA
Pollutants of Concern ¹	EPA certified laboratory procedure (40 CFR § 136)	NA

¹ Where the discharge is directly into a water quality limited water or a water subject to an approved TMDL, the sample must be analyzed for the pollutant(s) of concern identified as the cause of the water quality impairment.

Testing for indicator bacteria and any pollutants of concern must be conducted using analytical methods and procedures found in 40 CFR § 136.⁴ Samples for laboratory analysis must also be stored and preserved in accordance with procedures found in 40 CFR § 136. **Table 7-3** lists analytical methods, detection limits, hold times, and preservatives for laboratory analysis of dry weather sampling parameters.

Table 7-3. Required Analytical Methods, Detection Limits, Hold Times, and Preservatives

Analyte or Parameter	Analytical Method	Detection Limit	Max. Hold Time	Preservative
Ammonia	EPA: 350.2, SM: 4500-NH ₃ C	0.05 mg/L	28 days	Cool ≤6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH <2, No preservative required if analyzed immediately
Surfactants	SM: 5540-C	0.01 mg/L	48 hours	Cool ≤6°C
Chlorine	SM: 4500-Cl G	0.02 mg/L	Analyze within 15 minutes	None Required

⁴ 40 CFR § 136: <http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=b3b41fdea0b7b0b8cd6c4304d86271b7&mc=true&node=pt40.25.136&rgn=div5>

Analyte or Parameter	Analytical Method	Detection Limit	Max. Hold Time	Preservative
Temperature	SM: 2550B	NA	Immediate	None Required
Specific Conductance	EPA: 120.1, SM: 2510B	0.2 µs/cm	28 days	Cool ≤6°C
Salinity	SM: 2520	-	28 days	Cool ≤6°C
Indicator Bacteria: <i>E.coli</i> (freshwater)	<i>E.coli</i> EPA: 1603 SM: 9221B, 9221F, 9223 B Other: Colilert®, Colilert-18®	<i>E.coli</i> EPA: 1 cfu/100mL SM: 2 MPN/100mL Other: 1 MPN/100mL	6 hours	Cool ≤6°C, 0.0008% Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (sodium thiosulfate)
Total Phosphorus (Pollutant of Concern)	EPA: Manual-365.3, Automated Ascorbic acid digestion-365.1 Rev. 2, ICP/AES4-200.7 Rev. 4.4 SM: 4500-P E-F	EPA: 0.01 mg/L SM : 0.01 mg/L	28 days	Cool ≤6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH <2
Total Nitrogen (Pollutant of Concern) (Ammonia + Nitrate/Nitrite, methods are for Nitrate-Nitrite and need to be combined with Ammonia listed above.)	EPA: Cadmium reduction (automated)-353.2 Rev. 2.0, SM: 4500-NO ₃ E-F	EPA: 0.05 mg/L SM : 0.05 mg/L	28 days	Cool ≤6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH <2

EPA = EPA Methods SM = Standard Methods

7.3 Interpreting Outfall Sampling Results

Outfall analytical data can be used to help identify the major type or source of discharge. **Table 7-4** shows values identified by the U.S. EPA and the Center for Watershed Protection as typical screening values for select parameters. These represent the typical concentration (or value) of each parameter expected to be found in stormwater. Screening values that exceed these benchmarks may be indicative of pollution and/or illicit discharges.

Table 7-4. Benchmark Field Measurements for Select Parameters

Analyte or Parameter	Benchmark
Ammonia	>0.5 mg/L
Conductivity	>2,000 µS/cm
Surfactants	>0.25 mg/L
Chlorine	>0.02 mg/L (detectable levels per the 2017 MS4 Permit)

Analyte or Parameter	Benchmark
Indicator Bacteria <i>E.coli</i> (freshwater)	<i>E.coli</i> : the geometric mean of the five most recent samples taken during the same bathing season shall not exceed 126 colonies per 100 ml and no single sample taken during the bathing season shall exceed 235 colonies per 100 ml for designated swimming areas, 410 colonies per 100 ml for non-designated swimming areas, and 576 colonies per 100 ml for all other uses.

Catchments are considered highly likely to contain illicit discharges from sanitary sources when either of the following combinations of sampling results is detected:

- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or
- Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

Catchments with outfall screening results that meet the above criteria shall be ranked at the top of the High Priority Catchments category for investigation.

8 Catchment Investigations

Once stormwater outfalls with evidence of illicit discharges have been identified, various methods can be used to investigate the source of the potential discharge within the outfall catchment area. Common catchment investigation techniques include, but are not limited to:

- Review of maps, historic plans, and records
- Manhole inspection
- Dry and wet weather sampling
- Video inspection
- Smoke testing
- Dye testing.

This section outlines a systematic procedure to investigate outfall catchments and identify the source(s) of potential illicit discharges. Information and data collected as part of the catchment investigations will be reported in each annual report.

8.1 System Vulnerability Factors

The Department of Public Works will review relevant mapping and historic plans and records to identify areas within the catchment with higher potential for illicit connections. The following information will be reviewed:

- Plans related to the construction of the drainage network
- Prior work on the storm drains
- Health Department or other municipal data on septic system failures or required upgrades
- Records related to septic system breakouts, SSOs, and sanitary sewer surcharges

Based on the review of this information, the presence of any of the following **System Vulnerability Factors (SVFs)** will be identified for each catchment. SVFs indicate a risk of sanitary or septic system inputs to the MS4 under wet weather conditions.

- History of SSOs, including, but not limited to, those resulting from wet weather, high water table, or fat/oil/grease blockages.
- Sewer pump/lift stations, siphons, or known sanitary sewer restrictions where power/equipment failures or blockages could readily result in SSOs.
- Inadequate sanitary sewer level of service (LOS) resulting in regular surcharging, customer back-ups, or frequent customer complaints.
- Common or twin-invert manholes serving storm and sanitary sewer alignments.
- Common trench construction serving both storm and sanitary sewer alignments.
- Crossings of storm and sanitary sewer alignments.
- Sanitary sewer alignments known or suspected to have been constructed with an underdrain system.

- Areas formerly served by combined sewer systems.
- Sanitary sewer infrastructure defects such as leaking service laterals, cracked, broken, or offset sanitary infrastructure, directly piped connections between storm drain and sanitary sewer infrastructure, or other vulnerability factors identified through Inflow/Infiltration Analyses, Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Surveys, or other infrastructure investigations.
- Any storm drain infrastructure greater than 40 years old in medium and densely developed areas.
- Widespread code-required septic system upgrades required at property transfers (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance).
- History of multiple health department actions addressing widespread septic system failures (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance).

A SVF inventory will be documented for each catchment (see **Table 8-1**), retained as part of this written IDDE program, and included in the annual report.

Table 8-1. Outfall Catchment System Vulnerability Factor (SVF) Inventory

Catchment ID	Receiving Water	1 History of SSOs	2 Common or Twin Invert Manholes	3 Common Trench Construction	4 Storm/Sanitary Crossings (Sanitary Above)	5 Sanitary Lines with Underdrains	6 Inadequate Sanitary Level of Service	7 Areas Formerly Served by Combined Sewers	8 Sanitary Infrastructure Defects	9 SSO Potential In Event of System Failures	10 Sanitary and Storm Drain Infrastructure >40 years Old	11 Septic with Poor Soils or Water Table Separation	12 History of BOH Actions Addressing Septic Failure
Catchment 1	XYZ River	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No

Presence/Absence Evaluation Criteria:

- History of SSOs, including, but not limited to, those resulting from wet weather, high water table, or fat/oil/grease blockages
- Common or twin-invert manholes serving storm and sanitary sewer alignments
- Common trench construction serving both storm and sanitary sewer alignments
- Crossings of storm and sanitary sewer alignments where the sanitary system is shallower than the storm drain system
- Sanitary sewer alignments known or suspected to have been constructed with an underdrain system
- Inadequate sanitary sewer level of service (LOS) resulting in regular surcharging, customer back-ups, or frequent customer complaints
- Areas formerly served by combined sewer systems
- Sanitary sewer infrastructure defects such as leaking service laterals, cracked, broken, or offset sanitary infrastructure, directly piped connections between storm drain and sanitary sewer infrastructure, or other vulnerability factors identified through Inflow/Infiltration Analyses, Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Surveys, or other infrastructure investigations
- Sewer pump/lift stations, siphons, or known sanitary sewer restrictions where power/equipment failures or blockages could readily result in SSOs
- Any sanitary sewer and storm drain infrastructure greater than 40 years old
- Widespread code-required septic system upgrades required at property transfers (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance)
- History of multiple health department actions addressing widespread septic system failures (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance)

8.2 Dry Weather Investigation (Manhole Inspections)

The City of Shelton will implement a dry weather storm drain network investigation that involves systematically and progressively observing, sampling and evaluating key junction manholes in the MS4 to determine the approximate location of suspected illicit discharges.

The Department of Public Works will be responsible for implementing the dry weather manhole inspection program and making updates as necessary. Infrastructure information will be incorporated into the storm system map, and catchment delineations will be refined based on the field investigation, where necessary. The SVF inventory will also be updated based on information obtained during the field investigations, where necessary.

Several important terms related to the dry weather manhole inspection program are defined by the MS4 Permit as follows:

- **Junction Manhole** is a manhole or structure with two or more inlets accepting flow from two or more MS4 alignments. Manholes with inlets solely from private storm drains, individual catch basins, or both are not considered junction manholes for these purposes.
- **Key Junction Manholes** are those junction manholes that can represent one or more junction manholes without compromising adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program. Adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program would not be compromised if the exclusion of a particular junction manhole as a key junction manhole would not affect the permittee's ability to determine the possible presence of an upstream illicit discharge. A permittee may exclude a junction manhole located upstream from another located in the immediate vicinity or that is serving a drainage alignment with no potential for illicit connections.

For all catchments identified for investigation, during dry weather, field crews will systematically inspect **key junction manholes** for evidence of illicit discharges and confirm or identify potential system vulnerability factors. This program involves progressive inspection and sampling at manholes in the storm drain network to isolate and eliminate illicit discharges.

The manhole inspection methodology will be conducted in one of two ways (or a combination of both):

- By working progressively up from the outfall and inspecting key junction manholes along the way, or
- By working progressively down from the upper parts of the catchment toward the outfall and inspecting key junction manholes along the way.

For most catchments, manhole inspections will proceed from the outfall moving up into the system.

However, the decision to move up or down the system depends on the nature of the drainage system and the surrounding land use and the availability of information on the catchment and drainage system. Moving up the system can begin immediately when an illicit discharge is detected at an outfall, and only a map of the storm drain system is required. Moving down the system requires more advance preparation and reliable drainage system information on the upstream segments of the storm drain system, but may be more efficient if the sources of illicit discharges are believed to be located in the upstream portions of the catchment area. Once a manhole inspection methodology has been selected, investigations will continue systematically through the catchment.

Inspection of key junction manholes will proceed as follows:

1. Manholes will be opened and inspected for visual and olfactory evidence of illicit connections. A sample field inspection form is provided in **Appendix D**.
2. If flow is observed, a sample will be collected and analyzed at a minimum for ammonia, chlorine, and surfactants. Field kits can be used for these analyses. Sampling and analysis will be in accordance with procedures outlined in **Section 7**. Additional indicator sampling may assist in determining potential sources.
3. Where sampling results or visual or olfactory evidence indicate potential illicit discharges, the area draining to the junction manhole will be flagged for further upstream manhole investigation and/or isolation and confirmation of sources.
4. Subsequent key junction manhole inspections will proceed until the location of suspected illicit discharges can be isolated to a pipe segment between two manholes.
5. If no evidence of an illicit discharge is found, catchment investigations will be considered complete upon completion of key junction manhole sampling.

8.3 Wet Weather Investigation (Outfall Sampling)

Where a minimum of one (1) System Vulnerability Factor (SVF) is identified based on previous information or the catchment investigation, a wet weather investigation must also be conducted at the associated outfall. The Department of Public Works will be responsible for implementing the wet weather outfall sampling program and making updates as necessary.

Outfalls will be inspected and sampled under wet weather conditions, to the extent necessary, to determine whether wet weather-induced high flows in sanitary sewers or high groundwater in areas served by septic systems result in discharges of sanitary flow to the MS4.

Wet weather outfall sampling will proceed as follows:

1. At least one wet weather sample will be collected at the outfall for the same parameters required during dry weather screening (refer to **Table 7-3** and **Table 7-4**).
2. Wet weather sampling will occur during or after a storm event of sufficient depth or intensity to produce a stormwater discharge at the outfall.
 - a. There is no specific rainfall amount that will trigger sampling, although minimum storm event intensities that are likely to trigger sanitary sewer interconnections are preferred.
 - b. Sampling during the initial period of discharge (“first flush”) will be avoided.
 - c. To the extent feasible, sampling should occur during the spring (March through June) when groundwater levels are relatively high. Refer to **Section 7.1** for information on weather tracking.
3. If wet weather outfall sampling indicates a potential illicit discharge, then additional wet weather source sampling will be performed, as warranted, or source isolation and confirmation procedures will be followed as described in **Section 8.4**.
4. If wet weather outfall sampling does not identify evidence of illicit discharges, and no evidence of an illicit discharge is found during dry weather manhole inspections, catchment investigations will be considered complete.

8.4 Source Isolation and Confirmation

Once the source of an illicit discharge is approximated between two manholes, more detailed investigation techniques will be used to isolate and confirm the source of the illicit discharge. The following methods may be used in isolating and confirming the source of illicit discharges:

- Sandbagging
- Smoke Testing
- Dye Testing
- CCTV/Video Inspections
- Optical Brightener Monitoring
- IDDE Canines.

These methods are described in the sections below. Instructions and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for these and other IDDE methods are provided in **Appendix G**.

Public notification is an important aspect of a detailed source investigation program. Prior to smoke testing, dye testing, or TV inspections, the Department of Public Works will notify property owners in the affected area. Smoke testing notification may include automated telephone calls, hanging notifications, or email for single family homes, businesses and building lobbies for multi-family dwellings.

8.4.1 Sandbagging

This technique can be particularly useful when attempting to isolate intermittent illicit discharges or those with very little perceptible flow. The technique involves placing sandbags or similar barriers (e.g., caulking, weirs/plates, or other temporary barriers) within outlets to manholes to form a temporary dam that collects any intermittent flows that may occur. Sandbags are typically left in place for 48 hours, and should only be installed when dry weather is forecast. If flow has collected behind the sandbags/barriers after 48 hours it can be assessed using visual observations or by sampling. If no flow collects behind the sandbag, the upstream pipe network can be ruled out as a source of the intermittent discharge. Finding appropriate durations of dry weather and the need for multiple trips to each manhole makes this method both time-consuming and somewhat limiting.

8.4.2 Smoke Testing

Smoke testing involves injecting non-toxic smoke into drain lines and noting the emergence of smoke from sanitary sewer vents in illegally connected buildings or from cracks and leaks in the system itself. Typically a smoke bomb or smoke generator is used to inject the smoke into the system at a catch basin or manhole and air is then forced through the system. Test personnel are placed in areas where there are suspected illegal connections or cracks/leaks, noting any escape of smoke (indicating an illicit connection or damaged storm drain infrastructure). It is important when using this technique to make proper notifications to area residents and business owners as well as local police and fire departments.

If the initial test of the storm drain system is unsuccessful then a more thorough smoke-test of the sanitary sewer lines can also be performed. Unlike storm drain smoke tests, buildings that do not emit smoke during sanitary sewer smoke tests may have problem connections and may also have sewer gas venting inside, which is hazardous.

It should be noted that smoke may cause minor irritation of respiratory passages. Residents with respiratory conditions may need to be monitored or evacuated from the area of testing altogether to ensure safety during testing.

8.4.3 Dye Testing

Dye testing involves flushing non-toxic dye into plumbing fixtures such as toilets, showers, and sinks and observing nearby storm drains and sewer manholes as well as stormwater outfalls for the presence of the dye. Similar to smoke testing, it is important to inform local residents and business owners. Police, fire, and local public health staff should also be notified prior to testing in preparation of responding to citizen phone calls concerning the dye and their presence in local surface waters.

A team of two or more people is needed to perform dye testing (ideally, all with two-way radios). One person is inside the building, while the others are stationed at the appropriate storm sewer and sanitary sewer manholes (which should be opened) and/or outfalls. The

person inside the building adds dye into a plumbing fixture (i.e., toilet or sink) and runs a sufficient amount of water to move the dye through the plumbing system. The person inside the building then radios to the outside crew that the dye has been dropped, and the outside crew watches for the dye in the storm sewer and sanitary sewer, recording the presence or absence of the dye.

The test can be relatively quick (about 30 minutes per test), effective (results are usually definitive), and inexpensive. Dye testing is best used when the likely source of an illicit discharge has been narrowed down to a few specific houses or businesses.

8.4.4 CCTV/Video Inspection

Another method of source isolation involves the use of mobile video cameras that are guided remotely through stormwater drain lines to observe possible illicit discharges. IDDE program staff can review the videos and note any visible illicit discharges. While this tool is both effective and usually definitive, it can be costly and time consuming when compared to other source isolation techniques.

8.4.5 Optical Brightener Monitoring

Optical brighteners are fluorescent dyes that are used in detergents and paper products to enhance their appearance. The presence of optical brighteners in surface waters or dry weather discharges suggests there is a possible illicit discharge or insufficient removal through adsorption in nearby septic systems or wastewater treatment. Optical brightener monitoring can be done in two ways. The most common, and least expensive, methodology involves placing a cotton pad in a wire cage and securing it in a pipe, manhole, catch basin, or inlet to capture intermittent dry weather flows. The pad is retrieved at a later date and placed under UV light to determine the presence/absence of brighteners during the monitoring period. A second methodology uses handheld fluorometers to detect optical brighteners in water sample collected from outfalls or ambient surface waters. Use of a fluorometer, while more quantitative, is typically more costly and is not as effective at isolating intermittent discharges as other source isolation techniques.

8.4.6 IDDE Canines

Dogs specifically trained to smell human related sewage are becoming a cost-effective way to isolate and identify sources of illicit discharges. While not widespread at the moment, the use of IDDE canines is growing as is their accuracy. The use of IDDE canines is not recommended as a standalone practice for source identification; rather it is recommended as a tool to supplement other conventional methods, such as dye testing, in order to fully verify sources of illicit discharges.

8.5 Illicit Discharge Removal

When the specific source of an illicit discharge is identified, the City of Shelton will exercise its authority as necessary to require its removal. The annual report will include the status of IDDE investigation and removal activities including the following information for each confirmed source:

- The location of the discharge and its source(s)
- A description of the discharge
- The method of discovery
- Date of discovery
- Date of elimination, mitigation or enforcement action
- Estimate of the volume of flow removed.

8.5.1 Confirmatory Outfall Screening

Within one (1) year of removal of all identified illicit discharges and SSO sources within a catchment area, confirmatory outfall or interconnection screening will be conducted. The confirmatory screening will be conducted in dry weather unless System Vulnerability Factors have been identified, in which case both dry weather and wet weather confirmatory screening will be conducted. If confirmatory screening indicates evidence of additional illicit discharges, the catchment will be scheduled for additional investigation. Confirmatory screening is not required in catchments where no illicit discharges or System Vulnerability Factors have been identified and no previous screening indicated suspicious flows.

8.6 Follow-up Screening

Upon completion of all catchment investigations and illicit discharge removal and confirmation (if necessary), each outfall or interconnection will be scheduled for follow-up screening within five (5) years, or sooner based on the catchment's illicit discharge priority. Ongoing screening will consist of dry weather screening and sampling consistent with the procedures described in **Section 7** of this document. Ongoing wet weather screening and sampling will also be conducted at outfalls where wet weather screening was required due to System Vulnerability Factors and will be conducted in accordance with the procedures described in **Section 8.1**. All sampling results will be reported in the annual report.

8.7 Illicit Discharge Prevention Procedures

The City of Shelton will implement one or more of the following mechanisms and procedures to assist in the prevention of illicit discharges and SSOs:

- Spill response and prevention procedures including identification of spills, reporting procedures, containment procedures, and documentation.
- Public awareness (may be part of the education program required by Subsection 2 of the MS4 Permit).
- Reporting hotlines and training of public employees involved in the IDDE program on way to identify potential illicit discharges and SSOs.

9 Training

Annual IDDE training will be made available to all employees involved in the IDDE program. This training will, at a minimum, include information on how to identify illicit discharges and may also include additional training specific to the functions of particular personnel and their function within the framework of the IDDE program. Training records will be maintained in **Appendix F**. The frequency and type of training will be included in the annual report.

10 Progress Reporting

The progress and success of the IDDE program will be evaluated on an annual basis. The evaluation will be documented in the annual report and will include the following indicators of program progress:

- Measures that demonstrate efforts to locate illicit discharges
- Number of illicit discharges identified and removed
- Percent and area in acres of the catchment area served by the MS4 evaluated using the catchment investigation procedure
- Number of dry weather outfall inspections/screenings
- Number of wet weather outfall inspections/sampling events
- Number of enforcement notices issued
- All dry weather and wet weather screening and sampling results
- Estimate of the volume of sewage removed, as applicable
- Number of employees trained annually.

The success of the IDDE program will be measured by the IDDE activities completed within the required permit timelines.